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Understanding IP and Next Generation Network Switching, Routing, and Testing Terminology
The Must-Have Reference for IP and Next Generation Networking

This reference provides the information you need to understand the terminology associated with IP and next generation networking products. It enables you to make informed decisions about the products.

Understanding the Layers

Internetworking devices such as bridges, routers, and switches have traditionally been categorized by the OSI layer they operate at and the role they play in the topology of a network:

- Bridges and switches operate at Layer 2: they extend network capabilities by forwarding traffic among LANs and LAN segments with high throughput.
- Routers operate at Layer 3: they perform route calculations based on Layer 3 addresses and provide multi-protocol support and WAN access, but typically at the cost of higher latency and much more complex administration requirements.

Layer 2 refers to the layer in the communications protocol that contains the physical address of a client or server station. It is also called the data link layer or MAC layer. Layer 2 contains the address that is inspected by a bridge, switch, or PC NIC. The Layer 2 address of every network device is unique, fixed in hardware by its manufacturer and usually never changed. Traditionally, products that were called switches operated by forwarding all traffic based on its Layer 2 addresses.

Layer 3 refers to the layer in the communications protocol that contains the logical address of a client or server station. It is also called the network layer. Layer 3 contains the address (such as IP or IPX) that is inspected by a router that forwards the traffic through the network. The Layer 3 address of a network device is a software setting established by the user network administrator that can and does change from time to time; only devices that need to be addressed by Layer 3 protocols such as IP have Layer 3 addresses. Traditionally, routers operated solely on Layer 3 addresses.

What is Multilayer Switching?

Multilayer switching is simply the combination of traditional Layer 2 switching with Layer 3 protocol routing in a single product, usually through a fast hardware implementation. In fact, it is this hardware that has enabled the recent development and success of the multilayer switch. New higher-density ASICs (Application-Specific Integrated Circuits) allow real-time switching and forwarding with wirespeed performance, and at lower cost than traditional software-based routers built around general-purpose CPUs.

Three factors combined over the last two years to fuel the evolution of multilayer switching:

- Users need to get beyond the performance bottleneck of collapsed backbone routers and avoid the high cost of expanding them.
- IP traffic from intranet and Internet applications has increased dramatically.
- ASIC densities increased enough to allow economic implementation of complex routing functions directly in high-speed hardware instead of using the slow software techniques of traditional routers.

Multilayer Switch Names

Vendors, analysts, and editors don’t agree about the specific meaning of terms such as multilayer switch, Layer 3 switch, IP switch, routing switch, switching router, and wirespeed router. Typically these different terms don’t reflect differences in product architecture as much as differing editorial and marketing policies.

Nevertheless, the term multilayer switch is a widely used description of this class of product that performs both Layer 3 routing and Layer 2 switching functions.

Basic Multilayer Switch Architectures

Many new switching products are being introduced and their various naming schemes are confusing. To deal with all of this it is helpful to sort products into one of four groups according to what multilayer switching technique they use: generic cut-through routing, ATM-based cut-through routing, Layer 3 learning bridging, and wirespeed routing. These are described here, with some guidelines to help you recognize which is which.

Generic Cut-Through Routing

In this architecture layer 3 routing calculations are performed only on the first packet in a data flow, either by a router or by a separate route server. Following packets that are identified as belonging to the same flow are switched at Layer 2 along the same route. The two key functions of a traditional router – route calculation and frame forwarding – are thus handled very differently in this architecture.

After the initial route calculation has been completed, the following frames benefit from the low latency and high throughput of Layer 2 switching, but are not truly "routed". Different vendors implement the cut-through scheme using a variety of proprietary technologies.
result, cut-through routing products from different vendors can rarely interoperate to exchange routing information or continue forwarding the data at Layer 2. These devices can often be recognized by the proprietary names of their routing schemes, such as “FastIP”, “SecureFast”, or “DirectIP”.

**ATM-Based Cut-Through Routing**  This variation of generic cut-through routing is based on ATM cells rather than frames, and products referred to as IP switches and tag switches generally fall into this category. ATM-based cut-through routing offers several advantages over generic cut-through techniques, including improved support of LAN emulation and multi-vendor support in the form of the Multiprotocol Over ATM (MPOA) standard. However, ATM networking requires a significant initial investment as well as extra training to allow IS managers to support its higher complexity. Accordingly, this option makes sense only if an ATM structure in the network is dictated by other concerns.

**Layer 3 Learning Bridging**  Layer 3 learning bridging differs from the other architectures in that it performs no routing whatsoever. Instead, it uses IP “snooping” techniques to learn the MAC/IP address relationships of endstations from true routers that must exist elsewhere in the network. Then it redirects traffic away from the routers and switches it based on its Layer 2 addresses. The easiest way to recognize these devices is by their lack of support for any dynamic routing protocols such as RIP or OSPF. These devices offer short-term relief for overloaded routers, but are only a temporary tactical solution due to their limited functionality and proprietary nature.

**Wirespeed Routing**  Wirespeed routing -- from vendors such as Anritsu Company, Extreme Networks, and Foundry Networks -- is one of the newest multilayer switching techniques and also represents the future of this technology. Unlike cut-through and learning bridging, this architecture routes every packet individually. It is often referred to as packet-by-packet Layer 3 switching. Using advanced ASICs to perform Layer 3 routing in hardware, it implements dynamic routing protocols such as OSPF and RIP. This type of product often goes beyond basic IP routing to support IP multicast routing, VLAN segregation, and multiple priority levels to assist in quality of service.

Unlike the cut-through techniques, wirespeed routing does not introduce proprietary technology into the network, so it offers full interoperability and avoids excessive administrative overhead. In effect, these devices are true routers capable of operating at speeds formerly associated only with Layer 2 switches.

**What About Layer 4 Switching?**

Recently the term “Layer 4 switching” has emerged in the multilayer switch market, adding to the confusion of people who are still trying to get comfortable with Layer 3 switching. This is mostly a marketing term rather than a precise technical description. Layer 4 switching refers to a product’s ability to make various traffic handling decisions such as prioritization or filtering based on the contents of OSI layer 4 (the Transport Layer) where the endstation application is identified. Layer 4 switching almost always refers to capabilities that augment the Layer 2 and 3 functions of a multilayer switch rather than to some new type of switching. Products with Layer 4 capabilities frequently use those parameters to control which server a data packet is sent to.

**Why Aren’t Multilayer Switches Called Routers?**

If multilayer switches perform both parts of the router’s traditional function—route calculation and traffic forwarding based on Layer 3 protocols—then why aren’t they called routers? There are a variety of technical and market-based reasons.

The technical reasons that multilayer switches aren’t called “routers” are:

- Multilayer switches are much faster and less expensive than routers.
- Some multilayer switches are really small stackable workgroup switches and lack the modularity, flexibility, and port density usually associated with routers.
- Many are more limited than routers in the variety of traffic and routing protocols they support, although some multilayer switches designed for ATM-based networks are protocol-independent. Most currently support only traffic based on IP. Several support IPX and a few other traffic types as well. For example, Anritsu multilayer switches handle IP, IPX, and AppleTalk traffic.
- Multilayer switches generally don’t support all the WAN interfaces handled by traditional routers. But this is changing and innovative vendors such as Anritsu will focus on that area in the future.

In addition to these technical differences, there are marketing-oriented reasons why most vendors avoid referring to multilayer switches as routers. Because of their dramatically lower price, device vendors introducing multilayer switches as a new high-performance class of router risk cutting into the sales of their established router product lines. And some vendors want to avoid associating the new devices in customers’ minds with the much slower, higher-cost routers they are designed to replace.
The Evolution of Routers and Switches

**Routers** When first introduced, routers played a central role in the development of the modern hierarchical network. By calculating routes and forwarding traffic among subnetworks based on Layer 3 address protocols, they enabled managers to extend the area that an enterprise network could cover. In addition, the segmentation of networks into subnets reduced the number of users per LAN, and lessened the volume of broadcast traffic within each LAN. However, as computing technology advanced, the delays caused by the router’s software-based processing became a problem.

With faster PC speeds and new types of user network access generating unprecedented levels of traffic, the relatively low throughput of software-based routers created performance bottlenecks within enterprise networks. Routers would always be essential in allowing LANs and WANs based on different protocols to communicate, calculating efficient routes, and performing vital filtering and security functions. But now, intranet applications have created the need for multilayer switching by demanding higher-throughput, lower-cost devices without requiring all the features of a traditional router.

**Switches** Switches were introduced as an alternative to routers for use within a LAN. These simple devices operate at Layer 2, directing traffic by MAC address rather than routing by the network address at Layer 3. By handling intra-subnet traffic quickly and efficiently, and interconnecting LANs through multiple ports, switches reduced the amount of traffic passed on to routers. Routers, in turn, were pushed to the WAN interface at the edge of the network. Priced affordably, switches let network managers increase bandwidth without adding complexity or latency to the network.

But Layer 2 switching has its own problems. Unlike hierarchical router-based structures, flat switched networks can allow routine status updates to generate bandwidth-choking broadcast storms. Virtual LANs, in which endstations are grouped into broadcast domains, offer some relief. However, some VLANs are single-vendor solutions, introducing the limitations of proprietary technology into the network. Furthermore, the routers used to interconnect VLANS introduce new bottlenecks.

The spanning tree protocol, implemented in many Layer 2 switches, prevents forwarding loops in switched networks. But this works by shutting down redundant connections and never using them. In contrast, routers are able to keep redundant connections active and make use of this built-in redundancy to increase network reliability and performance.

Most significantly, the rise of the Internet and the increasing role of Web-based intranets and applications have shifted enterprise traffic dramatically. Whereas in the past most traffic was local in nature, the majority of packets are now directed outside the host’s subnet, and often outside the enterprise network. High-volume IP traffic, such as videoconferencing and distributed workflow applications, has driven the demand for high-performance, wide bandwidth networks. While Layer 2 switches play an important role in increasing performance within an enterprise, their inability to perform Layer 3 routing leaves them ineffective in meeting this new challenge.

With Layer 2 switching reaching the limits of its potential, the multilayer switch represents the next stage in the evolution of internetworking devices.
Terms Used in Conjunction with IP and Next Generation Networking

In the descriptions of terms that follow, underlined words signify hyperlinks that are present in the online version of this document. While online, clicking on a hyperlink will take you to a place on the Web where substantial additional information about that term is available.

Some of the terms referenced here are specific to wireless applications and are explained in Anritsu Company’s companion document titled Must-Have Reference For Wireless Communication. It will help you understand the terminology associated with wireless telecom and data communications to let you make more informed decisions about new wireless technology, products, and services.

The online versions of both documents are updated frequently and may contain new terminology or more recent information than any printed version. Both reference documents plus an overview of Anritsu wireless test and measurement products are available at www.us.anritsu.com/wireless (in the U.S.) and at www.eu.anritsu.com/musthaveguides (in Europe).

Overview of Terms by Topic

The terms referenced in this overview are described in detail in the alphabetic list of terms and abbreviations that follows.

ATM Terms that are related to ATM networking:
- ARA (Address Resolution Advertisement)
- BUS (Broadcast and Unknown Server): see LANE
- CIP (Classical IP Over ATM): see IP Over ATM
- FANP (Flow Attribute Notification Protocol)
- GSMP (General Switch Management Protocol)
- IFMP (Ipsilon Flow Management Protocol)
- IMA (Inverse Multiplexing over ATM)
- I-PNNI (Integrated PNNI)
- IPOA, IP Over ATM
- LANE (ATM LAN Emulation)
- LECS (LAN Emulation Configuration Server): see LANE
- LES (LAN Emulation Server): see LANE
- MARS (Multicast Address Resolution Server)
- MPLS (Multi-Protocol Label Switching)
- MPOA (Multiprotocol over ATM)
- Multiprotocol Encapsulation Over ATM AAL5
- PNNI (Private Network-to-Network Interface)
- VTOA (Voice and Telephony over ATM)

Ethernet Over SONET/SDH Terms that are related to transporting Ethernet efficiently over SONET and SDH:
- BCP (Bridging Control Protocol)
- EoS (Ethernet Over SONET/SDH)
- GFP (Generic Framing Procedure)
- LAPF (Link Access Procedure-Frame Mode)
- LAPS or X.86 (Link Access Procedure-SDH)
- LCAS (Link Capacity Adjustment Scheme)
- LEX (LAN Extension Protocol)
- VC (Virtual Concatenation)

Gigabit Ethernet Terms that are related to Gigabit and 10 Gigabit Ethernet:
- 802.3ae (10 Gigabit Ethernet)
- 802.3ab (Gigabit Ethernet on Copper Twisted Pair)
- 802.3ak (10 Gigabit Ethernet on Coaxial Cable)
- 802.3an (10 Gigabit Ethernet on Twisted Pair Copper Cable)
- 802.3z (Gigabit Ethernet on Fiber and Shielded Copper)
- 1000BASE-XX (Gigabit Ethernet)
- CJPAT (Continuous Jitter Tolerance Test Pattern)
- CRPAT (Continuous Random Test Pattern)
- DoS (Data over SONET)
- Ethernet Data Rates
- PAM5 (5-Level Pulse Amplitude Modulation)
- XAUI (10 Gb Ethernet Transceiver Interface)
- X2, XENPAK, XFP, and XPAK (10 Gbps interface multi-source agreements)

IP Switching The IP switching approach for IP over ATM was developed by Ipsilon. Its protocols are now in the public domain as informational RFCs to encourage acceptance and usage:
- GSMP (General Switch Management Protocol)
- IFMP (Ipsilon Flow Management Protocol)

IPv6 Terms that are associated with the new Internet Protocol version 6 or that contain references to it:
- DHCP (Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol)
- ICMP (Internet Control Message Protocol)
- MLD (Multicast Listener Discovery)
- OSPF (Open Shortest Path First)
Also see the term IPv6.

Link Aggregation Terms that are associated with link aggregation or trunking:
- 802.3ad (Link Aggregation)
- EtherChannel
- ISL (InterSwitch Link)
- LACP (Link Aggregation Control Protocol)
- MPLA (Multi-Point Link Aggregation)
- PNNI (Private Network-to-Network Interface)

Management Terms that are associated with network management:
- HMMP (Hypermedia Management Protocol)
• RMON and RMON2 (Remote Monitoring)
• SMON (Switch Monitoring)
• SNMP (Simple Network Management Protocol)
• WBEM (Web-Based Enterprise Management)

Also see Policy-Based Network Management (below).

**MPLS** Terms that are associated with Multi-Protocol Label Switching:

• CR-LDP (Constraint-Based Routed Label Distribution Protocol)
• Fast Reroute
• GMPLS (Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching)
• LDP (Label Distribution Protocol)
• MPLS (Multi-Protocol Label Switching)
• MP (Multi-Protocol Lambda Switching)
• RSVP-TE (RSVP With Traffic Engineering Extensions)
• VPN (Virtual Private Network)

**Multicast** IP multicast requires several protocols to operate:

• IGMP: End stations use IGMP (Internet Group Management Protocol) to specify their participation in a particular multicast group. Routers that support multicast must run IGMP.
• DVMRP, MOSPF, PIM: Routers must also run one of several IP Multicast routing protocols such as DVMRP (Distance Vector Multicast Routing Protocol), MOSPF (Multicast Open Shortest Path First), or PIM (Protocol-Independent Multicast). The routers use these protocols to tell their neighboring routers whether they need to receive the multicast traffic for a particular multicast group.

Other terms that are associated with multicast:

• BGMP (Border Gateway Multicast Protocol)
• CBT (Core Based Trees)
• CGMP (Cisco Group Multicast Protocol)
• IGAP (IGMP User Authentication Protocol)
• MALLOC (Multicast Address Allocation)
• MARS (Multicast Address Resolution Server)
• MBGP (Multicast Border Gateway Protocol)
• MDHCMP (Multi-Destination Control Message Protocol)
• MFTP (Multicast File Transport Protocol)
• MLD (Multicast Listener Discovery)
• MLD (Multicast Listener Discovery Protocol)
• MSDP (Multicast Source Distribution Protocol)
• PGM (Pragmatic General Multicast)
• RMTP (Reliable Multicast Transport Protocol)

**Optical Networking** Although many terms can apply to optical networking, these terms are particularly relevant:

• DS (Digital Signal)
• G.709 Digital Wrapper
• OTN (Optical Transport Network) – see G.709
• SONET (Synchronous Optical Network)

• WDM (Wavelength Division Multiplexing)

**Policy-Based Management** Terms that are associated with network management based on overall policies that can be established by network managers:

• CIM (Common Information Model)
• COPS (Common Open Policy Service)
• DEN (Directory Enabled Networking)
• LDAP (Lightweight Directory Access Protocol)
• PBNM (Policy-Based Network Management)
• RSVP (Resource Reservation Protocol)

Also see Management (above).

**Quality of Service (QoS)** Terms that are associated with traffic priority, class of service, or quality of service:

• 802.1p (Priority and VLAN Topology)
• COPS (Common Open Policy Service)
• DiffServ (Differentiated Services)
• DSCP (Differentiated Service Codepoint) -- see DiffServ
• IntServ (Integrated Services)
• ISSLL (Integrated Services over Specific Link Layers)
• MPLS (Multi-Protocol Label Switching)
• QoS (Quality of Service)
• QoS (Quality of Service Routing)
• RSVP (Resource Reservation Protocol)
• SBM (Subnet Bandwidth Manager)
• TOS (Type of Service)

**Routing Protocols** Dynamic routing protocols include:

• OSPF (Open Shortest Path First), which is popular in large internetworks.
• RIP (Routing Information Protocol), which is often used in small networks.
• IGRP, EIGRP: Cisco offers proprietary protocols IGRP (Interior Gateway Routing Protocol) and EIGRP (Enhanced IGRP) in its network products.

Exterior gateway protocols share only pre-specified information among selected routers. These include:

• BGP (Border Gateway Protocol)
• EGP (Exterior Gateway Protocol)
• IDR (Interdomain Routing Protocol)

Novell NetWare networks use:

• IPX (Internet Packet Exchange)
• NLSP (NetWare Link Services Protocol)

RTMP: AppleTalk networks use RTMP (Routing Table Management Protocol).

RTMP: Banyan VINES servers use RTP (VINES Routing Table Protocol).

Other terms that are associated with dynamic route determination methods:
• ARA (Address Resolution Advertisement)
• ECMP (Equal-Cost Multipath Routing)
• I-PNNI (Integrated PNNI)
• IS-IS (Intermediate System to Intermediate System)
• OMP (Optimized Multipath forwarding)
• PNNI (Private Network-to-Network Interface)
• Traffic Engineering

Security  Terms that are associated with network security:
• 802.1x (Port-Based Network Access Control)
• IKE (Internet Key Exchange)
• IPsec (IP Security)
• MD5 (Message Digest 5)
• PKI (Public Key Infrastructure)
• RADIUS (Remote Access Dial-In User Service)
• SHA-1 (Secure Hash Algorithm-1)
• S-HTTP (Secure Hypertext Transfer Protocol)
• SSH (Secure Shell)
• SSL (Secure Socket Layer)
• SOCKS
• TACACS (Terminal Access Controller Access Control System)

SANs and Storage Networking Terms that are associated with Storage Area Networking:
• ESCON (Enterprise System Connection)
• FICON (Fiber Connection)
• IFCP (Internet Fibre Channel Protocol)
• IPFC (IP Over Fibre Channel)
• iSCSI (Internet Small Computer Systems Interface)
• iSNS (Internet Storage Name Service)
• FCIP (Fibre Channel Over TCP/IP)
• mFCP (Metro Fibre Channel Protocol)
• SPI (SCSI Parallel Interface)

Testing Terms that are associated with IP and network testing:
• CJPAT (Continuous Jitter Tolerance Test Pattern)
• CRPAT (Continuous Random Test Pattern)
• IMIX (Internet Mix)
• PRBS (Pseudo-Random Bit Sequence)
• QRSS (Quasi-Random Signal Source)
• RFC1242 (Benchmarking Terminology for Network Interconnection Devices)
• RFC2285 (Benchmarking Terminology for LAN Switching Devices)
• RFC2544 (Benchmarking Methodology for Network Interconnect Devices)
• RFC2889 (Benchmarking Methodology for LAN Switching Devices)
• Tcl (Tool Command Language)

VLANs Terms that are related to Virtual LANs:
• 802.1ad (Provider Bridges and QinQ Standard)
• 802.1p (Priority and VLAN Topology)
• 802.1Q (VLAN Tagging)
• 802.1s (Multiple Spanning Trees for VLANs)
• 802.1v (VLAN Classification by Protocol and Port)
• 802.3ac (VLAN Tagging for Ethernet)
• GARP (Generic Attributes Registration Protocol)
• GVRP (GARP VLAN Registration Protocol)
• ISL (InterSwitch Link)
• MST (Multiple Spanning Trees for VLANs)
• PVST (Per-VLAN Spanning Tree)

Voice and Video Terms that are associated with voice or video transmission over LANs:
• H.323 (Real-time transmission of voice, video, and data)
• MGCP (Media Gateway Control Protocol)
• Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP)
• Real-Time Streaming Protocol (RTSP)
• SIP (Session Initiation Protocol)
• VoIP (Voice Over IP)
• VTOA (Voice and Telephony over ATM)

VPNs Terms that are associated with Virtual Private Networks:
• GRE (Generic Route Encapsulation)
• IPsec (IP Security)
• L2TP (Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol)
• Martini
• NAT (Network Address Translation)
• MPLS (Multi-Protocol Label Switching)
• PPTP (Point to Point Tunneling Protocol)
• VPLS (Virtual Private LAN Service)

Wireless LANs Terms that are associated with Wireless LANs:
• 802.1x (Port-Based Network Access Control)
• 802.11 Wireless LANs
• 802.15 Bluetooth® (The Bluetooth word mark and logos are owned by the Bluetooth SIG, Inc. and any use of such marks by Anritsu is under license. Other trademarks and trade names are those of their respective owners.)
• 802.16 WiMAX
• DSRC (Dedicated Short Range Communications)
• LEAP (Lightweight Extensible Authentication Protocol)
• TKIP (Temporal Key Integrity Protocol)
• UWB (Ultra Wideband)
• WAP (Wireless Application Protocol)
• WEP (Wired Equivalent Privacy)
• Wi-Fi (Wireless Fidelity – see 802.11)
• WPA (Wi-Fi Protected Access)
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Alphabetic List of Terms and Abbreviations

2BASE-TL
See 802.3ah (Ethernet in the First Mile).

10BASE-xx
10 Gigabit (1 Gbps) Ethernet physical layer standards:
- 10BASE-xR, -LX4, -xW: see 802.3ae (10 Gigabit Ethernet over standard fiber).
- 10BASE-CX4: see 802.3ak (10 Gigabit Ethernet on Coaxial Cable).
- 10BASE-LRM: see 802.3ad (10 Gigabit Ethernet on FDDI-Grade Multimode Fiber).
- 10BASE-T: see 802.3an (10 Gigabit Ethernet on Twisted Pair Copper Cable).

10PASS-TS
See 802.3ah (Ethernet in the First Mile).

100BASE-SX
A standard (SP-4360) proposed by over 25 companies in the Fiber Optics LAN Section of TIA that provides for 100 Mbps Fast Ethernet full duplex operation over 300 meters of FDDI-grade 62.5/125 micron multimode fiber. 100BASE-SX uses 850 nm optics that are compatible with 10 Mbps 10BASE-FL, enabling 10/100 Mbps speed negotiation and upgrades from the installed base of 10 Mbps fiber users. 100BASE-FX, the primary Fast Ethernet fiber standard, operates with 1300 nm optics and can not be compatible with 10BASE-FL.

1000BASE-xx
1 Gigabit (1 Gbps) Ethernet physical layer standards:
- 1000BASE-SX, -LX, -CX: see 802.3z (Gigabit Ethernet on Fiber and Shielded Copper).
- 1000BASE-T: see 802.3ab (Gigabit Ethernet on Copper Twisted Pair).

300 Pin MSA
A multi-source agreement (MSA) for 10 and 40 Gbps transponders. The 300PIN MSA Web site contains some additional information. Products based on this type of transponder support far more of the 802.3ae 10 Gb Ethernet physical media options than the other transponder types (see XENPAK, XPAK, X2, XFP.) By mid-2003, 10 GbE products for 10GBASE-SR, -SW, -LR, -LW, -ER, and -EW have been announced.

802.1ad Provider Bridges (QinQ Standard)
An amendment to IEEE 802.1Q (VLAN Tagging) that enables Stacked VLANs, a technology commonly referred to as "QinQ". It is intended to allow a service provider to offer separate instances of MAC services to multiple independent users of a Bridged Local Area Network without requiring the users to cooperate among themselves. Service providers can use this expanded VLAN space to provide capabilities such as Internet access on specific VLANs for specific customers, while providing different services for other customers on other VLANs. The IEEE 802.1ad website describes this development. 802.1ad will allow Layer 2 control protocol tunneling and, in the future, will be a component of VPLS. See 802.1Q, VPLS.

802.1ae Media Access Control (MAC) Security
Integrates security protection into LAN devices to help prevent wired Ethernet LANs from attacks. 802.1ae seeks to identify unauthorized stations on a LAN and prevent communication from them, unlike security systems that operate on an end-to-end basis such as IPSec. It will enable secure communication over publicly accessible LAN/MAN media for which security has not already been defined, and allow the 802.1x standard (Port-Based Network Access Control) in operate in additional applications. Standardization is expected to be complete in early 2006.

802.1ah Provider Backbone Bridges (PBB)
Enables layering an Ethernet network into separate customer and service provider domains with complete isolation among their respective MAC addresses. This technology is also known as “Mac-in-Mac” or “MinM”. It defines backbone destination and source addresses (B-DA and B-SA) as well as a backbone VLAN ID (B-VID) and Service Instance VLAN ID (I-SID).

PBB-TE (PBB-Traffic Engineering) is a subset that provides a provisioned connection-oriented transport network primarily for point-to-point Ethernet virtual connections. In early 2007 the standardization process was just beginning. It is based on native Ethernet technology and global addressing. Transport MPLS is an alternative technology (see T-MPLS).

802.1ak Multiple Registration Protocol
Allows participants to register attributes with other participants in a Bridged Local Area Network. The use of bridges by Ethernet service providers has greatly increased the number of VLANs and group MAC addresses, such that the existing GVRP and GMRP protocols take too long to register this information and cause a big problem with fault recovery time or localized topology changes. MRP replaces GARP to improve this situation.

