BIOGAS TECHNOLOGY

D.B. SALUNKHE, PG Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Government College of Engineering, Amravati, Maharashtra, India, 444604. deepa_salunkhe@yahoo.com

R. K. RAI Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Government College of Engineering, Amravati, Maharashtra, India, 444604. rai.rampravesh@gcoea.ac.in

R. P. BORKAR,

Principal, Government College of Engineering, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India, 425001. rpborkar@rediffmail.com

Abstract:

Due to scarcity of petroleum and coal it threatens supply of fuel throughout the world also problem of their combustion leads to research in different corners to get access the new sources of energy, like renewable energy resources. Solar energy, wind energy, different thermal and hydro sources of energy, biogas are all renewable energy resources. But, biogas is distinct from other renewable energies because of its characteristics of using, controlling and collecting organic wastes and at the same time producing fertilizer and water for use in agricultural irrigation. Biogas does not have any geographical limitations nor does it require advanced technology for producing energy, also it is very simple to use and apply.

Anaerobic digestion is controlled biological degradation process which allows efficient capturing & utilization of biogas (approximately 60% methane and 40% carbon dioxide) for energy generation. Anaerobic digestion of food waste is achievable but different types, composition of food waste results in varying degrees of methane yields, and thus the effects of mixing various types of food waste and their proportions should be determined on case by case basis.

Key Words: Biogas; BOD; Calorific Value; Kitchen Waste; Methane; Slurry

INTRODUCTION

Deforestation is a very big problem in developing countries like India, most of the part depends on charcoal and fuel-wood for fuel supply which requires cutting of forest. Also, due to deforestation, it leads to decrease the fertility of land by soil erosion. Use of dung, firewood as energy is also harmful for the health of the masses due to the smoke arising from them causing air pollution.

Kitchen waste is organic material having the high calorific value and nutritive value to microbes, that's why efficiency of methane production can be increased by several orders of magnitude as said earlier. It means higher efficiency and size of reactor and cost of biogas production is reduced. Also in most of cities and places, kitchen waste is disposed in landfill or discarded which causes the public health hazards and diseases like malaria, cholera, typhoid. Inadequate management of wastes like uncontrolled dumping bears several adverse consequences: It not only leads to polluting surface and groundwater through leachate and further promotes the breeding of flies, mosquitoes, rats and other disease bearing vectors. Also, it emits unpleasant odour & methane which is a major greenhouse gas contributing to global warming.

Mankind can tackle this problem successfully with the help of methane by low calorific inputs like cattle dung, distillery effluent, municipal solid waste or sewerage, in biogas plants, making methane generation highly inefficient. This system can be extremely efficient by using kitchen waste/food wastes.

EXPERIMENTAL SET UP

Biogas plant model of 1 Cu m gas capacity was prepared by using two tanks of 1.00 m diameter and 1.10 m diameter. The tank of 1.10 m diameter was used as digester and the tank of 1.00 m digester was used as gas holder for gas collection. Small tank was dropped downward in bigger tank so that when gas would be generated, that small tank would rise upward. The tanks were made up of grade of Polyethylene. One hole was

made to the gas holder to collect biogas from gas holder. In that hole, a cock was fixed to control the entry of biogas. One end of tube was inserted in hole for outlet of biogas and another end was joined to biogas stove.

Two holes were made in digestion tank around two pipes were fitted in those holes, one for inlet chamber for feeding of biomass and another for outlet chamber for outlet to collect slurry. A grill of four angles with spring was fitted to increase pressure. The parameters of this model are listed in Table 1 and it is as shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1 Biogas plant model
Table 1: Parameters of biogas plant model

Sr. No.	Parameters	Unit	
1	Gas Holder		
	Diameter	1.00 m	
	Capacity	1.00 Cu m	
	Depth	1.27 m	
2	Digester		
	Diameter	1.10 m	
	Capacity	1.20 Cu m	
	Depth	1.25 m	
3	Inlet Chamber		
	Diameter of pipe	80 mm	
	Depth of pipe	1.45 m	
4	Outlet Pipe		
	Diameter	80 mm	
	Distance from bottom	1.05 m	
5	Outlet for gas		
	Diameter	8 mm	
6	Stove		
	Total gas input	450 g/hr	
	Pressure	747 KN/ m ²	
7 Place for installation		Over the ground	
	of model		
8	Tube for carrying biogas		
	Diameter	8 mm	
	Length	10 m	

DETERMINATION OF CALORIFIC VALUE OF BIOGAS AND THERMAL EFFICIENCY OF STOVE

Biogas generated by kitchen solid waste contains 70 % of methane. Calorific value of 100 % methane is 8560 KCal / m^3 .

