FORMATION OF EVAPORATION DUCTS

The air that is in immediate contact with the sea surface is saturated with water vapour (i.e. the relative humidity is 100%). As the height increases, the water vapour pressure in the atmosphere rapidly decreases until it reaches an ambient value at which it remains more or less static for a further increase in height. Therefore, for the first few s above the surface of the sea, it is the water vapour pressure, \( e \), in the expression for \( N \) that dominates. This rapid decrease in \( e \) causes a steep fall in \( N \).

This is reflected in the modified refractivity, \( M \), which also correspondingly decreases. (The height term \( h \), which increases, is more than offset by the rapidly decreasing \( N \) term). This behaviour can be seen in the graph of \( h \) vs \( M \)
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as that portion of the curve with a strong negative \( M \) gradient. Therefore, despite the fact that the height \( h \) is increasing, it is the sharp fall in the water vapour pressure, \( e \), that contributes to the rapid decrease in \( M \).

Once \( e \) has reached its ambient value at a given height, a further rise in altitude does not cause a substantial change in the humidity of the troposphere. Thus, as \( h \) increases further, \( N \) decreases more (since air pressure and temperature both decrease with height). But this decrease in \( N \) is very small over large height increments. Consequently, despite a decreasing \( N \) term, it is the \( h \) term that starts to dominate in the expression for \( M \). Thus, \( M \) now gradually increases with height, and can be seen as the portion of the curve that has a positive \( M \) gradient.

The point at which the \( M \) gradient changes from negative to positive is referred to as the evaporation duct height (or thickness), and is a practical and realistic measure of the strength of the evaporation duct.

**Evaporation Ducts and the Troposphere:**

By virtue of their nature of formation, evaporation ducts are nearly permanent features over the sea surface. Typically, the height of an evaporation duct is of the order of only a few s; however, this can vary considerably with geographical location and changes in atmospheric parameters such as humidity, air pressure and temperature. In the lower regions of the troposphere where the earth’s weather is confined, these parameters do, in fact, fluctuate
significantly. The turbulent nature of the atmosphere contributes to its unpredictability and a variable atmosphere, in turn, is one of the major causes of unreliable wireless communications. Depending on their location and the prevailing climate, evaporation duct heights may vary from a few meters to few tens of meters. Additionally, it is observed that calm sea conditions are more conducive for the creation of ducts. As a consequence of sporadic meteorological phenomena, evaporation duct heights undergo significant spatial and temporal variations. Evaporation ducts are weather-related phenomena; their heights cannot easily be measured directly using instruments like refractometers and radiosondes. At best, the height of an evaporation duct can be deduced from the bulk meteorological parameters that are representative of the ongoing physical processes at the air-sea boundary. The dependence of evaporation ducts on the physical structure of the troposphere signifies that changing weather conditions can indeed result in alterations in radio wave propagation.

**Evaporation Ducts and Radio Wave Propagation:**

Over the years, much research has been undertaken to explain the mechanism of radio wave propagation in evaporation ducts. A key reason why evaporation ducts are so important for radio communications is because they are often associated with enhanced signal strengths at receivers. An evaporation duct can be regarded as a natural waveguide that steers the radio signal from the transmitter to a receiver that may be situated well beyond the radio horizon. The drop in the refractive index of the atmosphere within the first few meters above the surface of the sea causes incident radio waves to be refracted towards the earth more than normal so that their radius of curvature becomes less than or equal to that of the earth’s surface. The sudden change in the atmosphere’s refractivity at the top of the duct causes the radio waves to refract back into the duct, and when it comes in contact with the surface of the sea, it gets reflected upwards again. The waves then propagate long ranges by means of successive reflections (refractions) from the top of the duct and the surface of the earth.

Since the top of an evaporation duct is not ‘solid’ (as in the case of an actual waveguide), there will be a small but finite amount of energy leakage into the free space immediately above the duct. However, despite this escape of energy, radio waves are still capable of travelling great distances through the duct, with relatively small attenuation and path loss. The ducting effect often results in radio signals reaching places that are beyond the radio horizon with improved signal strengths. This naturally has far reaching implications on practical radio propagation patterns. For this reason, evaporation ducts and their impact on radio wave propagation have been studied extensively over the years. Numerous statistical models have been proposed to describe evaporation ducts and compute the duct heights under different atmospheric conditions.

The presence of evaporation ducts might not always indicate enhanced signal strengths. For instance, if there is an unwanted distant transmitter also located within the duct, then there is always the possibility of the system under consideration being susceptible to signal interference and interception. This is dependent on the location of the radio paths being investigated. Another scenario that might arise is the interference between the various propagation modes that exist within the evaporation duct itself. Depending on the separation of the transmitter and receiver and the prevailing atmospheric conditions, there could be destructive interference between the direct and reflected rays, the latter of which is comprised of the various multiple hop (one-hop, two-hop, and so on) propagation modes.
Additionally, signal degradation may also occur if there is destructive interference between various modes that arrive at the receiver after refraction from different heights in the troposphere. All these situations could possibly cause key problems in the domain of cellular mobile communication systems in littoral regions. Thus, in addition to aiding radio wave propagation, evaporation ducts could also be principal limiting factors in beyond line of sight over-the-sea UHF propagation.
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