Anritsu Company
802.1d  Spanning Tree Protocol
See STP (Spanning Tree Protocol). 802.1d now also includes the priority and VLAN standards formerly designated 802.1p and 802.1Q. See 802.1p and 802.1Q.

802.1p  Priority and VLAN Topology
A Layer 2 method for signaling network priority on a per-frame basis. There are two components:
- A prioritization component allows network managers to assign priorities to specific packets. It provides for 8 different priorities for Level-2 traffic based on a 3-bit “User Priority” field defined by 802.1Q – see 802.1Q.
- GARP (Group Address Registration Protocol) lets switches and end-stations exchange VLAN topology information.

Although most LANs don't have continual congestion, bursts of traffic may introduce latency that is unacceptable in real-time networks intended to support voice and video. 802.1p specifies a method for reordering packets based on priority to allow for timely delivery of delay-sensitive traffic. There is no specified model in 802.1p for deciding which packet to send next, once they are mapped into multiple queues; this decision is made by each vendor. 802.1p supplements the RSVP protocol – see RSVP.

In addition to defining priority, 802.1p introduces a new protocol: the Generic Attributes Registration Protocol (GARP). Two specific implementations of this protocol have been defined. The first of these is the GARP Multicast Registration Protocol (GMRP), which lets workstations request membership in a multicast domain. The second protocol is the GARP VLAN Registration Protocol (GVRP). GVRP is similar to GMRP, but instead of requesting admission to a multicast domain, the workstation requests admission to a particular VLAN. This protocol links 802.1p and 802.1Q. See GARP, GMRP, and GVRP. NOTE: 802.1p is technically a historical document because this work has been merged into 802.1d.

Issues 802.1p prioritization can be important for assuring timely traffic delivery to the edge of a network or to a PC/application, but there is much more industry support for using Layer 3 Differentiated Services (see DiffServ) to handle traffic classification throughout a network.

802.1Q  VLAN Tagging
Defines changes to Ethernet frames that enable them to carry VLAN information referred to as “tags”. It allows switches to assign end-stations to different virtual LANs, and defines a standard way for VLANs to communicate across switched networks. Four bytes have been added to the Ethernet frame for this purpose, causing the maximum Ethernet frame length to increase from 1518 to 1522 bytes. In these 4 bytes, 3 bits allow for up to eight priority levels and 12 bits identify one of 4,096 different VLANs. 802.3ac will define the specifics of these changes for Ethernet frames. 802.1p specifies a method for indicating frame priority based on the new fields – see 802.1p. The missions of 802.1p and 802.1Q are to provide a uniform method for conveying frame priority and VLAN trunking information across the network.

802.1ad is an amendment to 802.1q that expands the VLAN space by tagging the tagged frames, thus producing “Stacked” or “QinQ” frames. Service providers can use this expanded VLAN space to provide capabilities such as Internet access on specific VLANs for specific customers, while providing different services for other customers on other VLANs (see 802.1ad).

802.1Q is technically a historical document because this work and that of 802.1p have been merged into 802.1d.

802.1s  Multiple Spanning Trees for VLANs
IEEE standard 802.1Q specifies the operation of virtual local area network (VLAN) bridges, which support VLAN operation within an IEEE 802 bridged LAN. This 802.1s supplement to 802.1Q adds the facility for VLAN bridges to use multiple spanning trees (“MST”), allowing traffic belonging to different VLANs to flow over different paths within the virtual bridged LAN. If a link fails on one spanning tree, the VLANs using that spanning tree can shift to a different spanning tree for failure recovery. See STP (Spanning Tree Protocol) and PVST (Per-VLAN Spanning Tree).

802.1v  VLAN Classification by Protocol and Port
IEEE working group 802.1v is developing this standard for defining the structure of a VLAN based on the protocol(s) that it carries and/or the device port(s) that it connects to.

802.1w  Rapid Reconfiguration for STP Networks
IEEE working group 802.1w is developing a protocol for much faster reconfiguration of Spanning Tree Protocol (STP) networks, or “Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol” (RSTP), with a goal of 100 msec. Standard STP requires many seconds to automatically change configuration. See STP.

802.1x  Port-Based Network Access Control
The 802.1x supplement to IEEE 802.1d (Spanning Tree Protocol) defines how to authenticate or identify a user of IEEE 802-based wired LANs, but is also applied to 802.11 wireless LANs. It defines the changes necessary to the operation of a MAC Bridge in order to provide port-based network access control capability and a standard way for logging onto networks. Port-based access control, such as a password, can determine whether a user is permitted to access a network and define what network services are made available to the user. Cisco and Microsoft partnered to implement 802.1x on wireless LANs (see
802.11), and work is underway at the IEEE to incorporate 802.1X services into the 802.11i specification. 802.1x uses the Extensible Authentication Protocol (see EAP).

802.3ab  Gigabit Ethernet on Copper Twisted Pair
IEEE standard approved June '99 defining the 802.3 1000BASE-T specification for Gigabit Ethernet operation on up to 100m of 4-pair Category 5 (CAT-5) copper wiring. In addition, it defines operation, testing, and usage requirements for the installed base of CAT-5 copper wiring. 1000BASE-T is important because most of the installed building cabling is CAT-5 UTP, because it will allow much less expensive connections than fiber-based Gigabit Ethernet, and because it allows for auto-negotiation between 100 and 1000 Mbps to make migration easier. Beginning in late 2000 and early 2001, PC servers began shipping with 1000BASE-T NICs (Network Interface Cards) for network connections. Also see PAM5.

802.3ac  VLAN Tagging for Ethernet
Applies the VLAN tagging defined by 802.1Q to Ethernet frames – see 802.1Q.

802.3ad  Link Aggregation
IEEE standard to allow users to create a single high-speed logical link that combines several lower-speed physical links: for example, a 200 Mbps logical link that is comprised of 2 separate 100 Mbps Fast Ethernet connections between the same end points. Also see LACP (Link Aggregation Control Protocol) and MPLA (Multi-Point Link Aggregation).

802.3ae  10 Gigabit Ethernet
The IEEE standard was approved in June'02. Operation is full duplex only. Two families of interfaces are defined because LAN and WAN applications for 10 Gigabit Ethernet have different requirements for line speed, coding, and management. The WAN interfaces operate at 9.58 Gbps (the payload rate of SONET OC-192c) for compatibility with the SONET/SDH transport infrastructure, which will allow 10 Gigabit Ethernet links to operate over the installed based of WAN equipment and facilitate transmission over Metropolitan Area Networks (MANs). The LAN interfaces are optimized for the cabling and equipment infrastructure typical in enterprise networks and operate at 10.00 Gbps. This is the first time that LAN and SONET data rates are closely matched, so this offers an important opportunity to converge LAN, MAN, and WAN traffic. Also see XAUI and XENPAK.

Nomenclature: The nomenclature for the physical interface is “10BASE-mc”, where:
- “m” signifies the medium: S=short wavelength (850 nm); L=long wavelength (1300 nm); E=extra long wavelength (1550 nm).
- “c” signifies the coding: R=64bit/66bit LAN coding; W=64bit/66bit WAN coding + STS-192 encapsulation; X4=8B/10B LAN coding with 4 wavelengths over WWDM.

Physical Media: The physical media alternatives for 10 Gigabit Ethernet are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Line Rate</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Reach</th>
<th>Fiber</th>
<th>Optical Transceiver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAN</td>
<td>10.0 Gbps</td>
<td>10.3 Gbps</td>
<td>10BASE-SR</td>
<td>300 m</td>
<td>MMF</td>
<td>850 nm serial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10BASE-LR</td>
<td>10 km</td>
<td>SMF</td>
<td>1310 nm serial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10BASE-ER</td>
<td>40 km</td>
<td>SMF</td>
<td>1550 nm serial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 x 3.125 Gbps</td>
<td>10BASE-LX4</td>
<td>300 m</td>
<td>MMF</td>
<td>1310 nm WWDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 km</td>
<td>SMF</td>
<td>1310 nm WWDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAN</td>
<td>9.29 Gbps</td>
<td>9.953 Gbps</td>
<td>10BASE-SW</td>
<td>300 m</td>
<td>MMF</td>
<td>850 nm serial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10BASE-LW</td>
<td>10 km</td>
<td>SMF</td>
<td>1310 nm serial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10BASE-EW</td>
<td>40 km</td>
<td>SMF</td>
<td>1550 nm serial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also, see 802.3aq (10 Gigabit Ethernet on FDDI-Grade Multimode Fiber) regarding a new 10BASE-LRM standard.

Market Acceptance: Vendors stated in a Miercom survey that 10BASE-LR will be most important in the market, followed by 10BASE-ER, 10BASE-SR, 10BASE-LX4, 10BASE-LW, 10BASE-EW, and 10BASE-SW. Recent market information indicates that 10BASE-LX4 has largely been abandoned by manufacturers, and few products support it. The UNH 10GEC webpage has helpful information.

Copper Wiring: In November '02 the IEEE formed two study groups regarding standards for 10 GbE on copper wiring:
- 10BASE-CX4: see 802.3ak (10 Gigabit Ethernet on Coaxial Cable).
- 10BASE-T: see 802.3an (10 Gigabit Ethernet on Twisted Pair Copper Cable).

802.3af  Power over Ethernet
An IEEE standard -- DTE (Data Terminal Equipment) Power via MDI (Media Dependent Interface) -- ratified in March 2003 for economically providing DC power through an RJ-45 connector to a single Ethernet device over a twisted-pair link segment (10/100 and 1000BASE-T). 802.3af is now part of the 802.3-2005 standard. It provides 48 volts DC over two out of four available pairs on a Cat. 3/Cat. 5 cable. Since Cat 5 cable uses 24 AWG wires, the maximum possible current is 400 ma, for a maximum load of 15.4 watts. Only about 12.95 watts are available to an end node after allowing for losses. Some
key applications are powering Ethernet phones and 802.11 wireless LAN access points located in places where supplying power is inconvenient. Starting in 1999, however, Cisco, Nortel, Avaya, and others have shipped more than one million pre-standard powered Ethernet switch ports that were not necessarily interoperable.

Two wire pairs carry the power provided a detection circuit determines that the recipient device complies with the standard and thus won’t be damaged. An “end span” power source such as an 802.3af-compliant switch sends both data and power over pairs 1/2 and 3/6. A “mid span” power source can add power on links to new devices when older switches don’t provide it, and can employ the unused wire pairs 4/5 and 7/8 for carrying power.

IEEE is developing a new 802.3at standard for higher power capacity – see 802.3at.

802.3ah Ethernet in the First Mile (EFM)
A standard approved in June’04 for Ethernet in the first mile whose development began in Sept’01 after a year-long technical investigation supported by more than 80 companies. Nine vendors (Alloptic, Cisco, Elastic Networks, Ericsson Telecom, Extreme Networks, Finisar, Intel, NTT, and World Wide Packets) announced formation of the Ethernet in the First Mile Alliance (EFMA) and its first meeting in February ’02. The objective of the standard is to transmit Ethernet traffic over existing copper phone lines at up to 10 Mbps and up to 750 m. With fiber links, the goal is 1 Gbps at 10 km and 20km. A technology demonstration took place at the Supercomm show in June’04. The IEEE task force is also working on operations, administration, and maintenance issues.

One of the EFM mechanisms for operation over fiber is via a 1 Gbps Ethernet Passive Optical Network (EPON), using WDM operating at 1490nm downstream and 1310nm upstream, and leaving 1550nm open for other services. The EPON consists of an optical line terminator (OLT) located at the Central Office with a fiber trunk extending to 1:N passive optical splitters linked to optical network terminals (ONTs) at the customer’s premise. The MPCP protocol controls access to the shared network – see MPCP. Other EFM technologies include EFM Fiber (point-to-point fiber access) and EFM Copper (point-to-point wire pair access). See PON (Passive Optical Network).

EFM Copper standards include:
- 2BASE-TL: Provides for full duplex 2 Mbps over twisted pair copper wires up to 2700m (8800 feet). Multiple pairs can be bonded to provide higher rates. It is a baseband protocol that cannot run on lines simultaneously providing traditional telephone service.
- 10PASS-TS: A short-reach solution based on VDSL (Very high-speed DSL) and Discrete Multi-Tone modulation (DMT).

802.3ak 10 Gigabit Ethernet on Coaxial Cable (10GBASE-CX4)
Initiated in April ’03, the IEEE 802.3ak Task Force took the responsibility to develop the first standard for 10 Gbps Ethernet operation on copper cabling with over 30 companies participating. This standard, designated 10GBASE-CX4 and ratified in March’04, applies to full duplex operation on 4 pairs of Twinax cabling (4 x 3.125 Gbps) with a maximum distance of 15m. 802.3ak uses the XAUI 10 Gbe Attachment Unit Interface that 802.3ae specifies. This Twinax cabling is the type that IBM’s AS/400 uses, but it is not common. 10GBASE-CX4 and Infiniband use the same IB4X connectors and the same type of cable.

A key objective was a very low cost 10 Gbps link to interconnect switches in order to handle the traffic demands resulting from increased installation of 1000BASE-T to desktop PCs: costs are expected to be 5-10% of the costs of 10 Gbps fiber interfaces. Also see 802.3ae (10 Gigabit Ethernet) and 802.3an (10 Gigabit Ethernet on Twisted Pair Copper Cable).

802.3an 10 Gigabit Ethernet on Twisted Pair Copper Cable (10GBASE-T)
Like 1000BASE-T, the goal for this standard was links up to 100 m over 4-pair twisted pair cable. The IEEE task force began in Mar’04, with a completed draft approved in Mar’05 and the approved 802.3an-2006 standard published in June 2006. 802.3an uses the same standard RJ-45 connectors that are already widely used for slower Ethernet speeds. Cable types Cat 6 and above are supported:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cable</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cat 5e</td>
<td>ISO/IEC Class D</td>
<td>(not officially supported for 10GBASE-T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat 6</td>
<td>ISO/IEC Class E</td>
<td>37 m with no testing required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55 m with field testing for RF interference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat 6 Augmented (Cat 6a)</td>
<td>ISO/IEC 11801:2002 Amendment 1</td>
<td>100 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat 7</td>
<td>ISO/IEC Class F</td>
<td>100 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10GBASE-T preserves the 802.3 frame format and frame sizes. It operates in full duplex over star wiring topologies, provides automatic speed negotiation down to 10 Mbps, and supports 802.3af (Power Over Ethernet). In 10GBASE-T, like 1000BASE-T, stations at each end transmit simultaneously on all four cable pairs using Forward Error Correction (FEC) and echo cancellation. Pulse amplitude modulation with 16 discrete levels (PAM-16) is used. 128 DSQ encoding results in 2.539 Gbps on each pair (2.5 Gbps payload + FEC). Initial interface cost is expected to be half that of 10G fiber, and roughly 2X 1000BASE-T cost by mid-2007 when first switch and server NIC products are expected.
802.3ap Backplane Ethernet
An IEEE task force that has drafted standards for Ethernet as a backplane technology using ordinary copper conductors, recognizing that most vendors of network products such as switches and routers already have Ethernet-based backplanes that are non-standardized. A key objective is to allow customers to mix router or server blades from various manufacturers. The standard addresses three technologies: 1 Gbps, 10 Gbps serial, and 10 Gbps in four lanes of 3.125 Gbps each. The target for the completed standard is November 2006.

802.3aq 10 Gigabit Ethernet on FDDI-Grade Multimode Fiber (10GBASE-LRM)
The goal for this future standard is running 10 Gbps Ethernet over 220 meters on widely installed FDDI-grade multimode fiber rated at 500 MHz*km, and over 300 meters on specially selected kinds of multimode fiber. Approximately 40 companies are participating in standards development. The IEEE project was authorized in Sept’04 and hopes for a finished standard by the end of 2005. The expected physical layer specification will be named 10GBASE-LRM.

802.3as Ethernet Frame Expansion
This future standard will increase the Ethernet maximum envelope frame size from 1518 Bytes to 2,000 Bytes for additional header and trailer information. The basic Ethernet frame format and maximum data field length (1500 Bytes) will not change. The initial objective was to support 802.1Q Virtual Bridged LANs, 802.1ad Provider Bridges, 802.1AE MACSec, and ITU-T SG15 Ethernet transport encapsulations. The specification will advise that some standards-compliant implementations may not be able to handle frames longer than 1518 Bytes. The target for standardization is 2Q’06.

802.3at Power over Ethernet Plus
An enhancement to the 802.3af Power over Ethernet standard that the IEEE is pursuing, with approval planned for late 2007. 802.3at will use all four pairs in Cat 5 (or higher) cable, providing a theoretical maximum power of 56 Watts. Development guidelines require following the same 802.3af power safety rules and limitations, and backwards compatibility with 802.3af in order to power end devices intended for both 802.3af and 802.3at. Also see 802.3af.

802.3ba 40 Gbps and 100 Gbps Ethernet
Announced in July 2007, 802.3ba will be a future new high speed Ethernet standard that includes specifications for both 40 Gbps and 100 Gbps. Several physical interfaces will be available for each speed. 40 Gbps, aimed at faster server-to-switch applications, will support 1 m links for switch backplanes, 10 m links for copper cable, and 100 m multimode fiber links. 100 Gbps specifications, aimed at enhancing network aggregation and backbones, will include 10 m copper links, 100 m multimode fiber links, and single-mode fiber links up to 10 km and 40 km. The Ethernet Alliance website has a helpful white paper explaining the requirements and applications for both 40 and 100 Gbps Ethernet. The initial target was to complete the standard by mid-2010.

802.3x Full Duplex Flow Control
Defines Ethernet frames with start/stop requests and timers. This provides for primitive flow control and takes the place of collisions that don’t exist on full-duplex links.

802.3z Gigabit Ethernet on Fiber and Shielded Copper
Defines the 1000BASE-xx standards for Gigabit Ethernet on fiber and shielded copper cabling. The 1000BASE-T standard for operation on 100m of 4-pair Category 5 twisted pair copper cabling is defined by 802.3ab – see 802.3ab.

1000BASE-SX defines operation with short (850nm) wavelength lasers using a dual SC connector – this is the most common Gigabit interface to date:
- MMF 50u:
  - 400 MHz*km modal bandwidth – 500m maximum length
  - 500 MHz*km modal bandwidth – 550m maximum length
- MMF 62.5u (most commonly installed fiber):
  - 160 MHz*km modal bandwidth (old FDDI-grade fiber; TIA 568 spec) – 220m maximum length
  - 200 MHz*km modal bandwidth (ISO/IEC spec) – 275m maximum length

1000BASE-LX defines operation with long (1300nm) wavelength lasers using a dual SC connector:
- MMF 50u (400 and 500 MHz*km modal bandwidth) – 550m maximum length
- MMF 62.5u (500 MHz*km modal bandwidth) – 550m maximum length
- SMF 9u – 5km maximum length

1000BASE -CX defines operation with 150-Ohm shielded balanced copper cables up to 25m (jumper cables). It also supports twin-axial cable used by the majority of pre-standard products.

802.11 Wireless LANs (Wi-Fi)
802.11, known as Wi-Fi, defines standards for wireless LANs (WLANs) and was approved in Jul’97. WLANs provide half-duplex (not simultaneous bidirectional) connections that are shared, not switched. IEEE 802.11a and 802.11b (standardized
in Sept’99) and 802.11g (standardized in mid-2003) define different physical layer standards for WLANs, and the 802.11 standard offers no provisions for interoperability between these physical layers. Microsoft certification applies to both 802.11a and 802.11b. The IEEE 802.11 Working Group page has helpful information. Toshiba said it shipped the industry’s first laptop PC with built-in dual 802.11a/b connectivity in Dec’02. The Wi-Fi Alliance (www.wi-fi.org), previously known as WECA, promotes the standard, tests products for interoperability, and awards the “Wi-Fi” mark to those that past. Wi-Fi Alliance certified over 500 products by November ’02. Security is one of the biggest issues with wireless LANs – see WPA and WEP, as well as 802.11i (below).

By the end of 2003, unit shipments of 802.11g interfaces surpassed shipments of 802.11b and continued to grow while 802.11b shipments decline. By the end of 2004, nearly all chip sets being supplied by manufacturers support either 802.11b or a combination of 802.11b/802.11g. An 802.11g device typically uses four times the power of an 802.11b device, so 802.11b is often preferred for mobile units and handheld data terminals. 802.11b implementations are also less expensive, so 802.11b is often used in wireless gaming products and toys.

Overview of 802.11 Amendments

- Interference – 802.11h/y
- Interoperability – 802.11u
- Management – 802.11k/v
- Mesh – 802.11s
- QoS – 802.11e
- Radios – 802.11a/b/d/g/j/n/p/y
- Roaming – 802.11r
- Security – 802.11i/w
- Test – 802.11T
- Voice/Video – 802.11e/r/u

802.11a
802.11a operates at 5.180 to 5.825 GHz and provides data rates of 6 to 54 Mbps using the same OFDM (Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing) modulation as 802.11g, like European digital TV. 802.11a supports a maximum of 24 unique connections per access point, far more than the three connections supported by 802.11b and 802.11g. Compared to 802.11b, 802.11a offers higher (2X-5X) theoretical throughput, more available frequencies, avoiding multipath echoes, but shorter range (60-100 feet). Actual throughput at typical operating distances is often only 1-2 Mbps. 802.11a products did not become available from most U.S. vendors until early 2002.

802.11b
802.11b operates at 2.4 GHz (along with cordless phones and microwave ovens). It has 4 channels with 20 MHz spacing, and provides data rates of 1 to 11 Mbps over links of 150-300 feet using Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum (DSMM) modulation. Actual throughput is typically never more than 5 Mbps. 802.11b supports a maximum of three unique connections per access point, and 802.11b-compatible products were the first ones to become available in the U.S. Regarding 802.11a vs. 802.11b, Wi-Fi Planet has a helpful paper on making choices and the Linksys Network Basics webpage has helpful information on the technical differences.

802.11d
Similar to 802.11b with options to adjust frequency, power level, and signal bandwidth for use in countries where the other 802.11 standards are not allowed.

802.11e
Provides QoS (Quality of Service) that will be important for voice and multimedia transmission by describing error correction and bandwidth management to be used in 802.11a and 802.11b. There are two versions. EDCA (Enhanced Digital Control Access) mode, called WME (Wireless Multimedia Extensions), will become available first with certification testing planned starting Sept’04. WME defines eight levels of access priority and provides more access to higher-priority packets than to lower-priority packets but provides no bandwidth guarantees, and is probably best suited for one-way audio. HCCA (HCF Coordinated Channel Access), also known as WSM (Wireless Scheduled Multimedia), is a polled access method that includes WME and provides guaranteed bandwidth scheduling reservations. WSM, with certification testing planned starting Dec’04, is probably best suited for two-way streaming voice and video. The IEEE approved 802.11e in September 2005. Regarding QoS for Voice Over WLAN (VoWLAN), see SpectraLink Voice Priority (SVP). Also see Wi-Fi Multimedia (WMM).

802.11g
802.11g is an extension to 802.11b to provide data rates of 6 to 54 Mbps while operating at 2.4 GHz like 802.11b but using OFDM modulation like 802.11a. It uses 13 channels with 20 MHz spacing. Products are expected to have RF interference problems similar to 802.11b. Like 802.11b, 802.11g supports a maximum of three unique connections per access point. The IEEE approved the specification in June ’03, and the first products claiming compatibility with the draft standard shipped in Jan’03. In July ’03 the Wi-Fi Alliance completed successful interoperability testing of the first products. 802.11 Planet has a helpful tutorial comparing 802.11a with 802.11g.
802.11h
Defines processes that 802.11a systems can use to comply with ITU recommendations for avoiding conflict with other users of the 5 GHz spectrum such as military radar systems. These processes include DFS (Dynamic Frequency Selection), for using channels uniformly and avoiding channel conflict; and TPC (Transmit Power Control), for reducing the radio transmit power of Wi-Fi devices.

802.11i (WPA2)
A standard approved in June’04 that provides security enhancements based on WPA, TKIP, and AES. AES is the new Rijndael-based U.S. Government data encryption standard and is far more secure than WPA, the previous 802.11 security mechanism. 802.11i incorporates key management and authentication, and will likely replace WEP and WPA for WLAN security. The Wi-Fi Alliance planned to start certifying 802.11i products in September’04 under the name “WPA2”, indicating that the security is enhanced relative to WPA, and the protocol is now widely supported in WLAN switches. 802.11i/WPA2 includes provisions for fast authentication needed to enable practical Voice Over Wireless LAN (VoWLAN) operation. Also see 802.11w.

802.11j
A standard approved in Nov’04 that adds the 4.9 GHz band to the 5 GHz frequency band available for 802.11a networks. 4.9 GHz is not available in the U.S. but is important for Japan, although the IEEE insists that the “j” in 802.11j does not stand for “Japan”. In the U.S. the FCC has allocated this same band for use related to public safety and homeland security.

802.11k
A proposed standard to improve WLAN traffic distribution by optimizing channel selection, roaming decisions, and transmit power so that a wireless device does not necessarily connect to the access point having the strongest signal. It defines Layer 1 and Layer 2 statistics that wireless clients report to WLAN switches and access points. Software implementation should allow upgrading existing equipment to support 802.11k. An 802.11k first draft was published in March’04, and final standard approval was planned for mid-2007. Various proprietary solutions, including Cisco CCX, are available (see CCX).

802.11n
A standard in development to provide WLANs with at least 100 Mbps throughput, measured at the interface between the 802.11 media-access control (MAC) and higher layers. 802.11n is founded on Multiple-Input Multiple-Output technology (see MIMO) and OFDM modulation. Speeds up to 600 Mbps are theoretically possible, but throughput around 100 Mbps is probably more realistic. Consumer tests of “Draft N compliant” products vs. 802.11b/g products under real conditions in early 2007 showed only 2-3X speed improvements and only very slight range improvements.

The IEEE began debating various proposals in September 2004. TGn Sync and WWiSE were alliances of major companies with different proposals; see WWiSE and TGn Sync. A different group of at least 26 vendors called Enhanced Wireless Consortium (EWC) – including Atheros, Broadcom, Intel, and Marvell – converged late in the process and proposed a PHY layer with actual throughput up to 100 Mbps and interoperability with 802.11a/b/g that was accepted in January 2006 as a first-draft basis for 802.11n. An 802.11k first draft was approved in February 2007. Some pre-standard products are shipping now, and Intel said it plans to put pre-standard support in its Centrino chips in 2007, but the target date for publishing an approved 802.11n standard is October 2008. In September 2006 the Wi-Fi Alliance announced an unusual plan to begin certifying pre-standard (Draft 2.0) 802.11n products starting in June 2007 to try to avoid interoperability issues.