Determination of Calorific Value of Biogas				
Calorific Value of Pure Methane	$= 8560 \text{ KCal} / \text{m}^3$			
% of Methane in Biogas	= 70 %			
Calorific Value of Biogas				
-	$= 5992 \text{ KCal} / \text{m}^3$			
Calorific Value of Biogas	$\approx 6000 \text{ KCal} / \text{m}^3$			

2. Determination of Thermal Efficiency of Stove

Thermal Efficiency of Stove can be determined by		
Α	= 100(B + C)(T ₂ -T ₁)	
	GxH	
Thermal Efficiency of Stove	= A	
Weight of Water	= B	
Specific Heat of Aluminum X	= C	
Weight of Empty Pot		
Initial Temperature of Water	$= T_1$	
Final Temperature of Water	$=T_2$	
Gas Utilized in L	= G	
Calorific Value of Biogas	= H	
Now,		
Weight of Empty Pot	= 0.4 Kg	
Weight of Water	= 2.0 Kg	
Product of Specific Heat of	$= 0.212 \ge 0.4$	
Aluminum and Weight of Empty		
Pot	0	
Initial Temperature of Water	$=20^{0}C$	
Final Temperature of Water	$= 100^{0}$ C	
Gas Utilized to Raise Temperature	= 45 L	
Calorific Value of Biogas	$= 6000 \text{ KCal} / \text{m}^3$	
Thermal Efficiency of Stove	$= 100 [2+(0.212 \times 0.4)] [100$	
	20]	
	45 x 6.0	
	= 61.77 %	
Thermal Efficiency of Stove	$\approx 62\%$	

ANALYSIS OF SLURRY

1.

Slurry generated in kitchen solid waste based biogas digester contains more nutrients i.e. NPK and less BOD as compared to conventional biogas plant. Slurry was tested in laboratory for the % of NPK in dry and wet slurry and Biochemical Oxygen Demand. Results were tabulated in Table 2.

Table 2	Nutrients	in slurry	and cow	dung
---------	-----------	-----------	---------	------

Sr. No.	Type of Waste	% of Nitrogen	% of Phosphorus	% of Potash	BOD in mg/L
1.	Wet Slurry from Kitchen Solid Waste	1.96	0.96	3.32	18
2.	Dry Slurry from Kitchen Solid Waste	0.98	0.84	1.37	-
3.	Cow Dung	0.56	0.35	0.78	30

Slurry generated from biogas plant was used for recirculation in digester through inlet chamber to accelerate the process of generating biogas. Also slurry was used for gardening purpose. Slurry poured to plants is as shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2 Slurry utilization to the plant

Ground was prepared for spinach production. Same ground was divided in two parts. Same seeds were bowed in both parts at same conditions. Slurry containing NPK as shown in Table 2 was poured to one part of ground but only water was poured to second part. The production of first and second part was as shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 respectively.



Fig. 3 Spinach production with slurry



Fig. 4 Spinach production without slurry

Bitter gourd requires black cotton soil for production. But seeds of bitter gourd sowed in sandy soil fig.5 and slurry was poured regularly. It was resulted in maximum production of bitter guard fig.6.



Fig. 5 Bitter guard production in sandy soil



Fig. 6 Production of bitter gourd



Slurry was also poured to fenugreek and the products were as shown in fig. 7.

Fig. 7 Production of fenugreek

Application of slurry also increases the production capacity of soil by 20-25% (Karanjekar, 1990). Last year the production of turmeric was 4 Kg but due to application of slurry generated from biogas plant, this year the production of turmeric fig. 8 was 5 Kg in same area and same condition of soil.



Fig. 8 Production of turmeric

25% part of digestate is used for converting solids to biogas and remaining 75% digestate converts in slurry as fertilizer. Slurry contains higher % of NPK as well as micro element and humus which keeps the texture of soil good and increases the holding capacity of soil. Implementation of slurry does not affect the quality of soil or product as chemical fertilizers.

COMPARISON BETWEEN CONVENTIONAL AND KITCHEN SOLID WASTE BIOGAS SYSTEM

Biogas production system receives the organic material (feedstock) into an airtight tank, where the bacteria break down the organic materials and release biogas. Through this compact system, it has been demonstrated that by using feedstock having high calorific and nutritive value to microbes, the efficiency of methane generation can be increased. It is an extremely user friendly system.