802.11p
A working group that is developing extensions in the 5.9 GHz spectrum that would provide connectivity to automobiles and other vehicles traveling up to 200 km/hour. Considerations include better security, mobile operation, identification, and a more sophisticated handoff system. 802.11p will be the basis of DSRC (Dedicated Short Range Communications), a system intended for communications from one vehicle to another or to a roadside network. See DSRC. Expected completion is in early 2009.

802.11r
A “fast roaming” initiative started in 2004 to avoid re-authentication when transitioning to a new access point. This will enable connectivity aboard vehicles in motion, with fast handoffs from one base station to another managed in a seamless manner. A key objective is enabling roaming applications such as VoIP calls made over wireless LANs (VoWLAN) to work without interruption. Formal 802.11r standard approval is scheduled for April 2008. Meanwhile, vendors including 3Com and Cisco have developed and shipped products with proprietary fast roaming mechanisms.

802.11s
An initiative started in 2004 to allow access points to route data to other access points in a mesh network, somewhat like the way IP routers operate in wired networks. Objectives include the use of routing to avoid failed access points and to balance traffic loading. 15 proposals for a standard submitted in 2005 were resolved to a single joint protocol (the “SEE-
Mesh” and "Wi-Mesh" proposals) in January 2006, which was still being debated in mid-2007. Expected completion is in late 2008.

802.11T – Wireless Performance Prediction
A task group formed in July 2004 to develop recommended test methods and metrics. Its objective is to give guidelines to manufacturers, independent test labs, service providers, and end users for measuring the performance of 802.11 equipment and networks: measurement methods, performance metrics, and test recommendations. The capital "T" in “802.11T” designates a recommended practice rather than a standard. The target for completion is mid-2009.

802.11u – WIEN Study Group (Wireless Internetworking with External Networks)
An amendment addressing internetworking issues between an 802.11 network and external networks such as 3G cellular networks or the Internet. It considers situations where the user is not pre-authorized. An 802.11 network will, for example, be able to allow access based on the user's relationship with the external network, based on online enrollment, or based on limited services such as emergency calls. Its goals are to provide better and more useful experiences for 802.11 users who are traveling, and to assist manufacturers and operators in providing common components and services for 802.11 users. The study group predicts 802.11u will be approved by March 2009.

802.11v
An initiative for wireless LAN management that began in early 2005, with a late-2009 completion target. It will define how an 802.11 network can control various parameters on wireless client devices. 802.11v is expected to address identifying networks that a client can connect to, load balancing and other network optimizations, minimizing management traffic and reducing power consumption on portable devices, and statistics monitoring and retrieval. Support for 802.11v might be implemented by software. Also see CAPWAP.

802.11w
An initiative started in early 2005 with a late-2008 approval target to extend 802.11 with protection for sensitive network information currently being exchanged in unprotected 802.11 management frames. A key objective is preventing network disruption caused by malicious systems. 802.11w is considering protection to enable data integrity, data origin authenticity, replay protection, and data confidentiality. Support for 802.11w might be implemented by software.

802.11y – Contention-Based Access
An initiative started in November 2005 to amend 802.11 for operation in the 3650-3700 MHz band that the U.S. FCC made available for public use in July 2005. 802.11y will also provide a standard mechanism for avoiding interference. A likely result is future products that offer optional support for this new frequency range in addition to 2.4 GHz (802.11b/g) and 5 GHz (802.11a). February 2008 is the expected completion date.

802.15 Wireless Personal Area Networking (WPAN)
The IEEE Wireless Overview Web site is helpful to explain what is happening in this area.

802.15.1 – Bluetooth®
Bluetooth wireless technology enabled devices are intended for short-range links between computers, personal digital assistants, mobile phones, printers, digital cameras, keyboards, and other PC peripherals. It is based on a 2.4 GHz radio transceiver using 79 channels with 1 MHz spacing. Version 2.0, which is backward compatible with earlier versions, was standardized in June 2004 and provides a maximum data rate of 2.1 Mbps operating in a total bandwidth of 3 Mbps (see EDR). Apple was the first to incorporate v2.0 in a computer (PowerBook, early 2005). The original standard allowed a maximum data rate of 721 kbps in a 1 Mbps bandwidth, over a range of up to 10 meters. The 1 Mbps data rate was a serious limitation preventing this technology from acting as a USB replacement except for very low-speed peripherals and limiting multimedia applications. The Bluetooth.com and Bluetooth.org sites have helpful information.

The next generation, Bluetooth 3.0 (Bluetooth UWB), will operate with an 8 GHz radio in addition to the traditional 2.4 GHz radio. It will use the WiMedia MAC and PHY standards, enabling data transfers at potential gross data rates of 53.3 to 480 Mbps (480 Mbps over 2 meters or 100 Mbps over 10 meters) – see WiMedia. First products may be available in 2008 or 2009.

A new Bluetooth Ultra Low Power (ULP) standard will be based on Wibree (see Wibree).

802.15.3
IEEE task group planning a standard for high rate WPANs with 11-55 Mbps data rates. In addition to high speed, the new standard will provide for low power, low cost solutions addressing the needs of portable consumer digital imaging and multimedia applications.

802.15.3a
An IEEE task group begun in January 2003 to develop high data rate UWB standards, but terminated in January 2006 because of inability to reach consensus on a single standard. This resulted in the consolidation of 23 UWB PHY specifications into two initiatives: Multiband Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (MB-OFDM) UWB, supported by
the WiMedia Alliance, and direct sequence-CDMA UWB (DS-UWB), supported by the UWB Forum. See UWB and WiMedia.

**802.15.4 – ZigBee**

ZigBee addresses the low cost and low power needs that remote monitoring and control and sensory network applications have, including the ability to run for years on standard batteries. Primary ZigBee applications are in home area networking and home automation, with strong secondary markets in industrial control and commercial building control. 802.15.4 products were first expected in early 2005. ZigBee has a 250 Kbps data rate and operates on 16 channels with 5 MHz spacing in unlicensed bands that include 2.4 GHz globally, 902-928 MHz in the Americas, and 868 MHz in Europe. The ZigBee Alliance of over 225 companies owns the standard and promotes this technology, and completed the ZigBee 1.0 specification in Dec’04. ZigBee supporters include Johnson Controls, LG, Mitsubishi, Motorola, NEC, Philips, Samsung, and Siemens. Also see Wibree.

**802.16 WiMAX (Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access)**

This IEEE standard defines broadband wireless for the metropolitan area. Potential applications include “last mile” wireless alternatives to wired broadband services such as DSL and cable, mobile connections in large WiMAX hot zones, full roaming not limited to hot zones, and backhaul connections for Wi-Fi that deliver additional bandwidth to WLAN hotspots. Several prominent carriers are seriously considering WiMAX as a 4G technology that could take over 3G cellular networks. Currently WiMAX, which is based on 802.16, supports data rates up to 75 Mbps over the 2-11 GHz frequency range using channel bandwidths of 1.25 to 20 MHz and QAM (16QAM or 64QAM) or QPSK (OFDM) modulation. WiMAX was planned from the beginning to be a service offered by carriers, and to be compatible with European standards. Besides wired alternative such as cable and fiber, market competition includes the newer high-performance 3G/3.5G wireless protocols such as 1xEV-DO and HSDPA. Also see MIMO and HIPERMAN.

WiMAX standards address the 2-66 GHz frequency range, but only frequencies below 6 GHz reliably support non-line-of-sight operations. In the U.S., such potential frequencies that are available include the Licensed Broadband Radio Service (BRS) 2.5 GHz band, the Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure (I-NII) 5 GHz band, and the Wireless Communication Services (WCS) 2.3 GHz band.

The WiMAX Forum of over 100 companies was established in 2001 by Nokia, Ensemble Communications, and the Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing Forum. The WiMAX Forum now works to promote deployment of broadband wireless access networks based on 802.16 and to certify product interoperability. Two certification laboratories (in Spain and Korea) have been established, and by May 2006 14 fixed network products had been certified. Public mobile WiMAX Forum PlugFests were held in Sept’06 and March’07, with more than 30 companies participating the second time. The WiMAX Trends website shows WiMAX business and technology news and events. By April 2005, Intel, Fujitsu, and Texas Instruments had announced chip sets supporting WiMAX. Various carriers around the world are currently deploying fixed WiMAX networks; Sprint is the first major U.S. carrier providing national service, with plans to launch its WiMAX service in Chicago and Washington, D.C., in early 2008.

802.16

This initial version, approved in Dec’01, operates in the 10-66 GHz frequency band with line-of-sight towers to fixed locations.

802.16a

Ratified in Jan’03, 802.16a does not require line-of-sight transmission and allows use of lower 2-11 GHz frequencies for both fixed and portable applications. It claims up to a 30-mile (50 km) range and up to 75 Mbps data transfer (at 20 MHz channelization) that can support thousands of users, plus improved latency and per-connection QoS features. A practical cell radius is probably 3-5 miles. 802.16a provides selectable channel bandwidths from 1.25 to 20 MHz with up to 16 logical sub-channels. Interoperability forums have been held, and the first commercial products were expected to ship in 2H’04.

802.16c

Approved in Dec’02, this adds 10-66 GHz operation.

802.16d (802.16-2004)

Correctly named 802.16 REVd, this draft updates and replaces 802.16, 802.16a, and 802.16c to incorporate the many amendments associated with them. The official released version of the WiMAX standard for transmission between fixed locations is named 802.16-2004 (June’04). The WiMAX Forum is testing products for compliance, but none was certified by 2005.

802.16e – Mobile WiMAX

Ratified by the IEEE in December 2005, 802.16e adds regional roaming (“Mobile WiMAX”) for broadband wireless applications up to 15 Mbps (at 5 MHz channelization) with a typical cell radius of 1-3 miles. It supports mobility up to 65 mph (105 km/hr). Mobile WiMAX uses OFDM modulation in a multiple-access mode called OFDMA (Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access) in which multiple users share the OFDM channel. Fixed WiMAX uses conventional
OFDM, giving each user the entire channel for a time period – see OFDM. Mobile WiMAX includes support for Multiple-Input Multiple-Output antenna systems (see MIMO) and beamforming or AAS (see AAS).

802.16e replaces 802.16d (802.16-2004), but does not provide for backward compatibility. Chips for some portable applications were expected in 2006, with first products certified by the WiMAX Forum by late 2006. Operation with true mobility (moving between cells at high speed) may be delayed until later. Widespread availability and use of 802.16e technology may be as late as 2009. Regarding handoff methods, see HHO, FBSS, and MDHO. Also see WiBro.

A key feature distinguishing WiMAX from other wireless technologies is per-flow Quality of Service (QoS), the ability for a client to have several connections that each has its own QoS characteristics. 802.16 defines four kinds of QoS:
- **UGS**: Unsolicited Grant Service, supporting constant bit-rate services such as T1 emulation and VoIP without silence suppression.
- **rtPS**: Real-Time Polling Service, providing irregularly-timed variable-sized packets for MPEG and VoIP with silence suppression.
- **nrtPS**: Non Real-Time Polling Service, supporting consistent variable-sized packets for services such as FTP.
- **BE**: Best Effort Service, for low-priority applications.

### 802.17 Resilient Packet Ring (RPR)
An IEEE standards development project ([IEEE802.org/17](https://www.ieee802.org/17)) begun with 34 companies in December 2000 to define a Resilient Packet Ring Access Protocol for use in Local, Metropolitan, and Wide Area Networks for transfer of data packets at rates scalable to many gigabits per second. Key features include:
- dynamic bandwidth allocation without pre-provisioning;
- higher bandwidth in dual-ring configurations by utilizing both fiber rings for active traffic in normal situations;
- resiliency to respond to node failures in less than 50 msec.;
- simplified provisioning without the need to create connection-oriented circuits; and
- QoS designated in a 3-bit RPR frame header field.

The protocol can use existing physical layer standards such as Gigabit Ethernet, OC-48, and OC-192. It seeks to provide many of the benefits of SONET for high-speed data transfer. RPR has little similarity with SONET except that RPR switches are connected in a ring and restoration can occur within 50 msec. RPR is based in part on Cisco Dynamic Packet Transport (DPT) and Spatial Reuse Protocol (SRP, [RFC2892](https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2892)), Luminous Resilient Packet Transport (RPT), and Nortel IPT technologies. The RPR Alliance was founded in January 2001 to promote the standardization of the technology. The expectation for IEEE standardization was 2H'03. Cisco, Dynarc, Luminous, and Nortel were among vendors who said they were shipping products with RPR-like features.

### 802.20 MobileFi or Mobile Broadband Wireless Access
An IEEE standards development project established in December 2002 that originally planned to define mobile broadband wireless access (MBWA), with 802.16 (WiMAX) addressing fixed-location access. 802.16e (Mobile WiMAX) is now an alternative service. In June 2006 the IEEE suspended 802.20 activities temporarily because of various irregularities in the proceedings.

### 802.21
The IEEE 802.21 working group, which began in early 2004, is developing standards and protocols that support mobile communication handover (passing control from one base station to another) and interoperability between similar and dissimilar networks. This work includes 802-type networks such as Wi-Fi (802.11), Bluetooth (802.15.1), and WiMAX (802.16) as well as non-802 networks such as those for 2.5G/3G mobile cellular communications. The standard is expected to enable mobile devices to determine when to switch from 802.11 to cdma2000, for example, based on their current radio environment. One goal is to allow VoIP and other office applications to move seamlessly to the field and operate there equally well. No timeframe is established yet for completing this standard.

### 1000BASE-XX Gigabit Ethernet
For 1000BASE-SX, 1000BASE-LX, and 1000BASE-CX, see 802.3z. For 1000BASE-T, see 802.3ab. 1000BASE-LH is the informal name given to new technology that several vendors are trying to standardize for operating Gigabit Ethernet over fiber at distances up to 80 km or more for MAN applications.

### ACL Access Control List
Information that a network device such as a router uses to determine who can be admitted to a network.

### ACTA Advanced Telecom Computing Architecture
A standards group ([ATCANewsletter.com](http://www.atcanewsletter.com)) begun in 2001 by the PCI Industrial Computers Manufacturers Group (PICMG) to define a PC-type modular architecture and standard plug-in card interfaces for carrier-grade networking equipment such as that which is installed in carrier switching centers and end offices. There are now over 200 chip, component, and system
vendors actively involved. ACTA provides for up to four 2.75 x 7 inch advanced mezzanine cards (AMCs), or eight half-height AMCs, mounted on a carrier card (CC) that plugs in a slot on a standard ACTA backplane. In late 2004, a MicroTCA (Micro Telecommunications Architecture or µTCA) subgroup began definition of cards that can plug directly in a backplane without needing a carrier card.

**AES**  
**Advanced Encryption Standard**  
The U.S. Government selected AES in May 2002 to replace its traditional Data Encryption Standard (see DES) because AES is more secure and much faster to compute. AES, defined by FIPS 197, is based on a key size of 128, 192, or 256 bits. In February 2001, Cisco stated its intention to support AES. It won’t be widely used until the IETF specifies how to use AES within the IP Security (IPsec) and Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) protocols; see IPsec and SSL. Widespread implementation was expected by 2004. AES is a required element of 802.11i wireless LAN security – see WPA2.

**ARA**  
**Address Resolution Advertisement**  
A new OSPF routing service being defined by the IETF (www.ietf.org/html.charters/ospf-charter.html). It propagates IP/ATM address mappings to OSPF ATM-attached routers and allows a shortcut SVC to be set up immediately between distant ATM routers. This avoids the query time and potential packet loss associated with using NHRP. It interoperates with NHRP and MPOA.

**ARP**  
**Address Resolution Protocol**  
Based on standard RFC826; a TCP/IP protocol used to obtain the physical address of a node when only its logical IP address is known. An ARP request with a desired IP (Layer 3) address is broadcast onto the network, and the node having that address responds by sending back its hardware (Layer 2) address so that packets can be sent to it.

Reverse ARP (RARP) does the opposite, finding the Layer 3 address that corresponds to a Layer 2 address – see RARP and BootP.

**AToM**  
**Any Transport over Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS)**  
A Cisco specification for transporting Layer 2 packets over a Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) backbone. It aggregates various type of Layer 2 traffic such as Ethernet, ATM, and Frame Relay by creating a permanent virtual circuit across an IP/MPLS network.

**BCP**  
**Bridging Control Protocol**  
Background: The Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP, RFC1661) provides a standard method for transporting multi-protocol data over remote bridges on point-to-point links between two peers such as switches or routers. Using PPP is a common way to transmit Ethernet over SONET (also see EoS). BCP, defined by RFC2878, is responsible for configuring, enabling, and disabling the bridge protocol modules on both ends of a PPP link.

**BGMP**  
**Border Gateway Multicast Protocol**  
See MBGP (Multicast Border Gateway Protocol).

**BGP**  
**Border Gateway Protocol**  
Based on IETF RFC1771: a TCP/IP routing protocol for interdomain routing in large networks. It is used in the Internet and enables policy-based routing between ISPs. It could be applicable to corporate intranets that attach to the public Internet at more than one point. It is an alternative to EGP (Exterior Gateway Protocol). The current version is BGP-4. The 1996 web page http://joe.lindsay.net/bgp.html contains links to related RFCs, links to tutorial pages, and tips for configuring BGP routing.

Internal BGP is used within one Autonomous System (AS). External BGP is used between two border routers that are in different Autonomous Systems. See MBGP (Multicast Border Gateway Protocol). See GUM.

MP-BGP (Multiprotocol Extensions for BGP-4), sometimes referred to informally as MBGP or BGP4+, is based on IETF RFC2858, which obsoletes RFC2283. MP-BGP defines extensions to BGP-4 that enable it to carry routing information for multiple Network Layer protocols such as IPv6 and multicast rather than being limited to IPv4. Additional IETF draft standards are further defining how IPv6 is handled.

**BITS**  
**Building Integrated Timing Supply**  
The primary timing source in a carrier facility or data center. BITS is usually distributed to equipment using alternate mark inversion (AMI) or binary 8-zero substitution (B8ZS) T1 (1.544 Mbps) circuits. T1s used for synchronization may be special timing circuits from a clock distribution device, or traffic bearing circuits from a trusted network element such as a digital cross-connect system (DCS) or SONET ADM with GPS input.

**Bluetooth®**  
See 802.15.1.
BootP Bootstrap Protocol
Based on IETF RFC951: a low-level TCP/IP protocol used by a diskless workstation or a network computer to boot itself from the network. BootP enables the station to determine its own logical IP (Layer 3) address upon startup. It uses the UDP transport mechanism and is an alternative to the RARP protocol – see RARP.

DHCP (Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol) includes all the BootP functions, so a DHCP server can respond to BootP requests. See DHCP. A BootP Relay Agent in a router is a function that relays BootP requests from a workstation on one subnet to a BootP or DHCP server on a different subnet. BootP requests are broadcast requests, so without this function the requests will not cross subnet boundaries.

BPL Broadband Over Power Lines
A system for using power lines to transport high-speed IP communications into a home or business from a nearby outdoor utility pole, avoiding use of phone lines or TV cable. Typically, a service provider will use a wireless router attached to the utility pole to complete the link to a central site. The technology’s biggest challenges are cost and potential for interference. BPL uses 2-80 MHz frequencies.

BUS Broadcast and Unknown Server
An ATM LAN Emulation service: see LANE (LAN Emulation).

BWA Broadband Wireless Access
A generic term describing high speed wireless service that could potentially be provided by WiMAX (see 802.16), MobileFi (see 802.20), or various proprietary systems.

CAPWAP Control and Provisioning of Wireless Access Points
An IETF initiative initiated by Cisco/Airespace and NTT DoCoMo to define standard ways for Wi-Fi APs to exchange information about control, management, and provisioning that will be based on Cisco’s LWAPP (Lightweight Access Point Protocol) protocol. Cisco’s CCX is a proprietary protocol with some similar objectives (see CCX). The IEEE 802.11v project is very similar to CAPWAP (see 802.11v). As of mid-2007 a standard was not yet ratified, so assured interoperation required controllers and APs to be purchased from the same vendor.

Cat x Cable
Refer to 802.3an.

CBT Core Based Trees
An IETF draft defining an IP multicast protocol based on shared trees. It uses the existing unicast routing table plus Join/Prune/Graft schemes to build a multicast distribution tree. Related RFC documents include: RFC2189 on Core Based Trees (CBT version 2) Multicast Routing; RFC2201 on Core Based Trees (CBT) Multicast Routing Architecture.

CGMP Cisco Group Multicast Protocol
A Cisco-proprietary form of IGMP (Internet Group Multicast Protocol) snooping. It lets a switch selectively send IP multicast traffic to those ports on a VLAN that want to participate in the multicast.

CIDR Classless Inter-Domain Routing
Based on IETF RFC1817: a method for allocating a contiguous block of Class-C addresses to one organization because sufficient Class-B IP addresses are not available. It uses the existing 32-bit Internet Address Space more efficiently and reduces the burden on routing tables in the Internet. It allows Internet service providers to provide a subnetwork by combining a number of Class C IP addresses into one. This is a notation rather than a protocol. It is used by BGP and OSPF routing protocols (see BGP, OSPF).

CIM Common Information Model
A standard format for storing management information and providing common definitions for managed objects in an enterprise networking environment. The development of the standard was turned over to the DMTF (Desktop Management Task Force). A CIM tutorial is at www.wbemsolutions.com/tutorials/CIM. CIM is comprised of a Specification (which defines how it integrates with other management models such as SNMP MIBs) and a Schema (which describes data models). CIM is a key element of Directory Enabled Networking (see DEN).

CIM v2.3 (March 2000) completed the interface between CIM and LDAP, the protocol for accessing DEN-based directories (see LDAP). CIM v2.5 (February 2001) added the Event Model (for alerting management systems about network element failures) and the IPsec Model (defining protocols for secure communications).

SNMP is an alternative management scheme that is well established for networking devices. CIM proponents say that a key strength compared to SNMP is CIM’s management object files that define the associations between components and allow users to track the relationships between managed objects.
CIP  Classical IP Over ATM
See IP Over ATM.

CJPAT  Continuous Jitter Tolerance Test Pattern
CJPAT and CRPAT (Continuous Random Test Pattern) are test patterns specified in the 802.3ae 10 Gigabit Ethernet draft standard for evaluating PMD receiver and transmitter jitter compliance in a system environment.

CLI  Command Line Interface
For routers and switches, this is a type of user interface for entering line-by-line commands for control and configuration, typically from a terminal that is either physically attached locally or attached remotely via a telnet network connection.

CLNS  Connectionless Network Services
Based on OSI protocols, CLNS is a transport layer service for transporting data with "best effort" delivery that uses CLNP (Connectionless Network Protocol). CLNP is functionally similar to IP (Internet Protocol).

CMIP  Common Management Information Protocol
An ITU-T standard protocol designed for exchanging network monitoring and control information on OSI networks. CMOT (CMIP Over TCP/IP) is a version that runs on TCP/IP networks. RFC1189 describes CMIP and CMOT. Compared to SNMP, CMIP has some additional features, is more complex, and is less popular than SNMP in North America. Carnegie Mellon has a technology description at www.sei.cmu.edu/str/descriptions/cmip_body.html. Also see SNMP and TL1.

COPS  Common Open Policy Service
A simple query-and-response protocol defined by IETF RFC2748 for exchanging information over TCP/IP between a policy server (a PDP-Policy Decision Point) and its clients, which are policy enforcing network devices (PEPs - Policy Enforcement Points) such as a multilayer switch. It defines a way for network devices to exchange information about a network's policies -- typically related to QoS and security -- with a policy server. For example, Cisco's COPS QoS Policy Manager (QPM-COPS) claims to share policy information with other directory-enabled applications via the COPS protocol and publish information in enterprise directories based on the DEN schema -- see Directory Enabled Networking (DEN) and Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP).

CR-LDP  Constraint-Based Routed Label Distribution Protocol
CR-LDP and RSVP-TE are protocols for distributing labels among MPLS routers for constraint-based routing. See MPLS. RFC3213 explains the applicability of CR-LDP.

CRPAT  Continuous Random Test Pattern
See CJPAT (Continuous Jitter Tolerance Test Pattern).

CSV  Comma-Separated Values
A Windows-compatible format for data elements separated by commas, such as produced by networking or test equipment.

Data Rates for Ethernet
See Ethernet Data Rates.

DDNS  Dynamic Domain Name System
This is an extension to the Domain Name System (DNS) that enables DNS servers to dynamically update the DNS database when a facility such as DHCP assigns an IP address to a network device.

DEN  Directory Enabled Networking
A scheme that allows entire networks to be managed by setting enterprise-wide policies instead of by configuring individual network devices such as switches and routers. Such policy-based networking links specific network users or groups to sets of information (policies) that define the network services or priorities they are entitled to. A major part of the DEN effort involves defining the directory structures used to store this information and the protocols by which network applications will access and use the directory information.

There is widespread vendor support for using LDAP as the primary directory access protocol to access directories based on DEN – see LDAP. In March 1998 Cisco and Microsoft, who were leading the DEN effort, gave the CIM working group the responsibility for further DEN development. In September 1998 the DMTF (Desktop Management Task Force – www.dmtf.org/) took over responsibility for defining DEN. DEN is based on the DMTF Common Information Model (see CIM), which is used to represent the devices, services, and users that DEN controls.

called iPlanet to provide DEN-compatible directories for ecommerce. Cisco Networking Services (CNS) products are based on DEN standards. Various hardware vendors are referencing DEN in connection with their products, but it is not clear that any of these products interoperate.

**DES Data Encryption Standard**

DES is the U.S. Government-approved encryption standard from the 1970s that has proven to be breakable because of its relatively small 56-bit key size. Most people wanting high security uses the Triple-DES version, based on a key size of 112 or 168 bits. **FIPS standard 46-3** designates DES and Triple-DES. DES requires too much computing resources for high speed throughput, so the U.S. Government has recently chosen the Advanced Encryption Standard to replace DES (see AES). Also see IPsec.