Conventional biogas system is built by using traditional materials such as bricks, sand, concrete, cement and steel. For construction of this system skillful labours are required and also more space is required for its construction. More quantity of cow dung is required and maintenance of this system is also difficult. This traditional system is useful in villages where the people are having 2-3 cows from which 25 Kg of cow dung can be collected daily. The slurry generated from this system is thick having more solids and thus it requires drying the slurry. Slurry can be utilized as fertilizer where it is difficult to transport the slurry in liquid form.

Portable biogas system requires less space as it is portable and can transport easily from one place to another. No problems of leakages and no maintenance are required for this system. Slurry generated from this system contains very less solid contents. Thus it is not necessary to dry slurry. This system is very much useful

in urban area where less space is available and kitchen solid waste can be available easily. Slurry can be sold as a fertilizer for gardening purpose as in city area, for gardening less space is available and also it can be sold in Rs. 10.00 /- per L. Citizens can get more profit using less space for gardening in less amount by using slurry generated from this biogas system.

Experimental study was performed and the results obtained are shown in Table 3.

Sh.Conventional biggasSystem1.Material of ConstructionBricks, Sand, Cement, Concrete and SteelPolyethylene2.Space RequiredLargeSmall3.Quantity of Feedstock25.0 kg + 25.0 L water2.0 kg + 2.0 L water4.Type of FeedstockCow DungFood Waste5.Quantity and Nature of Slurry to be Disposed40.0 L (Semi Solid)6.0 L (Liquid)6.Reaction Time for Full Utilization of Feedstock30 Days12 Hours7.Standard Size to be Installed4 000 L1 000 L8.Production of Biogas1 Cu m1 Cu m9.% of Methane in Biogas55.0 %70.0 %10.Calorific Value4700 KCal/m³6000 KCal/m³11.% of Phosphorus in Wet Slurry0.64 %0.96 %13.% of Potash in Wet Slurry0.32 %0.84 %16.% of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry0.32 %0.84 %16.% of Potash in Dry Slurry30 mg/L18 mg/L18.MaintenanceHighNone19.PortabilityNoYes20.Pay Back Period3-4 Years1 Year	Sr.	Parameters	Kitchen Waste Biogas	
1.Material of ConstructionBricks, Sand, Cement, Concrete and SteelPolyethylene2.Space RequiredLargeSmall3.Quantity of Feedstock25.0 kg + 25.0 L water2.0 kg + 2.0 L water4.Type of FeedstockCow DungFood Waste5.Quantity and Nature of Slurry to be Disposed40.0 L (Semi Solid)6.0 L (Liquid)6.Reaction Time for Full Utilization of Feedstock30 Days12 Hours7.Standard Size to be Installed4 000 L1 000 L8.Production of Biogas1 Cu m1 Cu m9.% of Methane in Biogas55.0 %70.0 %10.Calorific Value4700 KCal/m³6000 KCal/m³11.% of Phosphorus in Wet Slurry0.64 %0.96 %13.% of Potash in Wet Slurry0.32 %0.84 %14.% of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry0.32 %0.84 %15.% of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry1.10 %1.37 %17.BOD of Slurry30 mg/L18 mg/L18.MaintenanceHighNone19.PortabilityNoYes		1 al anieter s	Conventional Biogas System	
3.Quantity of Feedstock25.0 kg + 25.0 L water2.0 kg + 2.0 L water4.Type of FeedstockCow DungFood Waste5.Quantity and Nature of Slurry to be Disposed40.0 L (Semi Solid)6.0 L (Liquid)6.Reaction Time for Full Utilization of Feedstock30 Days12 Hours7.Standard Size to be Installed4 000 L1 000 L8.Production of Biogas1 Cu m1 Cu m9.% of Methane in Biogas55.0 %70.0 %10.Calorific Value4700 KCal/m³6000 KCal/m³11.% of Nitrogen in Wet Slurry0.64 %0.96 %13.% of Potash in Wet Slurry0.56 %0.98 %15.% of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry0.32 %0.84 %16.% of Potash in Dry Slurry1.10 %1.37 %17.BOD of Slurry30 mg/L18 mg/L18.MaintenanceHighNone19.PortabilityNoYes		Material of Construction	Bricks, Sand, Cement,	
4.Type of FeedstockCow DungFood Waste5.Quantity and Nature of Slurry to be Disposed40.0 L (Semi Solid)6.0 L (Liquid)6.Reaction Time for Full Utilization of Feedstock30 Days12 Hours7.Standard Size to be Installed4 000 L1 000 L8.Production of Biogas1 Cu m1 Cu m9.% of Methane in Biogas55.0 %70.0 %10.Calorific Value4700 KCal/m³6000 KCal/m³11.% of Nitrogen in Wet Slurry0.84 %1.96 %12.% of Phosphorus in Wet Slurry0.64 %0.96 %13.% of Potash in Wet Slurry0.32 %0.84 %14.% of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry0.32 %0.84 %15.% of Potash in Dry Slurry1.10 %1.37 %17.BOD of Slurry30 mg/L18 mg/L18.MaintenanceHighNone19.PortabilityNoYes	2.	Space Required	Large	Small
5.Quantity and Nature of Slurry to be Disposed40.0 L (Semi Solid)6.0 L (Liquid)6.Reaction Time for Full Utilization of Feedstock30 Days12 Hours7.Standard Size to be Installed4 000 L1 000 L8.Production of Biogas1 Cu m1 Cu m9.% of Methane in Biogas55.0 %70.0 %10.Calorific Value4700 KCal/m³6000 KCal/m³11.% of Nitrogen in Wet Slurry0.84 %1.96 %12.% of Phosphorus in Wet Slurry0.64 %0.96 %13.% of Potash in Wet Slurry0.56 %0.98 %15.% of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry0.32 %0.84 %16.% of Potash in Dry Slurry1.10 %1.37 %17.BOD of Slurry30 mg/L18 mg/L18.MaintenanceHighNone19.PortabilityNoYes	3.	Quantity of Feedstock	25.0 kg + 25.0 L water	2.0 kg + 2.0 L water
to be DisposedImage: Constraint of the co	4.	Type of Feedstock	Cow Dung	Food Waste
Utilization of Feedstock Image: Constraint of Feedstock 7. Standard Size to be Installed 4 000 L 1 000 L 8. Production of Biogas 1 Cu m 1 Cu m 9. % of Methane in Biogas 55.0 % 70.0 % 10. Calorific Value 4700 KCal/m ³ 6000 KCal/m ³ 11. % of Nitrogen in Wet Slurry 0.84 % 1.96 % 12. % of Phosphorus in Wet Slurry 0.64 % 0.96 % 13. % of Potash in Wet Slurry 1.65 % 3.32 % 14. % of Nitrogen in Dry Slurry 0.32 % 0.84 % 15. % of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry 1.10 % 1.37 % 17. BOD of Slurry 30 mg/L 18 mg/L 18. Maintenance High None 19. Portability No Yes	5.		40.0 L (Semi Solid)	6.0 L (Liquid)
8. Production of Biogas 1 Cu m 1 Cu m 9. % of Methane in Biogas 55.0 % 70.0 % 10. Calorific Value 4700 KCal/m ³ 6000 KCal/m ³ 11. % of Nitrogen in Wet Slurry 0.84 % 1.96 % 12. % of Phosphorus in Wet Slurry 0.64 % 0.96 % 13. % of Potash in Wet Slurry 0.65 % 0.98 % 15. % of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry 0.32 % 0.84 % 16. % of Potash in Dry Slurry 1.10 % 1.37 % 17. BOD of Slurry 30 mg/L 18 mg/L 18. Maintenance High None 19. Portability No Yes	6.		30 Days	12 Hours
9. % of Methane in Biogas 55.0 % 70.0 % 10. Calorific Value 4700 KCal/m ³ 6000 KCal/m ³ 11. % of Nitrogen in Wet Slurry 0.84 % 1.96 % 12. % of Phosphorus in Wet Slurry 0.64 % 0.96 % 13. % of Potash in Wet Slurry 1.65 % 3.32 % 14. % of Nitrogen in Dry Slurry 0.36 % 0.98 % 15. % of Potash in Dry Slurry 0.32 % 0.84 % 16. % of Potash in Dry Slurry 1.10 % 1.37 % 17. BOD of Slurry 30 mg/L 18 mg/L 18. Maintenance High None 19. Portability No Yes	7.	Standard Size to be Installed	4 000 L	1 000 L
Image: Non-State Image: Non-State<	8.	Production of Biogas	1 Cu m	1 Cu m
11. % of Nitrogen in Wet Slurry 0.84 % 1.96 % 12. % of Phosphorus in Wet Slurry 0.64 % 0.96 % 13. % of Potash in Wet Slurry 1.65 % 3.32 % 14. % of Nitrogen in Dry Slurry 0.56 % 0.98 % 15. % of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry 0.32 % 0.84 % 16. % of Potash in Dry Slurry 1.10 % 1.37 % 17. BOD of Slurry 30 mg/L 18 mg/L 18. Maintenance High None 19. Portability No Yes	9.	% of Methane in Biogas	55.0 %	70.0 %
12. % of Phosphorus in Wet Slurry 0.64 % 0.96 % 13. % of Potash in Wet Slurry 1.65 % 3.32 % 14. % of Nitrogen in Dry Slurry 0.56 % 0.98 % 15. % of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry 0.32 % 0.84 % 16. % of Potash in Dry Slurry 1.10 % 1.37 % 17. BOD of Slurry 30 mg/L 18 mg/L 18. Maintenance High None 19. Portability No Yes	10.	Calorific Value	4700 KCal/m ³	6000 KCal/m ³
13. % of Potash in Wet Slurry 1.65 % 3.32 % 14. % of Nitrogen in Dry Slurry 0.56 % 0.98 % 15. % of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry 0.32 % 0.84 % 16. % of Potash in Dry Slurry 1.10 % 1.37 % 17. BOD of Slurry 30 mg/L 18 mg/L 18. Maintenance High None 19. Portability No Yes	11.	% of Nitrogen in Wet Slurry	0.84 %	1.96 %
14. % of Nitrogen in Dry Slurry 0.56 % 0.98 % 15. % of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry 0.32 % 0.84 % 16. % of Potash in Dry Slurry 1.10 % 1.37 % 17. BOD of Slurry 30 mg/L 18 mg/L 18. Maintenance High None 19. Portability No Yes	12.	% of Phosphorus in Wet Slurry	0.64 %	0.96 %
15.% of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry0.32 %0.84 %16.% of Potash in Dry Slurry1.10 %1.37 %17.BOD of Slurry30 mg/L18 mg/L18.MaintenanceHighNone19.PortabilityNoYes	13.	% of Potash in Wet Slurry	1.65 %	3.32 %
16.% of Potash in Dry Slurry1.10 %1.37 %17.BOD of Slurry30 mg/L18 mg/L18.MaintenanceHighNone19.PortabilityNoYes	14.	% of Nitrogen in Dry Slurry	0.56 %	0.98 %
17.BOD of Slurry30 mg/L18 mg/L18.MaintenanceHighNone19.PortabilityNoYes	15.	% of Phosphorus in Dry Slurry	0.32 %	0.84 %
18.MaintenanceHighNone19.PortabilityNoYes	16.	% of Potash in Dry Slurry	1.10 %	1.37 %
19.PortabilityNoYes	17.	BOD of Slurry	30 mg/L	18 mg/L
	18.	Maintenance	High	None
20. Pay Back Period3-4 Years1 Year	19.	Portability	No	Yes
	20.	Pay Back Period	3-4 Years	1 Year