**DHCP Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol**

Based on IETF **RFC2131**: a protocol for dynamic IP address assignment and automatic TCP/IP configuration that provides both static and dynamic address allocation. Extensions are being added to support PC boot from the network: Network PC v1.0 Reference Design specifies using DHCP for network boot, and DHCP is likely to replace RPL. NetWare 5.0 will include support for DHCP. IBM’s LAN Client Control Manager v2 uses DHCP, replacing RPL that was used in v1.

DHCP includes all the BootP (Bootstrap Protocol) functions, so a DHCP server can respond to BootP requests. See BootP. DHCPv6 is the version under development for IPv6 – see IPv6. See MDHCP (multicast version of DHCP) and DNS (static address allocation).

**Background** Manually assigning static addresses to each network device has long been a problem. In the past, workstations used RARP and BootP to obtain IP addresses from the network. But these protocols support only static allocation, and BootP requires workstation information such as the IP host address to be set up manually in a server database. Dynamic address assignment using DHCP provides for easier initial configuration and changes, allowing plug and play network operation for workstations and PCs.

**How it works** When a DHCP client workstation boots, it broadcasts a DHCP request asking for IP address and configuration parameters from any DHCP server on the network. An authorized DHCP server for this client will suggest an IP address by sending a reply to the client. The client may accept the first IP address or wait for additional offers from other servers on the network. Eventually the client selects the offer made by a particular server sends a request to accept it. That server sends an acknowledgment confirming the client’s IP address and providing any other configuration parameters that the client asked for.

The client’s DHCP-issued IP address has an associated lease time that defines how long the IP address is valid. The client can repeatedly ask the server for renewal. If the client does not request renewal or if the client machine is shut down, the lease will eventually expire. Then that IP address can be reused by giving it to another machine. DHCP servers can also assign static network addresses to clients. This is handled by giving addresses an infinite lease.

A DHCP Relay Agent in a router is a function that relays DHCP requests from a workstation on one subnet to a DHCP server on a different subnet. DHCP requests are broadcast requests, so without this function the requests will not cross subnet boundaries.

**Issues** Since DHCP dynamically allocates IP addresses it is possible that one computer that is booted several times may be assigned more than one address on any given day. Furthermore, a computer is not likely to always be assigned the same IP address. To prevent the same IP address from being issued to more than one user on the network, DHCP servers commonly verify addresses by the simplistic approach of issuing a ping to ensure that an IP address isn’t already in use. If there’s a computer using that address on the network and that computer is running, it sends back a reply. A group of vendors including Cisco, Microsoft, and Novell is submitting a proposal to store configuration data in an LDAP directory where different servers can access it to prevent address duplication (see LDAP).

**Differential Delay**

As applied to virtual concatenation in a SONET/SDH network (see VC): the difference in time that the various channels comprising the concatenated virtual payload take in pursuing different routes through the network. A process called Differential Delay Compensation is performed at the destination end to absorb the delays and return the data to its original structure.

**DiffServ Differentiated Services**

The result of an IETF working group that is defining a new bandwidth-management scheme for IP networks. The plan redefines part of the existing Type-of-Service (ToS) byte in every IP packet header to mark the priority or service level that packet requires – see TOS; this byte is renamed the DS byte. DiffServ will work well with security protocols because the TOS byte is in the IP header and is therefore not encrypted. The old Diff Serv charter is defined at [www.ietf.org/html.charters/OLD/diffserv-charter.html](http://www.ietf.org/html.charters/OLD/diffserv-charter.html). Information about proposed standards is contained in RFC2474 and RFC2475. DiffServ had extremely widespread support among equipment vendors and service providers. It was expected to
be a key element of Voice Over IP service (see VOIP).

Traffic service requirements are marked in the DS byte in the IP packet header. A 6-bit field called the Differentiated Services Codepoint (DSCP) defines the per-hop behavior (PHB) that the packet will receive; 2 bits are currently unused. The DS byte determines how a multilayer switch or router will handle the packet. Setting the bits in the DS byte will typically be performed only at the network boundary.

The scheme is expected to scale well because the work of making these assignments, which involves examining Layer 3 or higher layers of each packet, is limited to edge routers. LDAP is the likely protocol that these routers will use for handling policies regarding how to mark each packet (see LDAP). Routers in the core of the network simply examine Layer 2 and give the same service to all packets that are marked the same way. ISPs, or potentially ISP customers, may be able to mark the packets based on service level agreements.

Other references: See Integrated Services (IntServ) for an alternate approach that preceded DiffServ. Multi-Protocol Label Switching (see MPLS) is an entirely different approach that maps Layer 3 traffic to connection-oriented Layer 2 transports such as ATM.

Digital Wrapper
See G.709.

DNS
Domain Name System
Based on IETF RFC1033 DNS is a distributed database system for translating names of Internet host computers into IP addresses. A DNS server computer maintains a database for resolving host names into IP addresses so that client computer users can address a remote computer by its host name (such as www.anritsu.com) rather than its complicated numerical IP address. The DNS Resources Directory provides extensive online technical information and news about DNS. Also see DDNS.

DNS also allows a host computer that is not directly on the Internet to have the same style of registered name. DNS normally only works with static IP addresses. DHCP allows dynamically assigned IP addresses to be tracked by DNS servers – see DHCP.

DoS
Data Over SONET
Refers to using the combination of protocols GFP, VC, and LCAS for transporting various data types, but particularly Ethernet and IP, over SONET/SDH. See GFP, VC, and LCAS.

DS
Digital Signal
A system of classifying digital circuits according to the rate and format of the signal (DS) and the equipment providing the signals (T). DS and T designations have come to be used synonymously so that DS1 implies T1, and DS3 implies T3. In SONET, STS is used for electrical formats and OC is used for optical formats. Also see PDH.

Voice Channels in North America, Japan, Korea:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Channels</th>
<th>Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DS0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64 Kbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.544 Mbps (T1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS1C</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3.152 Mbps (T1C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS2</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>6.312 Mbps (T2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS3</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>44.736 Mbps (T3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS4</td>
<td>4032</td>
<td>274.176 Mbps (T4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Voice Channels in Europe and the ITU:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Channels</th>
<th>Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.048 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>8.448 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>34.368 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>139.264 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E5</td>
<td>7680</td>
<td>565.148 Mbps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Virtual Container (VC) utilization:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VC11</td>
<td>Used to carry T1 (1.544 Mbps) signals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC12</td>
<td>Used to carry E1 (2.048 Mbps) signals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC2</td>
<td>Used to carry T2 (6.312 Mbps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC3</td>
<td>3 VC3 containers are carried in VC-4; Used to carry T3 (44.736 Mbps) or E3 (34.368 Mbps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC4</td>
<td>Payload rate is 149,760 bps; Carries a 140 Mbps payload in STM-1; Used to carry E4 (139.264 Mbps)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Virtual Tributary (VT) Structure for transporting lower rate (DS1, DS1C, DS2) SONET payloads:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VT1.5</td>
<td>1.728 Mbps (28 VT1.5 channels per STS-1 channel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT2</td>
<td>2.304 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT3</td>
<td>3.456 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT6</td>
<td>6.912 Mbps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SONET and SDH Circuits:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U S. SONET Level</th>
<th>ITU SDH Level</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>Concatenated Format (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STS/OC-1</td>
<td>STM-0</td>
<td>51.84 Mbps (28 DS1 or 1 DS3)</td>
<td>149.76 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS/OC-3</td>
<td>STM-1</td>
<td>155.52 Mbps (3 STS-1)</td>
<td>599.04 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS/OC-12</td>
<td>STM-4</td>
<td>622.08 Mbps (12 STS-1)</td>
<td>2.39616 Gbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS/OC-48</td>
<td>STM-16</td>
<td>2.4883 Gbps (48 STS-1)</td>
<td>9.58464 Gbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS/OC-192</td>
<td>STM-64</td>
<td>9.9533 Gbps (192 STS-1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS/OC-768</td>
<td>STM-256</td>
<td>39.813 Gbps (768 STS-1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS/OC-1536</td>
<td>STM-512</td>
<td>79.626 Gbps (1536 STS-1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A “c” suffix on STS and OC rates (such as OC-12c) signifies a concatenated format where the payloads from a number of STS-1 equivalent frames are combined to create one higher-capacity channel with less SONET overhead.

DSCP Differentiated Services Codepoint
See DiffServ (Differentiated Services).

DSRC Dedicated Short Range Communications
A system intended for communications between two vehicles, or from one vehicle to a roadside network. The Armstrong Consulting Web site has helpful information. See 802.11p.

DVMRP Distance Vector Multicast Routing Protocol
A routing protocol for IP multicast based on IETF experimental standard RFC1075. DVMRP is the protocol currently used on the MBONE (Multicast Backbone), a global experimental network of routers that support IP multicasting. DVMRP maintains its own routing tables that are distinct from unicast routing tables. DVMRP is considered a “dense mode” protocol -- it relies on flooding to propagate information to all routers on the network.

Issues Because DVMRP uses its own routing tables, there can be differences between the multicast and unicast routing tables so that multicast and unicast traffic may not follow the same routes. Some people have the opinion that DVMRP behaves poorly in large networks because its overhead consumes too much bandwidth and multicast packets are sent to people who don’t want them.

DWDM Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing
See WDM (Wavelength Division Multiplexing).

E1
For E1 through E5, see DS (Digital Signal).

EAP Extensible Authentication Protocol
Defined by RFC3748, EAP is a general authentication framework that allows various authentication methods to be used. It applies to both wired and wireless LANS, though it is most often used in wireless LANs. EAP is a framework, so it is neither a specific authentication mechanism nor a wire protocol. EAP typically runs directly over data link layers such as Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP) or IEEE 802, without requiring IP. 802.1x uses EAP (see 802.1x). It was originally proposed by Cisco to improve the security in its proprietary Lightweight Extensible Authentication Protocol (LEAP).

ECMP Equal-Cost Multipath Routing
A routing protocol variation that allows multiple paths between routing points that each have the same assigned cost. Traditional routing schemes assigned all traffic to a single path, even if other paths were available. With ECMP, routed traffic can be distributed across all multiple paths so that both load balancing and redundancy are achieved. ECMP for OSPF v2 is described in RFC2328.

EFM Ethernet in the First Mile
See 802.3ah (Ethernet in the First Mile).

EGP Exterior Gateway Protocol
A generic term for protocols that broadcast TCP/IP addresses to the gateway in another network, and the name of a specific such protocol that has been replaced in the Internet by BGP (Border Gateway Protocol) -- see BGP.
EIGRP  Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol
Cisco's newest version of its proprietary routing algorithm IGRP. It provides better convergence properties and operating efficiency than IGRP, and claims to combine the advantages of link state protocols (such as OSPF) with the advantages of distance vector protocols (such as RIP).

ENUM  Telephone Number Mapping
Based on RFC2916, this proposed standard uses the Internet's Domain Name System (DNS) to create a directory for converting a standard ITU E.164 telephone number into an IP address. The IETF and ITU have both agreed on the ENUM mapping formula. The ultimate objective is to leverage the existing DNS infrastructure to facilitate Voice over IP (VoIP) telephony.

EoMPLS  Ethernet over Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS)
EoMPLS is an increasingly popular process for providing Layer 2 VPN services. IETF drafts specify EoMPLS for point-to-point (Draft Martini) and multipoint (Draft Kompella) services. EoMPLS transports all frames from an Ethernet or VLAN segment, regardless of their destination MAC addresses. The Ethernet frames are carried over an MPLS infrastructure inside service provider frames that can have multiple MPLS labels. Cisco uses EoMPLS in connection with their AToM (Any Transport over MPLS) process (see AToM).

EoS  Ethernet Over SONET/SDH
EoS is not a single standard; there are various processes for transporting Ethernet over SONET or SDH. See BCP (Bridging Control Protocol), VC (Ethernet Over SONET/SDH Virtual Concatenation), GFP (Generic Framing Protocol), LAPF (Link Access Procedure-Frame Mode), LAPS (Link Access Procedure-SDH), and LEX (LAN Extension Protocol). CommsDesign provides a helpful tutorial on EoS.

EoS-VC  Ethernet Over SONET/SDH Virtual Concatenation
See VC (Virtual Concatenation).

EPL  Ethernet Private Line
A generic term describing a service that uses Ethernet as the user-network interface to connect enterprise equipment to a public network for delivering point-to-point Ethernet service between sites.

EPON  Ethernet Passive Optical Network
See 802.3ah (Ethernet in the First Mile).

ESCON  Enterprise System Connection (IBM)
An IBM storage networking legacy protocol for disk storage that preceded Fibre Channel. ESCON is a 200 Mbps unidirectional serial transmission protocol used to dynamically connect mainframes to attached storage, workstations, and to other mainframes, with connections limited to around 9 km for best performance. IBM’s 1993 ESCON Introduction document provides an overview. Also see FICON.

EtherChannel
A proprietary scheme for link aggregation (trunking) developed by Cisco. See 802.3ad (standard Link Aggregation).

Ethernet Data Rates
Ethernet packets (or “frames”) traditionally have a minimum length of 64 Bytes and a maximum length of 1518 Bytes. Optional VLAN tagging, developed a few years ago, adds 4 Bytes to every packet so that VLAN tagged packets are 68-1522 Bytes long – see 802.1Q. There are four standard Ethernet data rates, resulting in these bit, byte, and gap timings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10 Mbps</th>
<th>100 Mbps</th>
<th>1 Gbps</th>
<th>10 Gbps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bit time</td>
<td>0.1μs</td>
<td>0.01μs/10ns</td>
<td>0.001μs/1ns</td>
<td>0.1ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byte time</td>
<td>0.8μs</td>
<td>0.08μs/80ns</td>
<td>0.008μs/8ns</td>
<td>0.8ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 Byte time</td>
<td>51.2μs</td>
<td>5.12μs</td>
<td>0.512μs</td>
<td>512ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1518 Byte time</td>
<td>1.21ms</td>
<td>121μs</td>
<td>12.1μs</td>
<td>1.21μs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum inter-packet gap time (96 bits)</td>
<td>9.6μs</td>
<td>0.96μs</td>
<td>0.096μs/96ns</td>
<td>9.6ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a minimum “inter-packet” gap time between Ethernet packets that corresponds to 96 bits (12 Bytes) plus an 8 Byte preamble to each packet; these are not counted in the 64-1518 Byte packet length. Based on this gap and preamble overhead and the above timings, the maximum packet per second (pps) data rates for various lengths of Ethernet packets are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10 Mbps</th>
<th>100 Mbps</th>
<th>1 Gbps</th>
<th>10 Gbps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64 Byte packets</td>
<td>14,880 pps</td>
<td>148.8 Kpps</td>
<td>1.488 Mpps</td>
<td>14.88 Mpps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 Byte packets</td>
<td>8,446 pps</td>
<td>84.46 Kpps</td>
<td>844.6 Kpps</td>
<td>8.446 Mpps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Length (Bytes)</td>
<td>PPS</td>
<td>Kpps</td>
<td>Kpps</td>
<td>Mpps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>4,529</td>
<td>45.29</td>
<td>452.9</td>
<td>4.529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>2,350</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>235.0</td>
<td>2.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1518</td>
<td>812.7</td>
<td>8.127</td>
<td>81.27</td>
<td>812.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You can compute the maximum bit throughput by multiplying pps x (packet length in Bytes) x (8 bits/Byte). For 64 Byte packets and 1 Gigabit Ethernet, the maximum throughput is 1.488 Mpps x 64 Bytes/packet x 8 bits/Byte = 761 Mbits/sec., or 76% of the theoretical bandwidth; the other 24% is lost to the gap and preamble overhead. The percentage lost to overhead for the longest 1518 Byte packets is much less (13%) because the overhead remains constant at 20 Bytes.

**EtherLoop**
A variation of half-duplex Ethernet that operates at speeds from 125 Kbps to 6 Mbps over standard telephone wires up to 21,000 feet. EtherLoop is sometimes referred to as next-generation DSL and provides point-to-point transmission for both voice and data. It was developed by Elastic Networks, a spin-off of Nortel, that was acquired by Paradyne in March ’02.

**FANP**  
**Flow Attribute Notification Protocol**  
Based on standard RFC2129 first published in 4/97: cell-switched routers use the FANP protocol proposed by Toshiba as well as native ATM signaling to establish the virtual path/virtual channel (VP/VC) links between nodes. Default-VC is a general-purpose virtual circuit between neighboring nodes used for conventional hop-by-hop forwarded traffic, including routing messages, RSVP messages and Flow Attribute Notification Protocol (FANP) messages. For similar functionality MPOA (Multi-Protocol Over ATM) uses Q.931 and IP switching uses IFMP.

**Fast Reroute (MPLS)**
An IETF draft related to MPLS that allows for creating traffic backup paths, providing carriers with a cost-effective failure recovery mechanism and increased reliability in order to meet the needs of real-time applications such as VoIP, where it is desirable to be able to re-direct traffic onto backup paths in 10s of milliseconds. Fast Reroute uses RSVP and RSVP-TE to establish explicitly-routed backup paths to repair primary explicitly-routed paths that fail. The backup paths are to be as close to the failure point as possible to avoid significant delay from reporting failure between nodes. Isocore is organizing the first public demonstration of MPLS Fast Reroute interoperability in October ’02.

**FCIP**  
**Fibre Channel Over TCP/IP**  
An IETF draft protocol that links Fibre Channel (FC) storage area networks over TCP/IP networks and enables existing FC mechanisms and infrastructure to be leveraged for IP storage networking. FCIP uses existing FC switches and end devices, tunneling the entire FC stack over TCP. Scalability is thus limited by FC technology, with not more than 8-10 FC switches in each joined fabric. iFCP is an alternate proposal for a similar process (see iFCP).

**FEC**  
**Forward Error Correction**  
A system of adding additional information to data before sending it so that the receiver can automatically correct certain kinds of errors that occur in the transmission. The FEC used in OTN networks complies with the Reed Solomon encoding defined in ITU recommendation G.975. See G.709 (Digital Wrapper, OTN).

**FICON**  
**Fiber Connection (IBM)**  
The next generation of the IBM storage networking legacy protocol ESCON for connecting mainframes with storage control units. FICON is a bidirectional channel protocol that runs over Fibre Channel at 1.062 Gbps, supports a switch topology, and operates up to 100 km. This 1999 NetworkWorld article provides a helpful illustrated technical explanation. There has been relatively little deployment of FICON, however. See ESCON.

**FTP**  
**File Transfer Protocol**  
An application protocol that is used for transferring files between network nodes. FTP is part of the TCP/IP protocol stack and is defined by standard RFC959. See TCP/IP and TFTP.

**FTTx**  
**Fiber To The …**  
Direct fiber links from a service provider to a point at or near homes or businesses:
- FTTB – Fiber to the business
- FTTC – Fiber to the curb
- FTTH – Fiber to the home
- FTTP – Fiber to the premises

**G.709**  
**Digital Wrapper (OTN)**  
Provides a flexible and protocol-independent “container” for efficiently transporting virtually any type of data across DWDM optical links in an Optical Transport Network (OTN). The objective of an OTN is to combine the benefits of SONET/SDH technology with the bandwidth expansion provided by DWDM to enable the multi-service transport of both packet based and legacy traffic. G.709 defines a structure in which forward error correction (which is essential to counteract the effects of optical channel errors at high data rates) as well as optical channel overhead functions are specified separately from the...
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data payload, overcoming limitations of SONET and SDH. The ITU-T approved G.709 (Network Node Interface for the Optical Transport Network-OTN) in February 2001. It is expected to enable important interoperability and management technologies for DWDM optical networks.

G.709 requires new hardware that will be a challenge for some capital-constrained service providers with large SONET/SDH investments. The 2.66 Gbps (OTU-1) and 10.709 Gbps (OTU-2) G.709 interfaces will probably be most popular; these rates are 7% higher than their respective OC-48 and OC-192 SONET rates because of FEC. Though not an official ITU-T standard, 10 Gb Ethernet mapped over G.709 with FEC is popular, and results in an 11.1 Gbps rate. A helpful article by AMC about G.709 are available. Acterna has a good white paper describing OTN.

GARP  **Generic Attributes Registration Protocol**

Defined by 802.1p. There are two versions of this protocol. The first version is the GARP Multicast Registration Protocol (GMRP), which lets workstations request membership in a multicast domain. This joining action is called a leaf-initiated join. GMRP provides a standard protocol for sending traffic to only those ports that have requested multicast traffic. It is compatible with 802.1Q because the protocol operates on a port basis.

The second version is the GARP VLAN Registration Protocol (GVRP). Under GVRP a workstation requests admission to a specific VLAN rather than to a multicast domain.

This protocol links 802.1p and 802.1Q -- see 802.1p and 802.1Q.

GBIC  **Gigabit Interface Converter**

A small hardware module that handles the internal interface to a Gigabit Ethernet port connection. Some vendors use this technology in Gigabit Ethernet products to provide flexibility so that one interface module can handle different kinds of fiber or copper physical interfaces depending on which GBIC module is installed, but this approach usually increases the total cost of the interface.

GFP  **Generic Framing Procedure**

A robust and efficient packet transport mechanism (ITU standard G.7041 in ANSI T1X1.5) that provides better performance than Packet Over SONET (see POS) and is directly applicable to DWDM. Its mapping is intended to operate only over point-to-point connections and is transparent to Layer 2 and higher layers. It is not limited to SONET/SDH nor tied to any specific physical layer. In frame-based GFP (GFP-F), a single data frame (such as an Ethernet MAC frame or an IP packet) is mapped into a single GFP frame. There are frame mappings defined for Ethernet and IP/PPP. Transparent GFP (GFP-T) is a different process that maps a fixed number of data characters into a GFP frame of pre-determined length and transparently transports 8B/10B control characters, transporting line coding used in Gigabit Ethernet, Fibre Channel, ESCON, and FICON. Transparent GFP ensures deterministic latency and is primarily targeted at storage area networking where latency is critical. The GFP standard defines Ethernet over SONET Virtual Concatenation – see VC. GFP is a successor to the earlier LAPS standard, which is used for SDH – see LAPS. Resilient Packet Ring (see 802.17) carried over SONET/SDH supports both GFP and POS framing.

GMPLS  **Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching**

Proposal to extend MPLS control plane concepts to general connection provisioning in multiple technology domains. MP-LS concentrates on IP-over-optical applications, mapping intelligent packet flows onto optical wavelengths. GMPLS extends the idea of MPLS label-switched path techniques to controlling light paths (wavelengths) as well as TDM and SONET/SDH networks. (See MPLS and MP-LS.)

GMRP  **GARP Multicast Registration Protocol**

Allows workstations to request membership in a multicast domain in order to reduce multicast flooding a network. GMRP provides a standard protocol for sending traffic to only those ports on a switch that have end stations requesting multicast traffic. See GARP.

GPON  **Gigabit-Capable Passive Optical Network**

See 802.3ah (Ethernet in the First Mile).

GPRS  **General Packet Radio Service**

GPRS functions as a data services upgrade to GSM digital cellular networks, providing "always-on", higher capacity, Internet-based content and packet-based data services. This enables services such as color Internet browsing and e-mail on the move. GPRS uses a packet-mode technique to transfer bursty traffic in an efficient manner. GPRS supports four different quality of service levels. Deployment started in 1999.

GRE  **Generic Route Encapsulation**

The Generic Routing Encapsulation (GRE) protocol provides a mechanism for encapsulating arbitrary packets within an arbitrary transport protocol. The payload is first encapsulated in a GRE packet, which possibly also includes a route. The
resulting GRE packet is then encapsulated in some other protocol (the delivery protocol) and forwarded. GRE is used in conjunction with Point-to-Point Tunneling Protocol (see PPTP) to create virtual private networks (VPNs) between clients or between clients and servers. The data or payload that is going to pass through the tunnel is given a PPP header and then placed inside a GRE packet. After the GRE packet has arrived at the final destination (the endpoint of the tunnel), it is discarded and the encapsulated packet is then transmitted to its final destination. GRE is an IETF Standards Track protocol defined by RFC2784 and extended by RFC2890.

GRE is a very simple, low-overhead approach lacking real authentication or tunnel configuration parameter negotiation. For additional functionality, Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol (see L2TP) essentially implements PPP over a GRE tunnel. In certain cases it may be useful to carry MPLS packets through a GRE tunnel, and the IETF Internet draft "MPLS Label Stack Encapsulation in GRE" describes this.

**GSMP**: General Switch Management Protocol
Based on standard RFC2297: Ipsilon’s proposal for connecting a router to an ATM switch by telling the switch where to direct each IP flow. Ipsilon called this “IP Switching”. See IFMP also. No longer applicable.

**GTP**: GPRS Tunneling Protocol
See GPRS (General Packet Radio Service).

**GUM**: Grand Unified Multicast
An IETF draft protocol used in connection with MBGP (Multicast Border Gateway Protocol) -- see MBGP.

**H.264**: Advanced Video Coding
A new standard for high definition video compression that will potentially provide major improvements over current MPEG4 and H.264 standards used since around 1995 for Internet video and videoconferencing. Apple Computer demonstrated an H.264 codec in Sept’04. Tandberg and Polycom have adopted H.264 for videoconferencing. Applications in the entertainment and broadcast industry also include HD-DVD disks, streaming video, and set-top boxes. The VCodex site has helpful technical tutorials.

**GVRP**: GARP VLAN Registration Protocol
To establish VLANs in an environment of multiple switches, GVRP provides a protocol mechanism that lets the switches dynamically establish and update their knowledge of the set of Virtual LANs that currently have active members. See GARP.

**H.320**: An ITU standard that provides the basis of video conferencing on circuit-switched telephone networks, and particularly over ISDN. Also see H.323.

**H.323**: An ITU standard for videoconferencing over LANs, other packet-switched networks, and the Internet. It provides for sending any combination of real-time voice, video, and data. Various standards within H.323 define how calls are set up, what audio and video compression (codec) schemes are permitted, and how to participate in conferences. H.323 runs on TCP, and provides an important interface to H.320 for linking to ISDN-based video conferencing endpoints.

**Issues**: H.323 was not specifically designed with the Internet in mind and thus has some problems with scalability related to network size, the amount of information that gateways must maintain about calls, and lack of support for routing loop detection. H.323-based conference calls cause some problems because they require a separate multicast distribution server. Furthermore, the call setup process is long and complex.

Also see MGCP (Media Gateway Control Protocol) and especially SIP (Session Initiation Protocol) -- these are alternate VOIP protocols created by the IETF specifically for the Internet.

**H.325**: An study project initiated by a May’06 ITU-T/IMTC workshop that plans to define a “third-generation” intelligent endpoint protocol for VoIP and multimedia call control signaling that could supersede SIP. The group defines “second generation” (1992-2005) as H.323 and SIP, and “first generation” (through 1992) as ISDN/H.320. Packetizer has a helpful H.325 presentation. See H.323 and SIP.

**HDMI**: High Definition Multimedia Interface
The only high speed consumer product digital interface for transferring encrypted uncompressed high-resolution video and of high-definition multi-channel audio through a single cable. HDMI incorporates Intel’s proprietary High-Bandwidth Digital Content Protection (HDCP) standard for copy protection. It is an alternative to non-copy protected analog interfaces such as S-Video, VGA, and RF; and to digital interfaces such as DVI. High-end HDTV camcorders and digital cameras began providing HDMI interfaces in 2006; other likely applications are in DVD recorders, digital televisions, and A/V receivers.
HDMI version 1.0 was released in December '02 and supported bit rates up to 4.9 Gbps and a maximum signal bandwidth of 165 MHz; HDMI 3.0, released June '06, supports up to 10.2 Gbps and 340 MHz signal bandwidth.

**HFOC**  
**Hardened Fiber Optic Connector**  
Passive telecom components used in an outside plant to provide drop connections to customers from fiber distribution networks environment, designed to withstand U.S. climatic conditions that include rain, flooding, snow, sleet, high winds, and ice and sand storms. HFOC requirements are defined by Telcordia GR-3120.

**HMMP**  
**Hypermedia Management Protocol**  
A common access language that applications can use to access Web-based management data stored in a CIM (Common Information Model) database. Definition of HMMP is expected to start after the definition of CIM v2.0 is complete. See CIM.

**HomePNA**  
**Home Phoneline Networking Alliance**  
An alliance of over 50 companies founded in June ‘98 for standardizing a method of interconnecting computers in a home using ordinary telephone lines. HomePNA v2.0 provides for transmission up to 10 Mbps using Ethernet framing and CSMA/CD collision protocol, without disturbing simultaneous voice use of the line.

**HSRP**  
**Hot Standby Router Protocol**  
A proprietary protocol by vendors including Cisco and Foundry to provide backup protection for routers. The comparable industry standard protocol is Virtual Router Redundancy Protocol (see VRRP).

**HS-TCP**  
**High-Speed Transmission Control Protocol**  
An enhancement to TCP defined in RFC3649 that changes the TCP congestion-control algorithm and seeks to improve throughput in situations over 100 Mbps in high-latency networks. The ICIR website has a helpful summary of HS-TCP references. Also see TCP/IP.

**HTTP**  
**Hypertext Transfer Protocol**  
An application-level protocol for distributed, collaborative systems that has been in use on the world-wide web since 1990. This is the protocol used by web clients such as browsers to access and retrieve information from web servers and link to other documents. Version 1.0 was defined by RFC1945. Version 1.1 (RFC2068) adds cache control and various network efficiencies such as allowing a single TCP connection to retrieve multiple objects from a server without remaking the connection between each retrieval.

**ICMP**  
**Internet Control Message Protocol**  
An IETF protocol based on RFC792 that provides a number of diagnostic functions including sending error packets to hosts and sending PING messages. ICMP uses the basic support of IP and is an integral part of IP. **ICMP Redirect** is a process whereby a router informs a host computer that there is a better route from that host to a specific destination than via that host’s default router (default gateway). ICMPv6 (RFC1885) is the new version that is integral to IPv6. It includes functions from IGMP and is required in every IPv6 node.

**IDRP**  
**Inter-Domain Routing Protocol**  
An Exterior Gateway Protocol that exchanges only pre-specified information among selected routers. It has been replaced in the Internet by BGP (Border Gateway Protocol) – see BGP.

**iFCP**  
**Internet Fibre Channel Protocol**  
An IETF draft protocol that links Fibre Channel storage area devices over TCP/IP networks and enables existing Fibre Channel mechanisms and infrastructure to be leveraged for IP storage networking. iFCP uses existing Fibre Channel end devices, which are relatively expensive, but replaces Fibre Channel switches using the iSNS protocol to accomplish their fabric services (see iSNS). FCIP is an alternate protocol for a similar process.

**IFMP**  
**Ipsilon Flow Management Protocol**  
Based on IETF RFC1953: Ipsilon’s proposed IP Switching protocol between two adjacent nodes. No longer applicable.

**IGAP**  
**IGMP User Authentication Protocol**  
IGAP is an IETF draft protocol proposed by Nortel and NTT and initially derived from IGMPv2 that adds user authentication, overcoming the limitation that any user can join any multicast group. IGAP enables an IP multicast service provider to enforce multicast group access control and collect usage information for each user. (Also see MLDA.)

**IGMP**  
**Internet Group Management Protocol**  
A protocol used by IP hosts to report their multicast group memberships to an adjacent multicast router. **v1**—Provides a simple Group Join with fixed timeout. The router sends periodic queries to determine when users no longer exist on LAN segment. This version, defined by IETF RFC1112, was widely deployed. It does not provide any way to...
explicitly stop traffic or leave the group.

v2—"Leave Group Message" function added: Host indicates that it is leaving the group. The router can respond by sending a Group Query message to determine if other recipients remain in the subnet, which is quicker than the timeout scheme required in v1. This version is defined by RFC2236 and is being implemented. Microsoft has a test version for Win95.

v3—Allows receivers to specify desired sources, and exclude unwanted sources. This is still experimental and not formally implemented yet, defined by IETF draft.

IGMP Snooping is a scheme where a workgroup switch examines traffic from attached end stations to determine multicast group membership. It then automatically filters traffic to provide selective delivery of IP multicast traffic to appropriate group members only. See CGMP.

IGRP Interior Gateway Routing Protocol
A proprietary distance-vector routing protocol developed by Cisco for use in large, heterogeneous networks.

IPFIX IP Flow Information eXport
An IETF effort to standardize version 9 of Cisco's proprietary NetFlow network monitoring protocol (see NetFlow). IPFIX extends NetFlow to allow for sampled data, removing the burden that devices had to classify and report on every packet. Another extension is the ability to secure the flow information using SCTP secure stream transport instead of TCP or UDP for transport. IPsec or TLS can also be used. The NetCraftsmen website has a helpful paper explaining NetFlow and IPFIX. Also see sFlow.

IKE Internet Key Exchange
A security key management protocol standard used in conjunction with security protocols such as IPsec (see IPsec).

IMA Inverse Multiplexing for ATM
An IP/MPLS Forum (formerly ATM Forum) specification (v1.1) approved in March'99 that defines a mechanism for dividing a single high-speed stream of ATM cells across multiple lower-speed links, and then recombining the cells into a single stream at the other end. This allows several low-speed links to be combined to achieve the performance and functionality of a single higher-speed link. For example, a single T-1 link is often too slow but T-3 or OC-3 speeds may be too expensive. IMA allows a second T-1 link to be added to achieve twice the bandwidth without incurring the cost of upgrading all the way to T-3. See 802.3ad regarding a similar scheme for combining frame-based links.

IMIX Internet MIX
The "Internet MIX" or IMIX is a well-known packet mix representative of Internet traffic that includes 40-byte IP datagrams (58 percent), 552-byte IP datagrams (33 percent), and 1500-byte IP datagrams (9 percent). Thus for every 12 packets, 7 have 40-byte IP payload (padded to 46-byte payload on Ethernet), 4 have 552-byte IP payload, and 1 has 1500-byte IP payload. Therefore, IMIX traffic is also referred to as traffic with 7:4:1 distribution. Cisco focuses on IMIX in the development of their routing and switching products. Automated test suites from Adtech, SmartBits, and Ixia enable IMIX test cases.

IMS IP Multimedia Subsystem
An undertaking by 3GPP and 3GPP2 to create standards for all-IP wireless and wireline networks with end-to-end QoS (Quality of Service) for transporting voice and data sessions, addressing the situation that today’s mobile phones do not generally use IP for voice transport. At the same time, IMS is expected to allow broadband vendors to preserve traditional telephony carrier controls over user signaling and usage-based billing while creating new revenue sources based on Internet usage. IMS theoretically allows a mobile operator to extend its services over any IP wired or wireless network. It has extensive support from major vendors such as Nortel, Ericsson, Siemens, and Lucent, plus endorsement from ETSI, ATIS, and the ITU. IMS is the basis of the ITU Next Generation Network project (see NGN).

IMS is based on the concept of using signaling controlled by SIP to determine which parties can communicate and what resources they can use. IMS uses Diameter instead of RADIUS for authentication, and has other enhancements for session policies and registration. IMS was initially defined in the 3GPP UMTS Release 5 standards (March '03), with enhancements defined in Release 6 (March '05). Some IMS-based products were initially hoped for during 2005, but actual usage is minimal and widespread adoption may not be until 2015 or later.

The biggest Issue by far is the complexity of IMS. Also, it will be expensive to implement but provides few benefits or new applications that end users can recognize. As of September 2006, Yankee Group says there were 100 IMS trials underway around the world. The MultiService Forum (MSF) is a global association of service providers, system suppliers, and test equipment vendors interested in supporting IMS. Its GMI October 2006 event was dedicated to testing multi-vendor interoperability to achieve Fixed-Mobile Convergence supporting the IMS service framework.

IntServ Integrated Services
An IP traffic classification scheme being developed by the IETF that will provide per-flow classification and guaranteed delays to support real-time traffic. It must be implemented by each router in the network. RSVP is the signaling protocol that is used to communicate to the routers. (See RSVP.) The IntServ charter, concluded in Dec '00, is explained at...
The IntServ Guaranteed Service definition incorporates Weighted Fair Queuing (see WFQ) with Random Early Detection (see RED) and the RSVP protocol. The IntServ Controlled Load Service omits WFQ and the RSVP protocol.

**Issues** This is an elaborate scheme requiring substantial equipment changes and much more definition. Many vendors feel that Differentiated Services provides an adequate solution, and is clearly much more feasible to implement (see DiffServ).

**IP**

**Internet Protocol**

Based on IETF [RFC791](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc791): the TCP/IP standard protocol that defines the IP datagram. It is used in gateways to connect networks at Layer 3. See TCP/IP. IPv4 (version 4) is standard today. See IPv6.

**IP Address**

The Layer 3 address of a host (computer) attached to a TCP/IP network. Every host must have a unique IP address. IP addresses are 32-bit values written as four sets of decimal numbers separated by periods; for example, 125.6.65.7. Each decimal number (0-255) represents 8 bits of the complete 32-bit value.

The TCP/IP packet uses 32 bits to contain the IP address, which consists of a network address (netid) and a host address (hostid). The 32 bits are divided in different ways according to the class of the address, which determines the number of hosts that can be attached to the network. If more bits are used for the host addresses (such as in Class A), fewer bits are available for the network address. The three address classes support the following numbers of network and host addresses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IP Address Class</th>
<th>Number of Network Addresses</th>
<th>Number of Host Addresses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>16M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>16K</td>
<td>65K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>16M</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Network addresses are supplied to organizations by the InterNIC Registration Service. See CIDR.

**IPCP**

**Internet Protocol Control Protocol**

An IETF standard documented by [RFC1332](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1332) that defines the network control protocol for establishing and configuring IP over PPP (Point-to-Point Protocol) links.

**IPFC**

**IP Over Fibre Channel**

An IETF effort to standardize the process of sending IP and ARP commands over Fibre Channel networks. This work is partly defined by the standards-track document [RFC2625](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2625).

**IP Multi-Netting**

A network architecture where two or more IP subnets exist on the same Ethernet segment. This capability was often important for traditional routers that had few Ethernet ports. The total bandwidth of the segment is shared among the subnets, and IP traffic between any two of the subnets must go out to a router and come back over the same port.

**IPng**

**IP Next Generation**

IPng refers to the development effort for the next-generation IP protocol. The resulting protocol is named IPv6. See IPv6.

**I-PNNI**

**Integrated PNNI**

An extension of the PNNI (Private Network-to-Network) protocol that ATM switches use to inform each other of their network topology so they can make appropriate forwarding decisions. I-PNNI is implemented in edge devices and legacy routers, which can share information with the ATM switches. See PNNI.

**IPOA**

**IP Over ATM**

See "IP Over ATM" below.

**IPOS**

**IP Over SONET**

See POS (Packet Over SONET).

**IP Over ATM**

Based on [RFC2225](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2225) (which obsoletes RFC1577): a scheme for sending classical IP and ARP (Address Resolution Protocol) traffic over ATM Adaptation Layer 5 (AAL5). This is also known as Classical IP Over ATM (CIP) and IPoA. Also see LANE (ATM LAN Emulation) and MPOA (Multiprotocol Over ATM).

**IPSec**

**IP Security**

A suite of protocols that handles encryption, authentication, and secure transport of IP packets such as for VPNs. It is described in [RFCs 2401-2412](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2401) produced by the IETF IPsec working group. Microsoft provides IPsec clients for VPNs in...

IPSec works at Layer 3 to transport data transparently to network applications. It is intended to provide more lower-level security than SSL (Secure Socket Layer). IPSec adds a header to packets being sent over a VPN to identify that those packets have been secured. It supports several types of encryption including the Data Encryption Standard (DES) and triple DES (3DES), supports several types of authentication including Message Digest 5 (MD5, RFC2403) and SHA-1 (RFC2404), and several key management schemes that allow parties to agree upon parameters for the session. Current implementations mostly use manual key distribution, Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) key exchange, or the IKE (Internet Key Exchange) protocol, which requires each pair of nodes to be linked via a unique key and thus creates a need for a huge number of keys when there are many nodes. Support for the Advanced Encryption Standard still needs to be added (see AES).

Proposals call for adding additional security features. IPSec also provides for data compression, which partially compensates for the poor compression that modems are able to perform on encrypted data. IPSec does not provide support for NAT (Network Address Translation). IPSec requires every user to have a defined public IP address, so if IP addresses are shared using NAT the security privileges are also shared.

SSL works differently by operating at Layer 4 and focusing on the upper layers of the OSI model – see SSL. Also see PPTP.

**IPTV**

IPTV refers to the process of delivering digital television service over a network infrastructure using IP, but it is not a specific standard. The IPTV video content is usually sent using MPEG transport with MPEG-2 or MPEG-4 compression. Free live TV service is typically delivered using IP Multicast and IGMP v2. Paid Video On Demand service is typically delivered using IP Unicast and RTSP. See IGMP and RTSP.

**IPv6**

Based on standard RFC1883 and RFC1752: a new version of the IP protocol (see IP) that was designed to provide a solution to the address space limitations of the current version IPv4. The 6BONE is a worldwide network begun around 1996 that runs IPv6 on an experimental basis: see go6.net/ipv6-6bone. The IPv6 Forum (www.ipv6forum.com) is a consortium dedicated to promoting IPv6. IPv6 was formerly known as IPng (IP Next Generation). IPv6-enabled devices will still forward IPv4 traffic, and there is a standard for encapsulating IPv4 information within a virtual tunnel between IPv6 devices.

IPv6 provides:
- 128-bit address space (increased from 32 bits)
- Automatic address configuration capability based on DHCPv6 that allows a host to discover automatically the information it needs to connect to the Internet or to a private TCP/IP network.
- A simplified packet header structure, with many fields optional
- Support for source-selected routes (like Token Ring’s source routing)
- Scalable routing architectures
- Network-layer security
- Quality-of-service (QoS) levels
- Mobile computing capabilities
- Multicasting features.

**Issues** Additions to IPv4, such as Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (see DHCP), and the widespread use of address translators (see NAT-Network Address Translation) have given IPv4 a longer life than originally expected. Though IPv6 provides new capabilities, it will be difficult to implement. The argument that IPv6 is needed for more IP addresses is offset by the fact that it will be many years before the current address space is actually exhausted, and by the bigger router problems caused by already large Internet routing table sizes that IPv6 will make worse. NAT is well established and successful, and provides useful security by hiding real end user IP addresses. NAT security would probably still be desired with IPv6. Peer-to-peer applications such as IP phones and video work well now with NAT, and do not appear to demand IPv6. Some say that IPv6 support for diverse network devices is not relevant to end users or that IPv6 does not offer enough security or quality of service improvements to warrant immediate adoption. Since IPv4 and NAT are already widely deployed, the IETF has issued documents that define a transitional edge router: DNS extensions to Network Address Translators (RFC2694); Stateless IP/ICMP Translation (RFC2765); NAT Protocol Translation (RFC2766); and Connection of IPv6 Domains via IPv4 Clouds (RFC3056).

**Status** Prospects for adoption of IPv6 improved in March 2000 when Microsoft and Cisco announced plans for IPv6 support. Cisco is shipping IPv6 support in its IOS software since June 2001, and promises hardware support in ASIC-based routers. As of mid-2003, all future Sony products will supposedly incorporate IPv6. The next-generation European wireless initiative (3GPP) mandated IPv6 support in May 2000, but is only interested in the addressing features. Japan mandates IPv6 support from all its ISPs. Microsoft has released IPv6 tools for developers, and support for IPv6 support is built into Windows XP. Microsoft Teredo is IPv6 transition technology that provides IPv4 network address translation for IPv6.
March 2000 NTT became the first commercial ISP to announce IPv6 support. Sun bundles IPv6 support in Solaris 8; Linux and BSD Unix support IPv6.

**IPX**  
Internet Packet Exchange  
Based on standard IPX Router Specification v1.2: a Novell NetWare communications protocol used to route messages from one node to another. Because IPX packets can get lost, IPX does not guarantee delivery of a message. Either the application or NetWare’s SPX protocol has to provide the control to ensure that the entire message was received.

**IPX-RIP and IPX-SAP**  
Based on standard IPX Router Specification v1.2: IPX dynamic routing protocols. See IPX.

**IRDP**  
ICMP Router Discovery Protocol  
An extension of ICMP described in [RFC1256](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1256) by which routers announce themselves to network hosts. Hosts can listen to IRDP broadcasts and learn IP addresses of neighboring routers through which they can send information to destinations outside their own subnet. See ICMP.

**iSCSI**  
Internet SCSI  
The Small Computer Systems Interface (SCSI) is a popular family of protocols for communicating with computer I/O devices, especially storage devices. Approved by the IETF in Feb’03 as a proposed standard, iSCSI is a protocol for transporting SCSI packets over TCP/IP as a way to interconnect SANs (Storage Area Networks) over the Internet, and especially to connect servers to remote storage devices. iSCSI provides congestion control and security features that are not present in basic TCP/IP. iSCSI is widely supported. Microsoft said it will deliver iSCSI software drivers for Windows 2000 servers and clients, Windows XP clients, and Windows Server 2003. The IETF IP Storage group developed standards RFC5046, 5047 and 5048.

**IS-IS**  
Intermediate System to Intermediate System  
A hierarchical routing protocol that uses intermediate systems (routers) to exchange routing information based on a single metric to determine network topology. IS-IS is based on DECnet Phase V routing. IS-IS is a link state routing protocol like OSPF: all routers maintain identical databases so that they can compute the shortest path to any destination. Integrated IS-IS (formerly Dual IS-IS) is a routing protocol based on the OSI routing protocol IS-IS (see [RFC1142](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1142)). Support for IP is defined in [RFC1195](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1195). Integrated IS-IS implementations send only one set of routing updates, regardless of protocol type, making it more efficient than two separate implementations. The IETF IS-IS working group is at [ietf.org/html.charters/isis-charter.html](http://ietf.org/html.charters/isis-charter.html).

**ISL**  
InterSwitch Link  
A Cisco proprietary protocol for VLAN trunking over link aggregated connections. Today, the industry standard 802.3ad (Link Aggregation) and 802.1Q (VLAN Tagging) protocols accomplish this without need for proprietary communications.

**iSNS**  
Internet Storage Name Service  
An IETF draft protocol that provides the generic framework and naming service for storage entity management in an IP-based storage network. It incorporates existing Fibre Channel and DNS mechanisms and relies on standards-based, distributed directory databases such as the Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP). iSNS is used within the iSCSI and iFCP protocols (see iSCSI, iFCP).

**ISSLL**  
Integrated Services over Specific Link Layers  
IETF draft protocol intended to add QoS (Quality of Service) capabilities to Layer 2 devices such as Ethernet and Token Ring switches. It includes a number of recommended service classes based on how much latency a packet can withstand. An application layer protocol like Resource Reservation Protocol (see RSVP) can be mapped on top of these service classes to create a complete system for controlling priority. The result is intended to be a network where an application can request QoS services from both Layer 3 and Layer 2 devices using RSVP.

**ITU-T**  
International Telecommunication Union – Telecommunication Standardization Sector  
ITU-T is one of three sectors of the International Telecommunication Union (ITU). It was created in 1993 to replace the former International Telegraph and Telephone Consultative Committee (CCITT), whose origins go back to 1865. ITU-T’s mission is to ensure production of high quality standards covering all fields of telecommunications.

**Jumbo Frames**  
Ethernet frames that are extended beyond the standard maximum length of 1.5 KB to 9 KB in order to reduce server CPU overhead by reducing the number of different packets and interrupts that must be processed for a given number of bytes of
data. Server overhead is a concern for Gigabit Ethernet because the packet (and thus interrupt) rates are very high, but there is considerable disagreement over whether jumbo frames are an appropriate solution.

Kompella Draft
See VPN (MPLS Layer 2 VPNs).

L2F  Layer 2 Forwarding
A Cisco proprietary Layer 2 tunneling protocol – see L2TP.

L2TP  Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol
The first proposed IETF protocol for tunneling Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP) across a private or public network. L2TP is defined by RFC2661, and is the result of a merger of Microsoft PPTP, Cisco Layer 2 Forwarding (L2F), and IPsec. It provides point-to-point or point-to-multipoint links between customer locations. L2TP support for VPNs was first planned for Windows NT 5.0 (Windows 2000). L2TP is expected to receive broad industry acceptance in VPNs as a replacement to current proprietary protocols that do not allow equipment from multiple vendors to interoperate – see VPN. It enables support for multiple protocols and unregistered IP addresses, allowing existing non-IP protocol applications such as SNA to be used. In 8/98 Cisco announced support for L2TP in the Cisco IOS software. L2TP is a data-link layer protocol that creates one or more “tunnels” through an IP network between an L2TP Access Concentrator (LAC) and an L2TP Network Server (LNS). The tunnels carry traffic sessions over Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP) links. An authentication protocol (PAP or CHAP) and an optional encryption protocol (such as PPP Triple-DES) provide security.

L2TPv3 is in IETF draft status. It extends L2TP, which was focused on narrow-band dial-up links, by reducing overhead for better operation on high-speed routers, and increasing the number of supported tunnels from 65,000 to over 4 billion. It allows tunneling any Layer 2 traffic, such as Frame Relay or ATM, across an IP or MPLS network.

LACP  Link Aggregation Control Protocol
LACP is a protocol that operates at a new link aggregation sublayer to Layer 2 of the traditional Ethernet protocol stack. LACP is part of the 802.3ad Link Aggregation standard and handles automatically assigning ports to a Link Aggregation Group when all the ports at both ends of a physical link have the same characteristics. It also monitors existing groups and deletes ports whose characteristics have changed. See 802.3ad.

LANE  ATM LAN Emulation
An ATM Forum specification defining services and protocols that allow Ethernet and Token Ring network traffic to be transported over an ATM backbone without modifying the Ethernet or Token Ring end stations. LANE includes these software services:
- LES (LAN Emulation Server), which handles the translation between classical Ethernet/Token Ring MAC addresses and ATM addresses;
- LECS (LAN Emulation Configuration Server), which assigns clients to emulated LANs; and
- BUS (Broadcast and Unknown Server), which handles multicast and broadcast traffic.

LANE operates at Layer 2 (Link Layer), and requires a router for sending traffic between subnets. LANE has been the de facto standard for transporting classical LAN services over an ATM network, but has significant limitations in fault tolerance and scalability. LANE 1.0 was the original version and was widely implemented. LANE 2.0 was adopted by the ATM Forum in July 1997 and adds QoS and multicast features, but has less vendor support.

MPOA (Multiprotocol Over ATM) is a newer standard built on top of LANE 2.0 that operates at Layer 3 (Network Layer) and incorporates ATM’s PNNI routing. See MPOA.

LAPF  Link Access Procedure-Framed Mode
ITU-T Q.922 protocol for Ethernet frame encapsulation and decapsulation over SONET/SDH. Also see GFP, LAPS.

LAPS  Link Access Procedure-SDH
ITU-T X.86/X.85 protocol for Ethernet frame encapsulation and decapsulation over SDH. This provides a simple technique to connect Ethernet LANs and provide Ethernet LAN extension over a private and/or public SDH-based WAN. Also see GFP, LAPF.

LCAS  Link Capacity Adjustment Scheme
A companion protocol to Ethernet over SONET/SDH virtual concatenation (see VC) that dynamically allocates bandwidth by increasing or decreasing the number of SONET/SDH channels as traffic requirements change. LCAS also provides fault tolerance by a process for detecting and removing faulty channels but allowing the link to continue to operate at reduced bandwidth. Defined by ITU-T standard G.7042, LCAS is for use on either SONET/SDH or a G.709 Optical Transport Network (OTN -- see G.709).
LDAP Lightweight Directory Access Protocol
A protocol defined in IETF RFC1777 that is used to access a directory listing in order to make multiple directories in an enterprise interoperable and manageable from a single point for policy-based network management. Based on current models an LDAP client (a PDP-Policy Decision Point) accesses a policy repository (a Directory) using the LDAP protocol, interprets the policy, and conveys it to an enforcer (a PEP-Policy Enforcement Point) such as a multilayer switch using the Common Open Policy Services protocol (see COPS). An LDAP client could be included within a multilayer switch or reside in a separate server. The current version is LDAP v3.0, defined by RFC2251-2256 and 2829-2831.

There is widespread industry support for LDAP and for Directory Enabled Networking (see DEN) as the standard defining the structure of the directory it accesses. LDAP in switches can provide an alternative to DHCP. DHCP allows users to log on from any PC but it can be more difficult to implement policy services based on DHCP-issued IP addresses since multiple people reuse them. There are extensive Web resources describing LDAP: www.umich.edu/~dirsvcs/ldap/doc lists frequently-asked questions and LDAP documentation, and www.kingsmountain.com/ldapRoadmap.shtml contains a tutorial and guide to LDAP resources on the Internet.