Table: 3 Comparison between conventional and kitchen solid waste biogas systems

CONCLUSIONS

From the experimental study and observations conclusions were as follows.

- 1. Calorific value of biogas generated from food waste was 6000 KCal/m³ and the thermal efficiency of stove was 62 %.
- 2. Nutrients i.e. NPK in wet slurry were 1.96, 0.96 and 3.32 respectively and NPK in dry slurry were 0.98, 0.84 and 1.37 respectively. But NPK in cow dung were 0.56, 0.35 and 0.78 respectively i.e. NPK in slurry were four times more than that of cow dung.
- 3. BOD₅ at 20° C of slurry of biogas plant model was 18 mg/L and that of cow dung was 30 mg/L.
- 4. When slurry was applied to spinach, bitter guard, fenugreek and turmeric, the production increased about 25 % than that of not applied.
- 5. Kitchen solid waste i.e. food waste based biogas system was more useful than conventional i.e. cow dung based biogas system.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bhide A. D. & Sundaresan B. B., Solid Waste Management Collection, Processing and Disposal, Mudrashilpa Publication, Nagpur, 2001.
- [2] Maharashtra Energy Development Authority, http://www.mahaurja.com, sited on 01/02/2011.
- [3] Shrikant Karanjekar, Biogas Technology Science, Construction and Maintenance, Center of Science for Villagers, Dattapur, Wardha, 1990.
- [4] Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water Environment Federation, Washington, 21st Edition, 2005.