LDP Label Distribution Protocol
An MPLS protocol that defines procedures and messages by which one LSR (Label Switched Router) informs another of the label bindings it has made. See MPLS. Also see CR-LDP and RSVP-TE.

LEAP Lightweight Extensible Authentication Protocol
A proprietary protocol somewhat like IEEE 802.1x created by Cisco to provide user authentication for its Aironet wireless LAN products. See 802.1x and 802.11. See EAP.

LECS LAN Emulation Configuration Server
An ATM LAN Emulation service: see LANE (LAN Emulation).

LES LAN Emulation Server
An ATM LAN Emulation service: see LANE (LAN Emulation).

LEX LAN Extension Protocol for PPP
A LAN extension interface unit is a hardware device installed at a remote site that connects a LAN across a WAN serial link to a router at a central site. Based on informational RFC1841 by Cisco, LEX is a protocol for transferring Ethernet MAC frames across this serial link, and few if any vendors other than Cisco implement it. This type of interface always depends on a host router, and cannot operate standalone like a bridge. LEX is a PPP (Point-to-Point Protocol) Network Control Protocol. Also see EoS (Ethernet over SONET).

LWAPP Lightweight Access Point Protocol
See CAPWAP (Control and Provisioning of Wireless Access Points).

MAC Media Access Control
The MAC address is the unique hardware address of a network node. For Ethernet LANs, it is the 48-bit Ethernet address. The first half of a MAC address is the Organizationally Unique Identifier (OUI), assigned to vendors by the IEEE and listed on the IEEE OUI site. Each vendor ensures that the second half of the MAC address is unique for each product manufactured.

MALLOC Multicast Address Allocation
An IETF draft protocol for dynamic multicast address allocation that includes MASC (Multicast Address Set Claim) and AAP (Address Allocation Protocol).

MAPOS Multiple Access Protocol over SONET/SDH
A proprietary connectionless POS protocol for sending IP traffic over SONET or SDH networks at speeds such as 155 or 622 Mbps without the overhead of ATM. Developed by NTT (Tokyo) and proposed to IETF in RFC2171 through RFC2176. Has no provisions for prioritizing traffic. See IPOS (IP Over SONET).

MARS Multicast Address Resolution Server
Based on IETF RFC2022: a component of Multiprotocol Over ATM (MPOA) to efficiently support multiple network protocols over ATM. See MPOA. IETF RFC2149 describes Multicast Server Architectures for MARS-based ATM multicasting.

Martini Drafts
See PWE3. Also see VPN-Layer 2 VPNs.
MBGP  
Multiprotocol Extensions for BGP
See BGP (Border Gateway Protocol).

MBWA  
Mobile Broadband Wireless Access
See BWA and 802.20.

MD  
Message Digest 5
A security protocol for message authentication (for verifying data integrity) – see IPsec. SHA-1 is another popular authentication protocol.

MDHCP  
Multicast DHCP
Multicast version of DHCP (Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol) that is being widely implemented to allow users to request dynamic assignment of a multicast address. It is similar to DHCP, but directed to a different server. It uses regular DHCP to obtain the address of its MAAS server. See DHCP.

mFCP  
Metro Fibre Channel Protocol
An IETF draft protocol that transports the Fibre Channel Protocol for SCSI (FCP) over metro- and local-scale IP networks in order to achieve latency, reliability, and performance at levels comparable to those of a Fibre Channel network.

MFTP  
Multicast File Transport Protocol
A protocol for reliable data transport over IP multicast developed by StarBurst Communications and used in their StarBurst Multicast product. This protocol is designed specifically for file transfer rather than real-time applications such as videoconferencing. Typical applications of StarBurst Multicast are for software distribution, transferring business-critical information such as inventory, parts, pricing, and account information, and preventing degradation in multimedia files.

MGCP  
Media Gateway Control Protocol
The major VOIP protocol that will govern future interfaces between IP-based networks and traditional voice telephone networks (PSTN). MGCP is a combination of the Internet Protocol Device Control (IPDC) specification developed by a hardware/software vendor consortium formed by Level 3 Communications and the Simple Gateway Control Protocol (SGCP) that was developed by Bellcore and Cisco Systems. Draft specifications have been submitted to the IETF and the European Telecommunications Standards Institute. By mid-1999, at least 20 telco switching and internetworking hardware vendors had announced support for MGCP. Its companion VOIP protocol is Session Initiation Protocol – see SIP. The alternative H.323 protocol will apparently continue to play a role in enterprise videoconferencing and call setup (see H.323).

MIC  
Message Integrity Check
Part of the 802.11i standard, MIC is a field added to Wireless LAN packets to protect both the packet header as well as the payload data. It is an 8-Byte (32 bit) field computed by an algorithm called “Michael” that is added between the data portion of each frame and the 4-Byte Integrity Check Value (ICV) at the end that protects the data portion only.

MicroTCA  
Micro Telecommunications Architecture (µTCA)
See ATCA (Advanced Telecom Computing Architecture).

MIMO  
Multiple-Input Multiple-Output
A process in which information is transmitted over two or more antennas and received over two or more antennas. The signals reflect off objects and create multiple paths that cause interference and fading in conventional radios. MIMO uses these paths to carry more information, which is recomposed on the receiving side based on MIMO algorithms. MIMO is expected to greatly increase performance and range but handle existing 802.11a/b/g radios with only a slight cost increase. Forms of MIMO may be used by the IEEE 802.11n Task Group, which is creating a specification for WLANs having at least 100 Mbps throughput (see 802.11). Airgo Networks was the first company to produce chipsets supporting MIMO (see 802.11n and 802.16). Also see Tx Diversity.

Mobile WiMAX (802.16e) includes support for both Open Loop MIMO (designated Matrix A and Matrix B) and Closed Loop MIMO (Transmitter Adaptive Antenna techniques known as AAS or beamforming). In WiMAX Matrix A MIMO, a single data stream is transmitted in parallel over two transmitter antennas to two receiver antennas. The data streams encoded so they are orthogonal to each other for better noise performance. In Matrix B MIMO, also called Spatial Multiplexing MIMO (SM-MIMO), independent data streams are sent over each antenna. For two antennas and excellent signal conditions, the resulting data rate could be doubled. Also see AAS, which is a different multiple-antenna technology supported by Mobile WiMAX. The WiMAX.com website has a helpful primer on MIMO and AAS (beamforming).

MLD  
Multicast Listener Discovery for IPv6
A protocol defined by IETF standard RFC2710 that an IPv6 router uses to discover attached multicast listeners that want to
receive multicast packets and the multicast addresses they are interested in. MLD is based on the IPv4 multicast protocol IGMPv2 (Internet Group Management Protocol).

**MLDA**  **Multicast Listener Discovery Authentication**

An IETF draft protocol to authenticate and authorize end systems before they are granted access to certain multicast groups. IGAP allows network devices to enforce multicast receiver access control. MLDA provides multicast listener control plus user authentication and accounting. MLDA can be used when authentication and accounting are required for multicast data access by a multicast group, while MLD can be used when they are not required. (See IGAP, MLD.)

**MLPPP**  **Multilink PPP Protocol**

A PPP (point-to-point) link protocol defined by [RFC1990](https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1990) that provides a method for splitting, recombining, and sequencing datagrams sent across multiple logical data links when more bandwidth is needed than one link can supply. This was originally developed by creating multiple bearer (B) channels in ISDN, but is equally applicable to any situation in which multiple PPP links connect two systems.

**MOSPF**  **Multicast Open Shortest Path First**

Based on IETF [RFC1584](https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1584): a multicast routing protocol that embeds multicast routing information in OSPF link-state advertisements to determine distribution routes for each multicast source. As link states and group membership change, the routes are recalculated. MOSPF is thought to be more efficient than DVMRP, but it works only in OSPF networks.

**MobileFi**

See 802.20.

**MP**  **Multilink PPP Protocol**

See MLPPP.

**MP-BGP**  **Multiprotocol Extensions for BGP**

See BGP (Border Gateway Protocol).

**MPCP**  **Multi-Point Control Protocol**

A MAC control protocol used within an Ethernet Passive Optical Network (EPON) in connection with the 802.3ah standard for Ethernet in the First Mile – see 802.3ah. MPCP operates between the OLT (optical line terminator) and ONTs (optical network terminals), using 64-byte MAC control frames to control the access and bandwidth in the shared passive network.

**MPLA**  **Mutli-Point Link Aggregation**

Link aggregation creates a single high-speed logical link (or trunk) that combines several lower-speed physical links. MPLA goes beyond this to allow trunks to span multiple switches and traverse divergent network paths, allowing a network to recover from the complete failure of a switch by redirecting traffic to an entirely different path.

MPLA originally consisted of proprietary extensions to the IEEE 802.3ad Link Aggregation standard proposed by 3Com and implemented in several 3Com products. There are indications that vendors such as Cabletron, Cisco, and Nortel are joining in support of MPLA technology and a standardization effort. See 802.3ad.

**MPLS**  **Multi-Protocol Label Switching**

MPLS was originally designed to speed operation of routers performing IP switching in the core of the Internet and replace similar proprietary approaches such as Tag Switching (Cisco), IP Navigator (Ascend), ARIS (IBM), IP Switching (Ipsilon), and Cell Switch Routing (Toshiba). Its key concept is separating routing, performed only at Label Edge Routers (LERs) at the network edge, from forwarding that is performed by Label Switch Routers (LSRs) throughout the network core. A major initial justification for MPLS was the need to handle large-scale IP networks over the ATM core that will continue to exist within service providers’ networks, since providing a full mesh of ATM virtual circuits between all nodes in a large ISP network is not practical. MPLS was intended to provide end-to-end IP services that can scale gracefully to large ATM networks, although it is not limited to either IP or ATM networks. Providers are not expected to deliver MPLS directly to end users, so most enterprise routers will not have to support MPLS directly. Also see GMPLS, MP.S, and T-MPLS.

Standard development is underway within IETF, and the MPLS charter is explained at [www.ietf.org/html.charters/mpls-charter.html](https://www.ietf.org/html.charters/mpls-charter.html). In March 2000 16 companies formed the MPLS Forum (now IP/MPLS Forum) to accelerate interoperability testing and deployment of MPLS. UUNET announced that it will start replacing its existing ATM core backbone with one based on MPLS in Q3'99.

The MPLS edge router (LER) determines the routing and assigns the traffic to a Forwarding Equivalence Class (FEC) based on traffic requirements such as VPN or QoS, enabling MPLS to provide enhanced quality of service. MPLS uses the same routing protocols as IP, such as OSPF and IS-IS. The LER attaches a label to each packet identifying its path through the network and its attributes such as QoS, and uses the Label Distribution Protocol (LDP) to associate labels with paths.
through the switching nodes (LSRs). Each MPLS LSR uses the packet's label to look up the correct output port and priority in its Label Information Base (LIB), and thus can theoretically be much simpler and faster than a full-function IP router. Security is dealt with separately: packets underneath the label can be encrypted with existing methods.

The key capabilities of MPLS that have become important recently include:

- **Explicit routing (traffic engineering), the ability to force traffic along paths other than the shortest ones selected by current IP routing algorithms. Either the RSVP-TE or CR-LDP signaling protocol can be used to automate this process. Cisco chose to implement RSVP-TE first, but the protocols are very similar and most vendors will implement both.**
- **Virtual private networks (VPNs) using labels to hide potentially-conflicting overlapping IP addresses, and leveraging the QoS capability of MPLS. MPLS should allow network service providers to reduce the costs associated with providing VPNs. MPLS also allows ISPs to concatenate traffic onto a single router from various enterprises that may have the same IP addresses in their respective backbones. MPLS provides for both Layer 2 and Layer 3 VPNs – see VPN.**

**MPλS**

*Multi-Protocol Lambda Switching*

An effort within IETF to investigate how the MPLS control plane can be extended to optical switching. See MPLS and GMPLS.

**MPOA**

*Multiprotocol over ATM*

An ATM Forum (now IP/MPLS Forum) specification that provides routing of legacy protocols such as IP and IPX over ATM networks. The MPOA specification consists of three components:

- **Route Servers perform the routing function between the Hosts and Edge Devices on an MPOA-enabled network. A server is typically either a stand-alone workstation or is integrated into another ATM device.**
- **Edge Devices connect traditional networks, such as Ethernet and Token-Ring, to ATM networks.**
- **ATM Hosts are MPOA-enhanced LAN Emulation hosts that are directly attached to MPOA networks.**

The route server learns the Layer 3 addresses that can be reached through various ATM addresses and makes all the routing decisions in an MPOA network, leveraging ATM’s PNNI routing capabilities (see PNNI). Initially, the server routes packets itself. If the server detects a large amount of traffic for a particular session, it can divert the data flow to a direct virtual circuit, allowing the two stations to bypass the server and communicate directly with each other. This is known as cut-through routing.

MPOA addresses the loss of performance caused by the increased number of router hops that packets make as traditional routed networks become more complex. Although the traffic in an MPOA network may go through several hops in the virtual circuit, traditional routing information does not have to be considered at each hop so performance is expected to improve. MPOA uses NHRP (Next Hop Routing Protocol) to enable Layer 3 protocols to run over ATM networks – see NHRP. MPOA v1.1 was scheduled for final ballot on 4/99. MPOA is built on top of LANE 2.0; see LANE (ATM LAN Emulation). Also see Multiprotocol Encapsulation Over ATM AAL5.

**MSDP**

*Multicast Source Distribution Protocol*

A IETF draft protocol that is one of the keys to making IP multicast services on the Internet feasible. MSDP allows one domain to advertise its interest in multicast addresses to another domain. MSDP works in conjunction with MP-BGP (Multicast Border Gateway Protocol).

**MSPP**

*Multiservice Provisioning Platform*

A marketing term rather than a precise technical term, MSPP refers to a single box that allows service providers to bundle a variety of new services involving the transport, switching, and/or routing layers of a user’s network, and to provision them much more quickly. Possibilities include next-generation SONET/SDH muxes with data capabilities, and WDM devices with SONET/SDH or data capabilities. The term MSTP (Multiservice Transport Platform) could have the same meaning. See Multiservice Networking.

A typical goal of an MSPP is to handle efficient transport of multiservice data traffic on SONET/SDH networks as well as providing legacy SONET and TDM services. Key new capabilities often include EoS (with GFP, LCAS, and VC) as well as Storage Area Network transport (including Fibre Channel, ESCON, and FICON) – see EoS, GFP, LCAS, VC, ESCON, and FICON.

**MST**

*Multiple Spanning Trees for VLANs*

“MST” is a term used in the developing IEEE 802.1s standard to refer to multiple spanning trees; see 802.1s. However, Cisco uses “MST” in the documentation on their proprietary PVST (Per-VLAN Spanning Tree) protocol to mean “Mono Spanning Tree”.

**MSTP**

*Multiservice Transport Platform*

See MSSP and Multiservice Networking.
MTU  Maximum Transmission Unit
The longest physical packet size that can be sent over a specific network. The MTU of most Ethernet networks is 1500 bytes; the MTU of X.25 networks is 576 bytes. Path MTU Discovery is a process defined by RFC1191 for dynamically discovering the smallest MTU of any link between two arbitrary network hosts.

Multihoming
When applied to network interface cards (NICs) and servers, multihoming has two meanings:
- Multihoming is used to bind multiple IP addresses to a single NIC. This allows Web servers to use a single server host for multiple virtual Web sites.
- Multihoming also applies to the installation of two or more network adapters (NICs) in a server where each is attached to a separate network segment. When the multiple NICs are attached to the same network segment you can have a different IP address/host name bound to each NIC. Both these multiple-NIC schemes provide some load-balancing and fault tolerance.
Multihoming also refers to enterprises that have multiple Internet connections, often through different service providers. This provides greater fault tolerance, reduces concerns about service provider financial failures, and allows new route control and smart routing products to offer improved performance and lower costs.

Multiprotocol Encapsulation Over ATM AAL5
Based on IETF RFC2684 (which replaces and obsoletes RFC1483), this specifies two encapsulation methods for carrying network interconnect traffic over ATM using ATM Adaptation Layer 5 (AAL5). The first method allows multiplexing of multiple protocols over a single ATM virtual connection, and the second method assumes that each protocol is carried over a separate ATM virtual connection. Also, refer to these other earlier specifications developed by the IETF or ATM Forum that addressed various aspects of bridged or routed protocols over ATM: IP Over ATM; LANE (ATM LAN Emulation); and MPOA (Multiprotocol Over ATM).

Multiservice Networking
A single converged product for carriers and service providers that supports a variety of services and networks that traditionally required separate devices such as ATM and frame relay switches, TDM and SONET muxes, IP routers, DWDM muxes, and voice circuit switches. The Multiservice Switching Forum, originally founded by Cisco, WorldCom, and Telcordia in 1998, is developing intra-switch protocols and interface standards. However, unique internal architectures and product differentiation may make vendors unwilling to cooperate sufficiently. See MSSP.

NAT  Network Address Translation
Based on IETF RFC1631: Converts the internal private IP addresses of an enterprise back and forth from a single public IP address that is valid on the Internet. This allows the enterprise to be represented externally by a single public address while using different internal IP addresses that do not conform to global standards. NAT helps extend the limited public IP address space, provides important security by masking host addresses that are inside the enterprise, and simplifying organizational changes that result in overlapping IP addresses.

NAT provides VPN functions by translating private IP addresses to global IP addresses in order to traverse a global network. Two address ranges are set up: one for the internal (private) network and one for the external (global) network. A firewall maintains a table that maps the internal to external numbers.

Issues  NAT makes end-to-end authentication using IPsec unfeasible because NAT changes the packet addressing (see IPsec).

NDP  Neighbor Discovery Protocol
An IPv6 protocol used to discover the Data Link Layer addresses of neighbors on attached links.
It incorporates the functions of IPv4, ARP, ICMP Router Discovery messages, and ICMP Redirect messages. It replaces ARP, which doesn’t exist in IPv6. See IPv6.

NEBS  Network Equipment Buildings Standard
Defines a rigid and extensive set of performance, quality, environmental, and safety requirements for network equipment housed in carrier facilities. NEBS was developed by Telcordia (formerly Bellcore), the R&D and standards organization once owned by the Regional Bell Operating Companies (RBOCs).

NetFlow
An open but proprietary Cisco protocol for network monitoring that runs on Cisco IOS-enabled equipment for collecting IP traffic information, with many versions implemented by other network equipment vendors. It enables detailed enterprise-wide traffic analysis without deploying separate traffic analyzers, and is a software-based “push” technology that outputs information as it is created. The IETF is working to standardize NetFlow version 9 in an effort named IPFIX, which stands for IP Flow Information eXport – see IPFIX. sFlow is a competitive network monitoring method – see sFlow.
NFS  Network File System
A client/server protocol for accessing a network file system and standardized by the IETF in RFC1094 (NFSv2), RFC1813 (NFSv3), and RFC3010 (NFSv4). NFS is designed to allow any OS to access files.

NGN  Next Generation Network
A huge and far-reaching undertaking by the ITU to further the integration and interoperability of IP networks with the PSTN and mobile networks. It includes the ability to support instant messaging, push-to-talk, voice mail, video, and other multimedia applications in both real-time and streaming modes. NGN is packet-based with capability for multiple broadband QoS links where services are independent from the underlying transport technology. NGN is based on the IMS framework – see IMS. NGN Release 1 with limited roaming originally had a mid-2005 target, with Release 2 by end-2007 and Release 3 by end-2009.

NHRP  Next Hop Routing Protocol
Defined by IETF RFC2332: specifies how an end station finds out the IP address of either a destination node or the next router on the way to the target destination. MPOA uses NHRP to enable Layer 3 protocols to run over ATM networks – see MPOA. ARA (Address Resolution Advertisement) is an alternative scheme: see ARA.

NLSP  NetWare Link Services Protocol
A link state routing protocol developed by Novell to improve handling of IPX traffic in large networks by reducing wasted bandwidth that is associated with the IPX-RIP routing protocol. Link state protocols, such as NLSP and OSPF, exchange routing table updates with neighboring routers only when there are changes to the tables. Distance vector protocols, such as RIP and IPX-RIP, exchange updates periodically whether changes occurred or not and thus consume more bandwidth in large networks with many routers and clients. NLSP was available for NetWare v3.11 and was first bundled in NetWare v3.2.

NTP  Network Time Protocol
A TCP/IP-based protocol that allows any device to keep an accurate local time by referencing any time server on the Internet that has a very accurate radio or atomic clock. The local device measures the round-trip transit time from itself to the time server and uses that to adjust the time that the server reports. SNTP (Simple Network Time Protocol) is a simplified version of NTP that is described by RFC1769.

OC-1
For OC-1 through OC-768, see DS (Digital Signal).

OMP  Optimized Multipath
A new OSPF routing service to use loading information to distribute traffic across multiple links that have equal cost. This is currently an IETF draft protocol.

OTN  Optical Transport Network
See G.709 Digital Wrapper.

OTU-1 and OTU-2
See G.709 Digital Wrapper (OTN).

OSPF  Open Shortest Path First
Based on IETF RFC2328 (which obsoletes RFC2178), OSPF is a link state routing protocol that determines the best path for routing IP traffic over a TCP/IP network. It was developed to create less route-calculation traffic between routers than the RIP protocol. Features include least-cost routing, multipath routing, and load balancing. The IETF OSPF working group is at ietf.org/html.charters/ospf-charter.html. Also see MOSPF (Multicast OSPF). OSPFv3 is an updated version with minor changes that accommodate IPv6 – see IPv6.

P2P  Peer-To-Peer
In a P2P situation, network nodes communicate with each other and cooperate to accomplish various computing tasks without relying on network servers for processing or control, and without relying on specific bandwidth provisions from the underlying network. Skype and Google Talk are examples of very large P2P networks. Proprietary P2P protocols include BitTorrent, FastTrack (used by Kazaa and others), Gnutella, NEOnet (used by Morpheus), and the Freenet Project.

P2PSIP  Peer-To-Peer Session Initiation Protocol
An IETF effort started in mid-2005 to develop mechanisms for using the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) in settings where establishing and managing sessions is handled by intelligent endpoints, rather than by the centralized servers used in conventional SIP implementations. The objective is to leverage the distributed nature of P2P to allow for distributed resource discovery in a SIP network to reduce or eliminate the need for the central servers. The p2psip.org website provides
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information. Some P2PSIP-based products, such as phone systems from Avaya and Siemens, are already in the market. See SIP.

PAM5 5-Level Pulse Amplitude Modulation
The 803.3ab standard for 1 GbE transmission on 4 pairs of copper wiring uses 5-level Pulse Amplitude Modulation (PAM5) encoding. On each of the wire pairs, symbols are sent at 125 MHz, with each symbol represented as one of five different levels. Four of the five levels are used to designate two bits; the fifth level supports forward error correction (FEC) coding. Each of the four pairs thus supports 250 Mbps, providing 1 Gbps throughput in total. See 802.3ab.

PBB Provider Backbone Bridging
See 802.1ah.

PBNM Policy-Based Network Management
A generic term referring to an initiative to address methods of network management based on policies such as service-level agreements and quality of service (QoS) from a multivendor perspective. PBNM is intended to allow management of the conditions under which a user or application may have access to resources such as bandwidth, VLANs, and multicasting. PBNM complies with the standards for LDAP, RSVP, and COPS. HP, Intel, Cisco, and others began work on PBNM around mid-1998. See LDAP, RSVP, and COPS.

PBT Provider Backbone Transport
Properly called “Provider Backbone Bridging-Traffic Engineering” – see 802.1ah.

PDH Plesiochronous Digital Hierarchy
The original multiplexing scheme used in T1/E1 and T3/E3 systems. When multiplexing to higher rates, PDH required adding and later discarding justification and synchronization bits and thus was very inefficient and inflexible. See SONET and DS (Digital Signal). Today “PDH” is usually used when referring to the original rates that include:
- North America: DS1-DS4 (see DS)
- Europe: E1-E4 (see DS)
- Japan: DS1, DS1, 32.064 Mbps, 97.728 Mbps, 397.20 Mbps (see DS)

PGM Pragmatic General Multicast
A reliable transport protocol for IP Multicast developed by Cisco with contributions from Tibco Software Inc., submitted to IETF as a draft protocol. Also known as “Pretty Good Multicast”. Cisco and Tibco Software Inc. are supporting it.

PKI Public Key Infrastructure
A security key management protocol standard used in conjunction with security protocols such as IPsec (see IPsec).

PIM Protocol-Independent Multicast
A multicast routing architecture defined by the IETF that enables IP multicast routing on existing IP networks. Its key point is its independence from any underlying unicast protocol such as OSPF or BGP. Two versions are defined: v1 and v2. Many router vendors either already support PIM or plan to soon. A few ISPs are beginning to deploy PIM in their backbones: GTE Internetworking has already switched to PIM. See netweb.usc.edu/pim for an overview of PIM and links to related web sites.

The Protocol-Independent Multicast Dense-Mode protocol is known as PIM-DM and is an IETF draft protocol. Dense Mode is similar to DVMRP and best suited to stable multicast groups containing few senders and many receivers. For sparser networks with widely scattered groups and frequently changing memberships, a sparse version called PIM-SM (defined by RFC2362) can be used.

PNNI Private Network-to-Network Interface
A routing protocol for ATM that provides automatic load balancing, implicit capability for redundant links, and trunking capability. It is used between ATM switches in an ATM network that lets the switches inform each other about network topology so they can make appropriate forwarding decisions. PNNI-1 allows dynamic routing decisions to handle failed links or switches; PNNI-0 was an earlier interim specification that provided only static routing. See I-PNNI.

PoE Power over Ethernet
See 802.3af.

PON Passive Optical Network
See 802.3ah (Ethernet in the First Mile). The Passive Optical Networks Forum has a helpful website explaining PON history and technology. By early 2007, Japan represented about half the total PON market, with Korea and U.S. comprising most of the rest.
POS  Packet Over SONET/SDH
A protocol defined by RFC2615 for carrying IP traffic directly over SONET/SDH and avoiding the “cell tax” overhead of ATM. IP Over SONET (IPOS) refers to the same thing. With POS, IP runs over PPP (Point-to-Point Protocol – see PPP) and then over SONET/SDH without incurring ATM’s overhead from fixed-length cells that normally increase the data transferred by 10 percent. Usually the Data Link layer is null. If the Data Link layer contains an Ethernet MAC function, the format is called Ethernet Over SONET (see GFP). Sprint launched the first OC-12 packet-over-SONET (POS) Internet network in late 1997. Also see MAPOS (Multiple Access Protocol over SONET/SDH).

PPP  Point-To-Point Protocol
An IETF standard defined by RFC1661 for sending IP packets over asynchronous and synchronous serial lines. The Bonn Institute of Computer Science provides a good PPP Reference. Related protocols include The PPP Multilink Protocol-MP (RFC1990), PPP Vendor Extensions (RFC2153), and PPP in HDLC-Like Framing (RFC1662).

PPPoA  Point-to-Point Protocol Over ATM
Defined by RFC2364. PPPoA refers to transporting PPP over ATM AAL5 connections. See PPPoE.

PPPoE  Point-to-Point Protocol Over Ethernet
Defined by RFC2364. PPPoE refers to transporting PPP over ATM AAL5 connections. See PPPoE.

PPP over Ethernet (PPPoE)
Refers to PPPoA or PPPoE; see PPPoE.

PPTP  Point to Point Tunneling Protocol
A Layer 2 protocol that enables virtual private networking by encapsulating other protocols such as NetWare IPX for transmission over an IP network. PPTP is used as a VPN tunneling protocol; other such protocols are IPSec and L2TP. See IPSec, L2TP.

PPTP is also used to create a private network (VPN) within the public Internet by taking advantage of its RSA encryption or its Microsoft Point-to-Point Encryption (MPPE). Remote users can access their corporate networks via any ISP that supports PPTP on its servers. The protocol was developed by the PPTP Forum, which included Ascend, Microsoft, 3Com, and U.S. Robotics. It was first demonstrated in Spring 1996 by U.S. Robotics and Microsoft. U.S. Robotics developed the Windows NT PPTP driver, for integration into Microsoft's Windows NT Server 4.0. PPTP support is built into Windows 95 and 98.

PPTP allows NT network clients to take advantage of the services provided by Microsoft's RAS (Remote Access Service). For remote access, over analog or ISDN lines, PPTP creates a tunnel directly to the appropriate network NT Server. By terminating the remote user's PPP connection at the NT server, rather than at the remote access hardware, PPTP allows network administrators to standardize security using the existing services and capabilities built into the Windows NT security domain.

PRBS  Pseudo-Random Bit Sequence
Data test patterns utilized in bit error rate testing of SONET links.

PVST  Per-VLAN Spanning Tree
A Cisco-proprietary enhancement of Spanning Tree Protocol (STP) that allows switches to use multiple spanning trees, allowing traffic belonging to different VLANs to flow over different paths within the virtual bridged LAN. PVST+ adds support for VLAN (802.1Q) trunks to map multiple spanning trees to a single spanning tree. IEEE 802.1s is the industry standard protocol under development for Multiple Spanning Trees for VLANs; see STP and 802.1s.

PWE3  Pseudo Wire Emulation Edge-to-Edge
An IETF working group (pronounced “pee wee three”) developing standards for encapsulating and transporting Layer 1 and Layer 2 traffic over MPLS VPNs. These are commonly referred to as the “Martini drafts”. See VPN-Layer 2 VPNs.

QinQ  Stacked VLANs
See 802.1ad.

QoS  Quality of Service
Network device capabilities that provide some guarantee of performance such as traffic delivery priority, speed, packet loss, latency, or latency variation (jitter). Delivery of good-quality audio or video streams typically requires QoS capabilities. In IP voice networking, for example, important QoS factors include latency (the time required for a packet to reach its destination, ideally <150 msec.), jitter (variation in packet arrival times, ideally <20 msec.), and packet loss (ideally <1%). Cisco has an
an extensive tutorial at [www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/cisintwk/ito_doc/qos.htm](http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/cisintwk/ito_doc/qos.htm). Also see 802.1p and RSVP.

**QoSR**  
Quality of Service Routing  
Procedures being studied by the IETF ([RFC2386](http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/cisintwk/ito_doc/qos.htm)) to select routing paths based on network resource availability and the quality requirements of the traffic flow. Current routing protocols (such as RIP, OSPF, and BGP4) do not consider the link capacity when making route assignments.

**QRSS**  
Quasi-Random Signal Source  
A test pattern used in SONET testing to find timing or data errors. QRS generates every combination of 20-bit words, repeats every 1,048,575 bits, and contains high density sequences, low density sequences, and sequences that change between low density and high density.

**RADIUS**  
Remote Access Dial-In User Service  
A common security feature in routers that authenticates a user logging onto the network using a challenge/response method. A RADIUS client contacts a RADIUS server to authenticate access to the network. A typical RADIUS server can handle remote-access authentication, controlling user access rights, and gathering accounting information, a group of functions commonly known as "AAA." RADIUS was developed by Livingston Enterprises for their own routers. It was submitted to the IETF and is described in [RFC2138](http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/cisintwk/ito_doc/qos.htm). Novell Directory Services (NDS) provides an alternative kind of centralized authentication. Also see TACACS (Terminal Access Controller Access Control System).

**RARP**  
Reverse ARP  
A standard defined by IETF [RFC903](http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/cisintwk/ito_doc/qos.htm) that performs the opposite of ARP, finding a Layer 3 address that corresponds to a Layer 2 address. It is used by diskless workstations that need to obtain unique IP addresses upon startup. A RARP server responds to a RARP broadcast from the workstation and sends back the IP address. See ARP and BOOTP.

**RED**  
Random Early Detection  
A congestion control technique for TCP/IP in which a router randomly drops packets from all sources when the traffic gets heavy prior to periods of high congestion. While this causes some retransmissions, it is an improvement over conventional schemes that simply drop packets from the end of a queue when the router's buffers become full, and tend to cause huge waves of retransmissions in large networks. RED is accomplished by dropping packets in a statistically random fashion when the router buffers exceed a certain threshold of fullness. Cisco lab experiments showed that voice traffic can tolerate a loss of approximately 1 out of every 10 packets. For an overview of references on RED see [www.aciri.org/floyd/red.html](http://www.aciri.org/floyd/red.html). Also see WRED (Weighted Random Early Detection.)

**RENA**  
Resonant Communication Network Architecture  
NTT’s ambitious plan to rebuild their entire telecommunications network from 2005 using an all-IP architecture based on an IPv6 core, IPv6 multicast, dual stack IPv6/IPv4 subscriber edge routers and switches with extensive priority and QoS control, and an optical access network using EPON and point-to-point fiber. The [NTT](http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/cisintwk/ito_doc/qos.htm) Web site has technical overview information. MLDA is a key RENA protocol (see MLDA).

**RFC1242**  
Benchmarking Terminology for Network Interconnection Devices  
[RFC1242](http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/cisintwk/ito_doc/qos.htm) defines terms that are used in describing RFC2544 performance benchmarking tests and their results. See RFC2544.

**RFC2285**  
Benchmarking Terminology for LAN Switching Devices  
[RFC2285](http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/cisintwk/ito_doc/qos.htm) defines terms that are used in describing RFC2889 performance benchmarking tests and their results. See RFC2889.

**RFC2544**  
Benchmarking Methodology for Network Interconnect Devices  
[RFC2544](http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/cisintwk/ito_doc/qos.htm) defines tests that may be used to describe the performance characteristics of a network interconnecting device and describes specific formats for reporting the results. Appendix A lists the tests and conditions to be included for specific cases and gives additional information about testing practices. Appendix B lists maximum frame rates to be used with specific frame sizes on various media. Appendix C gives some examples of frame formats to be used in testing. See RFC2889.

**RFC2889**  
Benchmarking Methodology for LAN Switching Devices  
The [RFC2889](http://www.cisco.com/univercd/cc/td/doc/cisintwk/ito_doc/qos.htm) IETF document provides methodology for benchmarking LAN switching devices: forwarding performance, congestion control, latency, address handling, and filtering. It is an extension to RFC2544, which discusses benchmarking for network interconnecting devices. In addition to defining the tests, this document also describes specific formats for reporting the results of the tests. See RFC2285 and RFC2544.
RIP  **Routing Information Protocol**
Based on IETF **RFC1058**, a router protocol that determines the best path for routing traffic over a network by analyzing hop counts. RIP is based on distance-vector algorithms that measure the shortest path between two points on a network based on the number of router hops between those points. RIP protocols consume a lot of network bandwidth by continuously announcing themselves on the network. AppleTalk, DECnet, TCP/IP, NetWare and VINES all use incompatible versions of RIP.

RIP is inefficient on large networks because it was never designed to support situations in which there are hundreds of possible destinations from a specific source. In large networks it can often take longer than the 30-second interval between broadcasts for the protocol to converge and recalculate routing after a topology change. That means that routers may broadcast outdated information because changes haven’t reached them yet and confuse routers that have already received the updated information, causing routing loops or dead routes.

RIP2 or RIPv2 (RIP version 2, **RFC1723**) works the same way but adds support for subnet zero, classless IP, and some basic authentication. It can use IP Multicast to send updates to other routers. RIPv6 is the designation for a new version of RIP that handles the larger addresses associated with IPv6. See IPv6.

RMON  **Remote Monitoring**
Defined by **RFC1757**, RMON provides extensions to the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) that provide comprehensive network monitoring capabilities. Standard SNMP is designed so that the device being monitored has to be queried to obtain information. RMON is proactive so it eliminates the polling required in standard SNMP: it can set alarms on a variety of traffic conditions, including specific types of errors. See RMON2 and SMON (Switch Monitoring)

The full RMON capabilities are very extensive so routers and other network devices generally only implement portions of it. The complete set of RMON groups are:
1. Statistics (traffic and errors)
2. History (periodic samples of the Statistics counters)
3. Alarms (setting thresholds and sampling intervals to generate alarms on any RMON variable)
4. Traffic and error statistics for each host
5. Traffic and errors between pairs of devices
6. Traffic and errors between pairs of devices
7. Traffic and errors between pairs of devices
8. Traffic and errors between pairs of devices
9. Events (create log entries or send SNMP traps based on crossing a defined threshold of any RMON variable).

**RMON2**
Extensions to RMON that include:
- Protocol directory (identifies packets used by many of the new groups in the standard)
- Protocol distribution (counts of traffic per protocol)
- Address mapping (MAC addresses)
- Network layer host (tracks amount of traffic between network addresses)
- Network layer matrix (determines top conversations between network addresses)
- Application layer host (tracks amount of traffic by application protocol)
- Application layer matrix (information on top conversations based on application protocols).

RMON2 has better traffic analysis capabilities than RMON, but not all network devices implement the standard and it requires much more processor bandwidth than RMON.

RMTP  **Reliable Multicast Transport Protocol**
A protocol for reliable data transport over IP multicast developed by Bell Labs. It is used by Lucent Technologies in its e-cast product to handle file transfer, real-time applications, and near-real-time applications. Lucent’s e-cast is based on a single sender, an optional hierarchy of “designated receivers,” and multiple ordinary receivers.

ROADM  **Reconfigurable Optical Add/Drop Multiplexer**
An optical networking product that enables carriers to add or drop a single wavelength from a WDM system under software control or by some other simple method that does not require costly and slow engineering changes. Popular ROADM features often include per-wavelength ability to adapt to variations in power levels and to accommodate losses due to fiber bends or other stresses induced in the fiber.

RPR  **Resilient Packet Ring**
See 802.17.
RSTP  Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol
See 802.1w.

RSVP  Resource Reservation Protocol
Based on IETF RFC2205: a resource reservation setup protocol for IP networks. Routers can use RSVP-based signaling exchanges to reserve or set aside resources such as bandwidth that may be needed to handle designated traffic flows. CR-LDP and RSVP-TE are protocols for distributing labels among MPLS routers for constraint-based routing – see MPLS. Until March ’99 USC maintained a web page devoted to RSVP information and status at www.isi.edu/rsvp. Also see 802.1p and ISSLL.

RSVP+ is a name given to various extensions to RSVP by Microsoft and Cisco described in an IETF draft working document. With RSVP+ applications prioritize themselves with respect to each other by announcing their requirements to the network. It assumes that a switch/router uses RSVP as a COPS client in communicating with a policy server -- see COPS.

Microsoft is a strong supporter and has incorporated RSVP support in Windows 2000, believing that it should be used to signal for any important, consistent application. A WinSock API extension allows applications to request RSVP signaling.

Issues  There is considerable criticism of RSVP for large public Internet applications where it won’t be feasible for routers to keep track of a huge number of different traffic flows and their respective attributes, so RSVP is no longer expected to be used to control end-to-end signaling. Enterprise networks typically have much smaller and simpler bandwidth reservation needs, and won’t have this problem. There is broader industry support for Differentiated Services (see DiffServ) as a much simpler and more feasible method of traffic classification. Industry support is growing for using RSVP at the network edge to negotiate bandwidth provisioning, and using DiffServ or MPLS to control the network resources.

RSVP-TE  RSVP With Traffic Engineering Extensions
CR-LDP and RSVP-TE are protocols for distributing labels among MPLS routers for constraint-based routing. See MPLS.

RTMP  Routing Table Management Protocol
An AppleTalk routing protocol.

RTP  Real-Time Transport Protocol
RTP provides end-to-end network transport functions suitable for applications transmitting real-time data such as audio or video over multicast or unicast network services. It is an IETF standard defined by RFC1889 and RFC1890. It does not establish connections or provide any guarantees of delivery or network availability. It includes the Real-Time Control Protocol (RTCP) for use in multicasting. RTP runs over UDP and IP, and is important for transporting Voice Over IP (see VOIP). See http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~hgs/rtp/ for an overview of RTP and related topics.

RTSP  (VINES) Routing Table Protocol
A routing protocol for Banyan VINES that is based on the RIP protocol (see RIP). It uses delay as a routing metric.

RTSP  Real-Time Streaming Protocol
RTSP, published as proposed standard RFC2326 in April ’98, is a client-server protocol for controlled, on-demand delivery of real-time data such as audio and video over IP networks where large-scale broadcasts and audio/video-on-demand streaming are important. RTSP provides "VCR-style" capabilities such as pause, fast forward, reverse, and absolute positioning. Both H.323 and RTSP use RTP as their standard means of actually delivering the multimedia data (see H.323 and RTP). The Estoile website has helpful links and FAQ section.

RU  Rack Unit
The standard method of specifying the height of data communication equipment or servers mounted in racks. 1 RU equals 1.75 inches. 42 RU (73.5 inches) is the most common height for racks in enterprise data centers, although 44 RU racks are now being used also. Telephone company central offices usually specify rack height in feet rather than RU because they go from floor to ceiling; 7 feet tall is the most common telco rack height.

SAP  Service Advertising Protocol
Protocol used in NetWare IPX networks to handle server name-to-network address resolution.

SBM  Subnet Bandwidth Manager
An IETF draft signaling scheme used to convey 802.1p priorities between Layer 2 switches. It will communicate class of service information between RSVP clients and RSVP-enabled networks.

SDH  Synchronous Digital Hierarchy
See SONET.
sFlow
A hardware-based technology and protocol for network monitoring that was developed by InMon Corporation and subsequently standardized by the IETF as RFC3176. It is essentially an open source version of Cisco’s software-based NetFlow that is supported by various Cisco competitors. It enables detailed enterprise-wide traffic analysis without deploying separate traffic analyzers, and is a “push” technology that outputs information as it is created. Unlike NetFlow, sFlow is a sample-based technology that analyzes only 1 of every X packets. See NetFlow and IPFIX.

SFP Small Form Factor Pluggable
A new generation of hot-swappable optical modular transceivers used with small form factor (SFF) connectors that provide high speed and physical compactness. They are expected to operate at data speeds up to at least 5 Gbps. A built-in metal shield and outer cage provide good EMI protection. Modules contain an eject mechanism for easy unplugging. There is helpful information at http://schelto.com/SFP.

SHA-1 Secure Hash Algorithm-1
A security protocol for message authentication (for verifying data integrity) – see IPsec. MD5 is another popular authentication protocol.

S-HTTP Secure Hypertext Transfer Protocol
An extension of HTTP (see HTTP) that provides authentication and data encryption between a Web server and a Web browser to enable secure transactions over the World Wide Web. It is endorsed by NCSA and a variety of organizations and is widely used but is only a draft standard. v1.3 was released in March 1997. Also see SSL (Secure Socket Layer).

SIP Session Initiation Protocol
A proposed IETF standard (RFC2543) for signaling Voice and Video Over IP and other multimedia calls over the Internet using TCP or UDP. The IETF SIP working group has been handling this since September 1999. SIP is expected to be the future protocol for call control in VoIP. SIP is a de facto video standard in Windows XP because Microsoft’s Messenger for Windows is based on SIP, for making telephone calls, attaching voice and sound clips to e-mails, and for instant messaging. Cisco shipped its SIP Proxy Server in March ’02. AOL is adopting SIP for instant messaging and for interoperability with other services. SIP is a partial alternative to the ITU's complex H.323 standard -- see H.323. Columbia University began hosting SIP interoperability testing for various vendors. Media Gateway Control Protocol is a companion VOIP protocol whose development is also being managed by the IETF -- see MGCP. Also see H.325 and P2PSIP.

Issues
All major enterprise voice system vendors have endorsed SIP, but it is not in widespread use:
- In practice, most long distance voice sessions still rely on SS7 or H.323.
- Most new Internet-based applications are being built on newer signaling protocols such as IAX, Skype, or XMPP. Apple’s new iPhone was not compliant with SIP when announced in January 2007. Google Talk uses XMPP.
- SIP was supposed to be simple, but many implementers feel it is too complex. More than 80 IETF documents describe SIP and its extensions, with 20 of those addressing the core signaling protocol. The ITU's H.323 development is, in part, intended to seek a simpler solution.
- Of the numerous specifications that make up SIP, few are complete, clear, and unambiguous.
- There is currently no universally compatible SIP phone number directory system. And SIP provides for signaling, but has no video specifications. Thus, VoIP calls between different SIP services are often impossible. Even within a single SIP service, traversing enterprise NAT and firewall servers is currently a big technical obstacle because these systems were not designed to handle the 2 TCP ports plus 2-4 UDP ports needed for each SIP call.

SLA Service-Level Agreement
An agreement between an Internet service provider and its customer (or between two service providers) regarding the types of networking services, including service-level guarantees, that it will provide for stated prices. It will be important for future multilayer switches and other network devices to provide tools for enforcing compliance with these service levels and means for measuring compliance with them.

SLB Server Load Balancing
A process for directing an IP packet to the most appropriate server based on the content of the packet in order to balance the load across a group of servers or to ensure that certain types of transactions are handled by appropriate servers.

SLP Service Location Protocol
IETF draft protocol used by new Novell operating systems to handle server name-to-network address resolution. SLP is a version of SAP (used in IPX networks) that is modified for IP traffic but with less bandwidth waste than SAP. SLP can be used to locate resources on an IP network without entering the IP address.

SMON Switch Monitoring
An IETF proposed standard (RFC2613, "Remote Network Monitoring MIB Extensions for Switched Networks") proposed in February ’99 based on technology developed by LANNET and acquired by Lucent Technologies. SMON allows
simultaneous monitoring of real-time network traffic across multiple switches in a network and across all ports in a single switch. This capability was first announced in Lucent products in late 1998. Also see RMON (Remote Monitoring).

**SNMP**  
Simple Network Management Protocol  
IETF protocol widely used in conjunction with TCP/IP for network management and monitoring network devices. It allows network management applications to query a management agent that uses a standard data storage structure called a MIB (Management Information Base). The [SNMP Research](http://www.snmp.com) web site has helpful material explaining SNMP and its various versions. Also see RMON, CMIP, and TL1.

SNMPv1 became a TCP/IP standard protocol in 1990, and is described by [RFC1157](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1157) in conjunction with [RFC1155](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1155) and [RFC1212](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1212). SNMPv2, which was described by [RFC1902-1908](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1902) in early 1996, is a major revision that corrects performance concerns about the original version while providing more data types and better error handling. However, major goals regarding added security and security management were not met. SNMPv3 is described in [RFC2271-2275](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2271) and adds security (authentication, privacy, access control) and related administration features. In April 2002 SNMPv3 became a full standard and v1/v2 were moved to historical status.

**SOAP**  
Simple Object Access Protocol  
A Layer 7 protocol for IP networks that can be used to run services (applets) on another computer, providing a mechanism for breaking up programs into various modules that can be distributed. SOAP contains important security provisions such as identity management and encryption. Microsoft plans to support SOAP in future versions of Windows to create reliable and secure transactional services.

**SOCKS**  
A security protocol sponsored by NEC Systems Laboratory, who said that the protocol interoperates with and adds value to IPsec and PPTP. Its stated benefits include the ability to implement UDP-based applications -- such as streaming audio and video -- securely across firewalls, use a variety of encryption and authentication schemes, and provide secure communications using differing addressing schemes. SOCKS had not been nearly as popular and IPsec and PPTP, but NEC was promoting it heavily and several vendors planned to submit an updated version to the IETF. SOCKS was accepted as an IETF standard and is documented in [RFC1928, 1929, and 1961](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc1928). Also see IPsec and PPTP.

**SOHO**  
Small Office/Home Office  
A market segment that includes small businesses and business-at-home users, often demanding the latest and fastest technology.

**SONET**  
Synchronous Optical Network  
An intelligent system for transmitting high-speed digital signals on fiberoptic networks that has self-healing and network management capabilities. The SONET standard applies in North America and Japan; the comparable European standard is SDH (Synchronous Digital Hierarchy), defined by ITU-T standards G.707, G.708, and G.709. The SONET standard includes a physical interface, a frame format with timing and network management provisions, and optical data rates (OC-1, OC-3, etc.). The OC rates correspond to the equivalent STS (Synchronous Transport Signal) rates where STS-1 is 51.84 Mbps and higher values are multiples of that. The SDH standard uses STM rates instead of STS rates. See Digital Signal (DS).

**SPI**  
SCSI Parallel Interface  
SPI-3 is the third generation of the SCSI parallel interface. The [SCSI Trade Association](http://www.scsitran.org) defined the marketing term "Ultra3 SCSI" to correspond to the features introduced in SPI-3.

**SRP**  
Spatial Reuse Protocol  
See [802.17](https://www.ieee802.org/17) (Resilient Packet Ring).

**SSH**  
Secure Shell  
A protocol that provides authenticated and encrypted secure connections to a Web server using military-grade encryption. SSH protocol is based on public-key cryptography using a key pair. The sender encrypts with a public key, and the recipient decrypts with a different key that is secret. RSA cryptography is used for authentication and to promote the secure exchange of the session key. The SSH protocol was created around 1995 and has become widely used for encrypted remote logins over the Internet. It was originally developed as a replacement for the Berkeley UNIX r* commands (rlogin, rsh and rcp).

**SSL**  
Secure Socket Layer  
A transport level technology developed by Netscape that provides point-to-point authentication and data encryption between a Web server and a Web browser (client). SSL sends data over a “socket,” a secure channel at the connection layer that exists in most TCP/IP applications. SSL is a leading security protocol on the Internet, and support for it is built into most browsers now. Also see S-HTTP and encryption standards DES and AES.
STP \textbf{Spanning Tree Protocol}
Defined by standard IEEE 802.1d, a scheme used by Layer 2 switches to automatically inactivate certain network links so that traffic will have only one path between a specific source and destination, and will not travel endlessly in loops. Spanning Tree is a self-learning protocol that automatically reconfigures itself if any network link fails to send traffic over another path if possible, although this reconfiguration time can be relatively slow in light of today’s networking speeds.

Some switches provide STP-type functionality on a per-VLAN basis, meaning that separate network topology tables are maintained for every VLAN: See 802.1s (Multiple Spanning Trees for VLANs) and PVST (Per-VLAN Spanning Tree).

STP-1
For STS-1 through STS-48, see DS (Digital Signal).

SVP \textbf{SpectraLink Voice Priority}
An open defacto standard for handling voice priority issues on wireless LANs developed by SpectraLink Corporation that was widely adopted before efforts began to define QoS performance for wireless LANs in the 802.11e standard.

T1
For T1 through T4, see DS (Digital Signal).

TACACS \textbf{Terminal Access Controller Access Control System}
A common security feature in routers that authenticates a user logging onto the network. A typical TACACS server can handle remote-access authentication, controlling user access rights, and gathering accounting information, a group of functions commonly known as "AAA." TACACS is described in RFC1492 based on development work by Cisco. TACACS was a simple username/password system and Extended TACACS (XTACACS) added more intelligence in the server. TACACS+ is the typical implementation today, which includes encryption and a challenge/response option. Also see RADIUS (Remote Access Dial-In User Service).

Tcl \textbf{Tool Command Language}
Pronounced “tickle”, Tcl is both a language and a library. It is a textual language that is simple and programmable, intended for issuing commands to interactive programs such as testers, debuggers, and shells. Tcl users can thus write command procedures to create more powerful functions than those built into the original unit. Tcl is also a library package with parser and procedures that can be embedded in application programs. Tk is an extension to Tcl that provides a programming interface to the X11 windowing system. www.tcl.tk has extensive explanations and references for Tcl and Tk.

TCP/IP \textbf{Transmission Control Protocol-Internet Protocol}
Based on IETF standard RFC793: TCP is a reliable, connection-oriented protocol that first establishes a connection between the two systems that will exchange data. When an application sends a message to TCP for transmission, TCP breaks the message into packets, sized appropriately for the network. TCP provides flow control (to prevent overrunning the receiver) and congestion control (to prevent overrunning the capacity of the network.) For Ethernet networks, the maximum packet size is 1518 Bytes. Also see IP, UDP. TCP uses the IP protocol to address and send the packets. The IP protocol uses three key parameters: the IP address, subnet mask, and default gateway. Also see HS-TSP.

TGN Sync
A consortium of companies including Agere Systems, Atheros, Cisco, Intel, Nokia, Philips, and Sony developing a proposal in 2005 for high-performance wireless networks in conjunction with IEEE 802.11n. Some key technical features included use of MIMO technology to support 315 Mbps with two antennas and seamless interoperability with 802.11a/b/g products. Ultimately, the TGN Sync, WWiSE and MITMOT groups submitted a joint 802.11n proposal. See 802.11n; MIMO; WWiSE.

TKIP \textbf{Temporal Key Integrity Protocol}
A collection of enhancements planned for the 802.11 wireless LAN standard to correct security deficiencies in the Wired Equivalent Privacy (WEP) standard. See 802.11, WPA, and WEP. TKIP mathematically derives encryption values from a master key and changes them automatically without any action required by the user, avoiding the manual key changes required by WEP. TKIP was planned as an interim solution while products based on AES were being developed (see AES).

TL1 \textbf{Transaction Language 1}
A widely used protocol in telecommunications management that can be used to manage most telecom network elements in North America today. Unlike its alternatives CMIP and SNMP, TL1 is a man-machine interface that contains strings that humans can read and understand. TL1 was originally specified by Bellcore in 1986. www.t1guru.com contains helpful information. Also see CMIP and SNMP.

TTL \textbf{Time to Live}
A field in an IP packet header that is decremented at each router that the packet passes through. It allows a router to determine when to discard a packet due to an apparent router loop. The TTL field is also used to limit the distance that IP
Multicast packets propagate.

**TOS**  **Type of Service**
A byte located in every IP packet header that contains 6 bits intended to identify the packet's priority and throughput handling requirements but rarely used. The IETF DiffServ working group is defining a new scheme for using this byte – see DiffServ.

**Traffic Engineering**
Traffic engineering means setting up explicit routes through a network that are not necessarily technically optimum according to the routing protocols that are in use. This capability allows network managers to override dynamic routing protocols in order to manually control certain traffic flows. See MPLS.

**TFTP**  **Trivial File Transfer Protocol**
A simplified version of FTP that transfers files without password protection or directory capabilities. Network devices can use TFTP to download new versions of operating firmware. See FTP.

**T-MPLS**  **Transport MPLS (Multi-Protocol Label Switching)**
A new version of MPLS under development by ITU-T and IETF to provide packet-based transport alternatives to SONET circuits by allowing users to engineer bidirectional MPLS paths so they behave like dedicated TDM circuits or Layer 2 virtual connections. It does not use Layer 3 or IP-related features by extending the label all the way to the end device, so it does not require IP packet processing that could reduce network performance. The **T-MPLS Forum** website has helpful information. Also see MPLS and 802.1ah (PBB-TE), which is the main competitor to T-MPLS.

**U**  **Rack Unit Measurement**
A standard unit of measure for the height of racks and the systems that mount in racks. 1U corresponds to 1.75 inches. A 40U rack is therefore 70 inches high.

**UDP**  **User Datagram Protocol**
A connectionless mode protocol that is part of the TCP/IP family, defined by IETF RFC768. UDP allows an application to send a message to one of several other applications running on a remote or local machine. UDP operates much faster than TCP because it has much less overhead. Consequently, UDP is extremely important for many real-time video, audio, and storage networking applications where high speed and low latency are important. Wire-speed UDP processing is an important feature for switches or routers that must handle this type of real-time traffic reliably.

Data sent via the UDP protocol is not acknowledged and is thus less reliable than data sent via TCP/IP. Data can also be out of sequence and potentially duplicated.

**UPnP**  **Universal Plug and Play**
A distributed open networking architecture to achieve peer-to-peer network connections between intelligent appliances, wireless devices, and PCs of all types. Its objective is to allow a device to dynamically join a network without any configuration, using automatic discovery to obtain an IP address, convey its capabilities, and learn about the presence and capabilities of other devices. It uses Web technologies that include IP, TCP, UDP, HTTP, and XML. The **UPnP Forum**, formed in 1999, now has over 700 member companies working to develop protocol standards, which are independent of any media, device, or platform, and largely based on software. The **UPnP Implementers Corporation (UIC)** is a non-profit corporation that administers the UPnP device certification process and UPnP mark licensing, and promotes the adoption of UPnP technology by manufacturers of hardware and software products.

UPnP has a particular focus on making home networking both easy and invisible. A typical application is in digital media centers that identify and move music, photography, and video files between home PCs, TVs, and audio systems.

**UWB**  **Ultra-Wideband**
As applied to local area networking, UWB is a wireless technology that uses narrow (picosecond or nanosecond) pulses at very low power to transmit high data rates over distances up to approximately 10-100 m across all frequencies at once. UWB uses spread-spectrum technology spread over about 7.5 GHz with such low power that it does not interfere with other wireless transmission. Current product data rates are around 50 to 500 Mbps. This communications technology, also called digital pulse wireless or carrierless, can carry data through doors and other obstacles that obstruct other signals. UWB could be an alternative to Bluetooth (see 802.15). UWB solutions demonstrated at the January 2006 Consumer Electronics Show included wireless USB 2.0 over UWB, Bluetooth Wireless Technology over UWB, wireless DVI component video, 1394-over coax via UWB, and UWB HDTV over electrical wire.

The IEEE 802.15.3a task force (see 802.15.3a) worked for several years to develop high data rate UWB standards, but terminated in January 2006 without proposing an IEEE standard. It did consolidate many UWB PHY specifications into two proposals that are now being pursued by the UWB Forum (see below) and the WiMedia Alliance (see WiMedia).
Virtual Concatenation

Until recently, the primary way to transport Ethernet frames across a SONET/SDH network was by mapping them into fixed-sized SONET Synchronous Payload Envelopes (SPE) or SDH Virtual Containers (VC). Because of the bandwidth mismatch between Ethernet and SONET/SDH, this is inefficient, inflexible, and expensive compared to native Ethernet Layer 2 switching or ATM. EoS-VC, an inverse multiplexing scheme defined by the ITU standard G.7041 Generic Framing Procedure (see GFP), combines a number of noncontiguous, fixed-size SPEs or VCs into a single virtual payload of a higher combined capacity known as an EoS-VC group:

- **High Order VC**: STS-m-nv designates high-order SONET virtual concatenation rates, where "nv" indicates the multiple n of the “STS-m” base rate. Example: STS-3c-7v designates 7 x STS-3c rate, which is around 1 Gbps and appropriate for transporting Gigabit Ethernet.
- **VC-m-nv** is similar for SDH, where "nv" indicates the multiple n of the “VC-m” base rate. Example: VC-4-7v designates 7 x VC-4 rate, which is equivalent to STS-3c-7v above.
- **Low Order VC**: VT-m-nv designates low-order virtual concatenation rates, based on multiples of the VT-1.5 or VT-2 virtual tributary rates. Example: VT-1.5-7v designates 7 x VT-1.5 rate.

The different channels that comprise the concatenated virtual payload could take different routes through the network, so a process called Differential Delay Compensation is performed at the destination end. LCAS (Link Capacity Adjustment Scheme) adds a bandwidth-on-demand capability -- see LCAS.

Virtual Concatenation Differential Delay

See VC (Virtual Concatenation) and Differential Delay.

Virtual LAN

A group of independent devices that communicate as if they are on the same physical LAN segment but can actually be located anywhere on the network. VLANs typically allow each connected device to be placed into a logical group according to its physical point of connection (switch port), MAC address, or network protocol type. 802.1Q defines a numbering scheme that allows up to 4096 distinct VLANs on a network -- see 802.1Q.

Voice Over IP

An extension of ITU-T standards to support voice communications over IP networks such as the Internet with compatibility between products from different manufacturers. Typical scenarios are PC to PC, PC to phone, and phone to phone. Some incompatibilities exist now between various implementations due to the unfinished states of H.323 and SIP standards. VoIP is currently used mostly over private IP WANs so traffic priority can be assured. In the future, appropriate priority provisions will be crucial for implementing VoIP effectively on public networks. In the local area, VoIP typically means replacing TDM-based PBXs with LAN switches that use IP handsets and servers to implement an IP-PBX. In the wide area, IP services typically based on MPLS and its QoS capabilities interconnect the IP-PBX systems.

VoIP typically uses the SIP or H.323 protocol to signal call setup. The audio stream is then sent over the Real-Time Transport Protocol (see RTP) using an encoding/compression protocol such as G.711 or G.729 in minimum-size packets with small payloads of 20 bytes.

The Voice over IP Forum was formed in 1996 by Cisco Systems, VocalTec, Dialogic, 3Com, Netspeak and others as a working group of the International Multimedia Teleconferencing Consortium (IMTC), which promotes the implementation of the ITU-T H.323 standard (see www.imtc.org). The Protocols.com web site maintains links to many VOIP references and standards (www.protocols.com/pbook/VoIP.htm).

Voice Over Wireless LAN

Refers generally to transporting voice (VoIP) over wireless LAN IP networks, somewhat like VoIP transports voice over wired IP networks, but is not associated with a specific standard. Key issues for VoWLAN include ensuring low end-to-end latency for voice quality (see 802.11e), roaming between access points without dropping voice packets (see 802.11i and 802.11r), and providing adequate security from eavesdropping (see 802.11i). Many major vendors support using UMA technology for VoWLAN, but UMA does not fully support SIP (Session Initiation Protocol, needed for key functions such as push-to-talk) and IMS -- see UMA, IMS.

Virtual Private LAN Service

VPLS is described by an Internet Draft within the IETF PPVPN Working Group. It is intended to provide secure multipoint end-to-end LAN services to enterprise users over MPLS-based service provider networks, and is thus a type of Layer-2 VPN. VPLS is transparent to higher layer protocols and can transparently transport Ethernet VLAN traffic. The Metro Ethernet Forum is promoting VPLS. See VPN (MPLS Layer 2 VPNs). VPLS will include 802.1ad (the Stacked VLAN or QinQ standard) -- see 802.1ad.
entirely new protocol.

**VPN  Virtual Private Network**
A private connection over the public Internet that enables secure communications from a remote site. The two major classes of VPNs are remote access (accessing an enterprise network remotely via a dial-up call to a local Internet service provider) and site-to-site (linking two or more portions of an enterprise’s intranet or extranet over the public Internet). The most common protocols for IP-based VPNs are MPLS (see MPLS and description below), Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol (see L2TP), and the collection of IP Security protocols known as IPsec (see IPsec). When high security is required, the security features of IPsec are more appropriate than those of L2TP. Some VPNs employ connections using PPTP, a popular VPN tunneling protocol developed by Microsoft – see PPTP.

There are two types of MPLS VPNs (see MPLS):
- **Layer 2 VPNs** emulate Layer 2 networking services such as Ethernet, ATM, or Frame Relay and are referred to as Virtual Private LAN Service (VPLS). They forward data based on its Layer 2 header information and are typically invisible to the end user. Popular and widely adopted IETF draft standards referred to as Martini and Kompella describe these mechanisms. The Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol v3 (L2TPv3) is also being developed to transport native Layer 2 services across MPLS; see L2TPv3.
- **Layer 3 MPLS VPNs** are based on RFC2547bis and rely on IP routing mechanisms. They separate each VPN customer by creating a separate instance of a virtual router and a VPN Routing/Forwarding (VRF) table for each customer, implementing that user’s unique routing space. The VPN data flows through MPLS paths. This routing process can create a huge demand for memory in service provider’s edge routers, however, because of the need to support every user’s routing tables.

**VRRP  Virtual Router Redundancy Protocol**
An IETF protocol (RFC2338) to supply packet forwarding fail-over in case a primary router fails. The protocol is based on the concept of a virtual router comprised of an existing network router that backs up the main router. The virtual router acts as first hop router if the main router become unavailable. The proposed protocol handles ARP (Address Resolution Protocol) requests in a nonstandard way. Proprietary alternatives for providing router redundancy protection include HSRP from Cisco and FSRP from Foundry Networks.

**VSR  Very Short Reach**
VSR optics are being developed to provide much lower cost OC-192 interfaces within service provider facilities where short links between networking products are sufficient. The Optical Internetworking Forum (OIF) is helping coordinate the standardization effort and provides a helpful white paper. Four VSR solutions are intended to cover the range of requirements and applications that service providers have:
- **VSR-1** 12 fibers operating at 1.25 Gbps each (GbE speed) using 850nm VCSEL lasers. 2 MMF ribbon cables provide operation up to 300m.
- **VSR-2** 1 fiber operating at 10 Gbps using 1310nm edge-emitting FP lasers (and eventually VCSEL lasers). 2 SMF fiber cables provide operation to 600m.
- **VSR-3** 4 fibers operating at 2.5 Gbps using 850nm VCSEL lasers. 4 MMF fiber cables provide operation to 300m.
- **VSR-4** 1 fiber operating at 10 Gbps using an 850nm VCSEL laser. 1 MMF fiber cable provides operation to 300m.

**VT  Virtual Tributary**
See Digital Signal (DS).

**VTOA  Voice and Telephony over ATM**
Provides for the integration of switched-voice services with broadband ATM terminals to allow users to save money by combining their voice and data networks while avoiding the interoperability issues that have been associated with the integration of ATM and telephony in the past. This ATM Forum specification is being developed by its VTOA Working Group. VTOA requires implementation of one of two previous ATM Forum specifications: UNI (User-Network Interface) 4.0 or PNNI (Private Network-Network Interface) 1.0.

**WAFS  Wide Area File Services**
A generic term describing storage technology that enables an enterprise to access a remote data center as though it were local. A typical benefit is the ability to centrally manage data backups in real time. WAFS system elements use proprietary protocols to implement client/server file access and updates, with no changes required in the client or server applications.

**WAP  Wireless Application Protocol**
The WAP protocol provides for optimized Internet-type information services on wireless terminals such as digital mobile phones and pagers. All major operating systems support WAP, and WAP supports most wireless networks. WAP supports HTML and XML, but WML (Wireless Markup Language, an XML application) is designed to create pages to be displayed in a WAP browser on a small screen. The Open Mobile Alliance, which combined the WAP Forum and the Open Mobile Architecture Initiative, is working to grow the mobile industry market and ensure application interoperability. The IEC provides a useful WAP Tutorial.
WBEM  Web-Based Enterprise Management
A system for unified administration of network, systems and software resources proposed by Microsoft, Intel, Compaq, Cisco, BMC Software, and others. It allows users to manage distributed systems using any Web browser. In June, 1998, WBEM was turned over to the DMTF (Desktop Management Task Force) standards body (see www.dmtf.org).

It incorporates a new Common Information Model protocol (see CIM) that defines the objects to be managed. CIM v2.0 is the current version. WBEM originally specified a new Hypermedia Management Protocol (HMMP) for transporting management information, but now XML (eXtensible Markup Language) will be used instead. Original plans to standardize an Object Manager (OM) that collects management data and acts as an interface to supporting applications have been dropped; vendors must now define this component themselves. HTTP is the access mechanism for WBEM. Compaq, HP, and Dell are planning to support WBEM. Tivoli supports WBEM in its NetView 5.1 product that runs on Windows NT and Unix. Cisco supports WBEM in its CiscoWorks 2000 product. Microsoft will support WBEM in Windows 98 and Windows 2000.

WDM  Wavelength Division Multiplexing
Two or more colors of light sent over one optical fiber simultaneously, where each color carries one signal. Current technology can support 64 to 96 (or more) colors per fiber with each color carrying a Gigabit Ethernet, OC-48, or OC-192 signal. The Greek symbol lambda (\(\lambda\)) is often used to refer to a specific optical wavelength (or color). In practice, WDM refers to any such system. However, WDM can be used to describe systems with few colors per fiber (such as 8 or fewer), and DWDM (Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing) can refer to larger numbers of colors per fiber.

WEP  Wired Equivalent Privacy
A security protocol for wireless LANs that is part of the 802.11 Wireless LAN standard – see 802.11. It uses a static 40 or 104-bit key. WEP has been criticized for its relatively weak RC4-type encryption and lack of user authentication, and is widely acknowledged to be inadequate for enterprise applications. The "Security of the WEP algorithm" paper by UC Berkeley addresses some of the security concerns with WEP. An interim improvement to WEP is Wi-Fi Protected Access (see WPA); other alternatives include 802.1x, TKIP, and VPN technology (see 802.1x, TKIP, and VPN). The 802.11i WPA2 standard is expected to provide a long-term solution (see 802.11i).

Dynamic WEP provides additional security by incorporating 802.1x user authentication (see 802.1x) and by regenerating a new encryption key for each user session.

WFQ  Weighted Fair Queuing
A system of scheduling packets that are waiting for transmission that separates the packets into classes of different priorities and guarantees that each class receives some portion of the available bandwidth. This ensures that both heavy and light network users receive consistent response times. WFQ dynamically adjusts bandwidth allocations based on the traffic parameters and the relative amounts of traffic, reducing jitter and producing more predictable round-trip delays.

Wibree
Ultra low power wireless technology originally developed by Nokia and announced in October 2006 that enables tiny button cell battery power devices such as watches and sports sensors to connect to mobile phones or personal computer host devices that are nearby (10 meters/30 ft). Wibree has a 1 Mbps data rate and operates at 2.4 GHz over 40 channels, using FSK modulation with limited frequency hopping. Wibree utilizes dynamic packet lengths, making it effective in situations needing bursty data transfers. It seems likely that Wibree is intended to compete with ZigBee (see 802.15.4). In June 2007 the Wibree Forum merged with the Bluetooth SIG in an agreement making the Wibree specification part of the Bluetooth specification, now designated Bluetooth Ultra Low Power (ULP). Besides Nokia, Wibree backers include Broadcom, CSR, Epson, and Nordic Semiconductor. The Wibree website provides helpful information. The first products are expected in late 2008.

Wi-Fi  Wireless Fidelity
Originally referred to the 802.11b standard, but now usually refers to the 802.11 wireless LAN standards generally – see 802.11.

Wi-Fi5  Wi-Fi In 5 GHz Band
Originally signified the 5 GHz band used by 802.11a, as opposed to the 2.4 GHz band of 802.11b. Official use of Wi-Fi5 has been discontinued to avoid confusion and maintain the integrity of the "Wi-Fi" name.

Wi-Fi Protected Setup
See WPS.

WiMAX  Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access
See 802.16.
WiMedia
The WiMedia Alliance is an open industry association promoting the rapid adoption, regulation, standardization, and multi-vendor interoperability of UWB (Ultra-Wideband) worldwide. Based on Multiband Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (MB-OFDM) technology, WiMedia UWB is optimized for wireless personal area network (WPAN) technologies such as Bluetooth, Certified Wireless USB, Wireless 1394, and Wireless IP. The solution enables short-range multimedia file transfers at data rates of 480 Mbps or higher with low power consumption, and operates in the 3.1 to 10.6 GHz UWB spectrum. In December 2005 Ecma International released UWB standards based on the WiMedia UWB Common Radio Platform. The ECMA-368 standard covers the physical (PHY) layer and MAC layer specifications, and ECMA-369 specifies the MAC-PHY interface. Also see UWB.

WLAN Wireless Local Area Networking
Refers to the 802.11 wireless LAN standards generally – see 802.11.

WME Wireless Multimedia Extensions
See 802.11e.

WMM Wi-Fi Multimedia
A subset of the 802.11e EDCA Wireless LAN QoS standards (see 802.11e EDCA) adopted by the Wi-Fi Alliance to support voice and video transport over Wireless LANs. The Wi-Fi Alliance began certifying products for WMM compliance in September 2004., and chip sets supporting WMM are available. Major communication product vendors including Proxim, 3Com, and Cisco began shipping WMM products near the end of 2004.

WPA Wi-Fi Protected Access
The WPA specification was developed by the Wi-Fi Alliance and some members of the 802.11i task group to significantly enhance Wi-Fi security. WPA was designed to be a software upgrade forward compatible with the 802.11i standard – see 802.11. WPA is standard in 802.11i. WPA uses RC-4 encryption via TKIP, a 128-bit encryption key that is changed for every packet, and 802.1x authentication. Products supporting WPA began shipping in 2H’03 and by mid-2004 over 400 products using WPA were certified by the Wi-Fi Alliance. The Wi-Fi Alliance has helpful information about WPA at www.wi-fi.org/knowledge_center/wpa. WPA is an upgrade to the original Wired Equivalent Privacy protocol – see WEP.

WPA2 The Wi-Fi Alliance certified the first 802.11i products in September’04 under the name “WPA2”, indicating that the security is enhanced relative to the original WPA. WPA2 uses AES encryption. See 802.11i.

WPAN Wireless Personal Area Networking
See 802.15.

WPS Wi-Fi Protected Setup
A certified technology independently developed by the Wi-Fi Alliance and introduced in Nov’05 that is intended to make it easier for home and small office PC users to set up security-enabled Wi-Fi networks. WPS technology will be incorporated in Windows Vista and will operate in conjunction with computers, peripherals, and various consumer electronics.

WRED Weighted Random Early Detection
A version of the Random Early Detection collision control scheme (see RED) that drops packets selectively. Packets with a higher IP precedence are less likely to be dropped than those having a lower precedence, so higher priority traffic is delivered with a higher probability than lower priority traffic.

WSM Wireless Scheduled Multimedia
See 802.11e.

WWiSE Worldwide Spectrum Efficiency
A consortium of semiconductor and consumer electronics companies spearheaded by Airgo Networks, Bermai, Broadcom, Conexant Systems, STMicroelectronics, and Texas Instruments in 2004 that was developing a proposal for IEEE 802.11n high-speed WLANs. The proposal was based on a combination of OFDM and MIMO (Multiple-Input, Multiple-Output) technologies to achieve up to 540 Mbps data rate. Ultimately, the TGn Sync, WWiSE and MITMOT groups submitted a joint 802.11n proposal. See 802.11n; MIMO; TGn Sync.

X.86 Link Access Procedure-SDH
See LAPS (Link Access Procedure-SDH).

X2 10 Gbps Interface MSA
Electrically compatible with XENPAK, X2 is a multi-source agreement (MSA) that defines a smaller form-factor 10 Gbps pluggable fiber optic transceiver optimized for 802.3ae 10 Gb Ethernet, OC-192/STM-64 SONET/SDH interfaces, ITUT
G.709, OIF OC-192 VSR, INCITS/ANSI 10GFC (10 Gb Fibre Channel), and other 10 Gbps applications. X2 is thermally and mechanically similar to XPAK and is initially focused on optical links limited to 10 km where a "half size" XENPAK optical transceiver is desired. Announced in August ’02 and led by Agilent, other X2 supporters include Agere Systems, JDS Uniphase, Mitsubishi Electric, NEC, OpNext, Optillion, and Tyco Electronics. X2MSA.org explains the X2 MSA. First product introductions are planned for Q4’03, and by mid-2003 only products for 10GBASE-LX4 have been announced. See 300 Pin MSA, XENPAK, XPAK.

**XAU** 10 Gb Ethernet Transceiver Interface
Defined by the 802.3ae 10 Gb Ethernet standard, XAUI (pronounced “zowie”) is an internal electronics interface extender between the MAC (media access control) electronics and an optical network transceiver. XAUI is a low pin count self-clocked serial bus with four 3.125 Gbps differential data interfaces (“lanes”) using the same 8B/10B transmission code as 1 Gb Ethernet. Maximum length is 0.5m. Also see 802.3ae, XENPAK, and XPAK. The “10 Gigabit Ethernet and XAUI Interface” paper by Agilent is very helpful to explain both the XAU and XENPAK interfaces. XAU support 10 Gb Ethernet only, and its inability to support 10G SONET/SDH is a significant limitation.

**XENPAK** 10 Gbps Interface MSA
A multi-source agreement (MSA) for a 10 Gbps hot-pluggable module that converts the 802.3ae 10 Gb Ethernet XAUI internal parallel electrical signals to an external SC duplex fiber network interface (121mm x 51.3mm). The XENPAK MSA group, initiated in March ’01 by Agilent Technologies and Agere Systems but now with a large number of members, is creating the specification and promoting it. By mid-2003 10GBASE-LR and –ER modules were widely available. –LX4 and WAN PHY products have been announced. 300 Pin MSA, X2, XFP, and XPAK are competitive alternatives. XENPAK devices are relatively large, accommodating only 8 transceivers on typical line cards, and are intended to support all the 10 Gb Ethernet optical interface types. See XAU.

**XFI** 10 Gbps Electrical Serial Interface
XFI (pronounced “ziffy”) is a 10 Gbps electrical serial interface used in XFP transceivers. (See XFP.)

**XFP** 10 Gbps Interface MSA
A multi-source agreement (MSA) for a 10 Gbps hot-pluggable module that converts internal serial electrical signals to an external serial network interface that is typically optical, but could also be electrical (78mm x 8.5mm). XFP uses the new XFI 10 Gbps electrical serial interface instead of the XAUI interface that XENPAK and XPAK use. The XFP MSA group, founded in March ’02, is creating the specification and promoting it; Broadcom is a key leader. XFP seeks to support 10G SONET/SDH, 10 Gb Fibre Channel, 10 Gb Ethernet, and G.709. 300 Pin MSA, X2, XENPAK, and XPAK are competitive alternatives. XFP claims to provide lower cost, lower power, and higher density (up to 16 transceivers on a typical 19 inch rack with 23mm pitch density). XFP is also important because of its ability to support 10 GbE WAN and 10G SONET/SDH. External functions (including SERDES) are needed for 10 Gb Ethernet support. Products for 10GBASE-SR, -LR, and –LW have been announced.

**XPAK** 10 Gbps Interface MSA
A multi-source agreement (MSA) for a 10 Gbps hot-pluggable module that converts internal parallel electrical signals to external serial, parallel, or CWDM network interfaces for distances up to 10 km (50.8mm x 12.7mm). The agreement supports an electrically XENPAK-compatible parallel interface for both XAU and SFI4-P2 (protocol independent) and is intended for 10 Gb Ethernet, 10 Gb Fibre Channel, and SONET applications. The XPAK MSA group, founded in March ’02 by Infineon, Intel and Picolight, was creating the specification and promoting it. First samples were expected around Q4’02, and by mid-2003 products for 10GBASE-SR and –LR have been announced. 300 Pin MSA, X2, XENPAK, and XFP are competitive alternatives. XPAK is the same width as XENPAK but half the length and height, claiming a less expensive interface for enterprise and SAN applications where long-haul optics are not needed, and supporting much higher density with up to 20 transceivers on a 17 inch circuit board. XPAK was designed with PCI applications in mind, and PCI is a key application area.

**Zeroconf** Zero Configuration Networking
The IETF Zeroconf working group was established in 1999 to define standards for “plug-and-play” IP networking so that people without networking skills can easily connect two IP-compatible devices and begin communicating immediately without requiring a DHCP or DNS infrastructure. Goals include (1) IP address auto-configuration; (2) translating between names and IP addresses; (3) discovering services such as servers or printers that are available; and (4) allocating multicast addresses. In Sept’02 Apple introduced an early implementation named Rendezvous in its MacOS X operating system, and various printer vendors have promised support.

**ZigBee** Wireless Personal Area Networking
See 802.15.4 and Wibree.